

Bourbois, Kristopher

From: Tey, Joshua <Joshua.Tey@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 9:05 AM
To: O'Rourke, Shannon; Szymanski, Paul; RegrelcpucCases@pge.com; mrw@mrwassoc.com; Douglass@EnergyAttorney.com; Julia Severson; Kloberdanz, Kari; Bourbois, Kristopher; Strutner, Maddy; Central Files; TERM-2023-07-20 Gill, Kellen; Yip-Kikugawa, Amy C.; Chitadje, Charlotte; Rauschmeier, Richard; Thomas, Sarah R.; Wardrip, Jonathan; Wercinski, Peter
Cc: ALJ_Support ID; ALJ Docket Office; ALJ Process
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: A.22-10-021: Ruling Ordering Parties to Meet and Confer to Clarify Positions Regarding Need for Hearing and Hearing Dates

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Categories: CEMA

CAUTION! EXTERNAL SENDER - STOP, ASSESS, AND VERIFY

Do you know this person? Were you expecting this email, any links or attachments? Does the content make sense? If suspicious, do not click links, open attachments, or provide credentials. Don't delete it. **Report it by using the REPORT SPAM option!**

To the Honorable Judge O'Rourke,

This email is being sent simultaneously to all parties on the service list to provide notice and transparency of the instant communication.

This email serves as Cal Advocates' response to Your Honor's ruling on July 17, 2023, regarding SDG&E's Motion to Request Evidentiary Hearings. As mentioned in Cal Advocates' reply to the above-mentioned Motion, Cal Advocates neither supports nor opposes SDG&E's Motion. Cal Advocates held a Meet and Confer with SDG&E on July 31, 2023, as directed by Your Honor's ruling.

- i) As discussed in Cal Advocates' submitted testimony, Cal Advocates' position is that SDG&E did not provide sufficient support and analysis to compare what was collected in authorized GRC authorized rates for overheads and what was expended for overheads in routine work as part of normal operations in order to substantiate that the overheads requested for the CEMA Events are incremental. Consequently, SDG&E fails to meet its burden to justify the incremental nature of these overhead costs. Cal Advocates recommends an adjustment of \$2.071 million for overhead costs associated with capital work that should be considered non-incremental. Therefore, Cal Advocates recommends the recovery of \$6.368 million in capital costs as incremental which is \$2.071 million less than SDG&E's request of \$8.439 million.
- ii) Cal Advocates believes that although this is a material issue in dispute, there is no need for Evidentiary Hearing and the matter can be addressed in briefing.
- iii) The parties jointly propose Evidentiary Hearing to be held on September 20. Opening Briefs to be due on October 12. Reply Briefs to be due on November 2.

Respectfully Submitted for Cal Advocates,



However, due to unforeseen circumstances, Cal Advocates will not be available on August 29, 2023, the date suggested by SDG&E for hearing. Cal Advocates is available for hearing on the following dates:

- August 14, 2023
- September 5-8, 2023
- September 11-15, 2023

Thank you for your consideration,

From: O'Rourke, Shannon <Shannon.O'Rourke@cpuc.ca.gov>

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2023 11:57 AM

To: Tey, Joshua <Joshua.Tey@cpuc.ca.gov>; PSzymanski@sdge.com; RegrelcpucCases@pge.com; mrw@mrwassoc.com; Douglass@EnergyAttorney.com; Julia@ProtectOurCommunities.org; KKloberdanz@SempraUtilities.com; KBourbois@sdge.com; MStrutner@sdge.com; CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com; KGill@sdge.com; Yip-Kikugawa, Amy C. <amy.yip-kikugawa@cpuc.ca.gov>; Chitadje, Charlotte <charlotte.chitadje@cpuc.ca.gov>; Rauschmeier, Richard <richard.rauschmeier@cpuc.ca.gov>; Thomas, Sarah R. <sarah.thomas@cpuc.ca.gov>; Wardrip, Jonathan <Jonathan.Wardrip@cpuc.ca.gov>; O'Rourke, Shannon <Shannon.O'Rourke@cpuc.ca.gov>; Wercinski, Peter <Peter.Wercinski@cpuc.ca.gov>

Cc: ALJ_Support ID <alj_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Docket Office <ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process <alj_process@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: A.22-10-021: Ruling Ordering Parties to Meet and Confer to Clarify Positions Regarding Need for Hearing and Hearing Dates

To the Parties of Application 22-10-021:

On July 25, 2023, San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) filed and served a Motion to Request Evidentiary Hearings (Motion). On July 26, the Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) filed a Reply to the Motion stating that Cal Advocates neither supported nor opposed the Motion. The Motion refers to Cal Advocates' testimony that "Cal Advocates disagrees with SDG&E's determination that overhead costs associated with the non-labor portion of the capital work is incremental. Cal Advocates considers overheads already recovered in rates as part of SDG&E's General Rate Case's (GRC's) previously authorized funding levels and should not be considered incremental." The Motion and the Reply fail to identify with specificity the particular fact or facts that are in dispute, how they are material, and whether the statements by Cal Advocates referenced in the Motion are factual or legal. In addition, the Motion and Reply reflect that SDG&E and Cal Advocates have different dates that they are available for an evidentiary hearing.

SDG&E and Cal Advocates are directed to meet and confer to clarify their positions and attempt to reach agreement regarding the issues described in this ruling and to each file and serve a response to this ruling by August 7, 2023, that fully addresses the issues described in this ruling.

IT IS SO RULED.

THE DOCKET OFFICE SHALL FORMALLY FILE THIS RULING.

Shannon O'Rourke (she/her)
Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission
shannon.o'rourke@cpuc.ca.gov
415-703-5574

Notice: This communication may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

From: Szymanski, Paul <PSzymanski@sdge.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2023 2:36 PM
To: O'Rourke, Shannon <Shannon.O'Rourke@cpuc.ca.gov>
Cc: Gentes, Craig <CGentes@sdge.com>; Wardrip, Jonathan <Jonathan.Wardrip@cpuc.ca.gov>; Thomas, Sarah R. <sarah.thomas@cpuc.ca.gov>; Rauschmeier, Richard <richard.rauschmeier@cpuc.ca.gov>; RegrelcpucCases@pge.com; mrw@mrwassoc.com; Douglass@EnergyAttorney.com; Julia Severson <julia@protectourcommunities.org>; Kloberdanz, Kari <KKloberdanz@sdge.com>; Bourbois, Kristopher <KBourbois@sdge.com>; Central Files <CentralFiles@semprautilities.com>; Yip-Kikugawa, Amy C. <amy.yip-kikugawa@cpuc.ca.gov>; Chitadje, Charlotte <charlotte.chitadje@cpuc.ca.gov>; Tey, Joshua <Joshua.Tey@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: A.22-10-021 CEMA SDGE Motion re Evidentiary Hearings

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Afternoon, ALJ O'Rourke,

SDG&E is in receipt of Mr. Tey's communication, below. I am writing to indicate that some of Cal Advocates' proposed evidentiary hearing dates are not feasible for SDG&E. Although the Scoping Memo scheduled evidentiary hearings for one of the dates that SDG&E proposed (August 28, 2023), which apparently is no longer workable for Cal Advocates, SDG&E will reach out separately to Mr. Tey and work with him to develop a mutually agreeable hearing date that we can then propose to you. A new, later evidentiary hearing date will likely necessitate new briefing dates as well.

If this proposal is not acceptable to you, ALJ O'Rourke, of course please let us know.

Best regards,

Paul Szymanski

From: Tey, Joshua <Joshua.Tey@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2023 11:47 AM
To: O'Rourke, Shannon <Shannon.O'Rourke@cpuc.ca.gov>
Cc: Gentes, Craig <CGentes@sdge.com>; Heppler, Ann <AHeppler@sdge.com>; Wardrip, Jonathan <Jonathan.Wardrip@cpuc.ca.gov>; Thomas, Sarah R. <sarah.thomas@cpuc.ca.gov>; Rauschmeier, Richard <richard.rauschmeier@cpuc.ca.gov>; Evans, Darleen <DEvans@sdge.com>; Szymanski, Paul <PSzymanski@sdge.com>; RegrelcpucCases@pge.com; mrw@mrwassoc.com; Douglass@EnergyAttorney.com; Julia Severson <julia@protectourcommunities.org>; Kloberdanz, Kari <KKloberdanz@sdge.com>; Bourbois, Kristopher <KBourbois@sdge.com>; Strutner, Maddy <MStrutner@sdge.com>; Central Files <CentralFiles@semprautilities.com>; Yip-Kikugawa, Amy C. <amy.yip-kikugawa@cpuc.ca.gov>; Chitadje, Charlotte <charlotte.chitadje@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] A.22-10-021 CEMA SDGE Motion re Evidentiary Hearings

CAUTION! EXTERNAL SENDER - STOP, ASSESS, AND VERIFY

Do you know this person? Were you expecting this email, any links or attachments? Does the content make sense? If suspicious, do not click links, open attachments, or provide credentials. Don't delete it. **Report it by using the REPORT SPAM option!**

To the Honorable Judge O'Rourke,

This email is being sent simultaneously to all parties on the service list to provide notice and transparency of the instant communication.

This email serves as Cal Advocates' Reply to SDG&E's Motion to Request Evidentiary Hearings. Cal Advocates' neither supports nor opposes SDG&E's Motion. However, due to unforeseen circumstances, Cal Advocates will not be available on August 29, 2023, the date suggested by SDG&E for hearing. Cal Advocates is available for hearing on the following dates:

- August 14, 2023
- September 5-8, 2023
- September 11-15, 2023

Thank you for your consideration,



From: Evans, Darleen <DEvans@sdge.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2023 4:33 PM

To: Tey, Joshua <Joshua.Tey@cpuc.ca.gov>; Szymanski, Paul <PSzymanski@sdge.com>; RegrelcpucCases@pge.com; mrw@mrwassoc.com; Douglass@EnergyAttorney.com; Julia Severson <julia@protectourcommunities.org>; Kloberdanz, Kari <KKloberdanz@sdge.com>; Bourbois, Kristopher <KBourbois@sdge.com>; Strutner, Maddy <MStrutner@sdge.com>; Central Files <CentralFiles@semprautilities.com>; Yip-Kikugawa, Amy C. <amy.yip-kikugawa@cpuc.ca.gov>; Chitadje, Charlotte <charlotte.chitadje@cpuc.ca.gov>; Rauschmeier, Richard <richard.rauschmeier@cpuc.ca.gov>; Thomas, Sarah R. <sarah.thomas@cpuc.ca.gov>; Wardrip, Jonathan <Jonathan.Wardrip@cpuc.ca.gov>; O'Rourke, Shannon <Shannon.O'Rourke@cpuc.ca.gov>

Cc: Szymanski, Paul <PSzymanski@sdge.com>; Bourbois, Kristopher <KBourbois@sdge.com>; Gentes, Craig <CGentes@sdge.com>; Heppler, Ann <AHeppler@sdge.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] A.22-10-021 CEMA SDGE Motion re Evidentiary Hearings

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To Service List in :

Attached herewith please find **MOTION OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY TO REQUEST EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS**. This document is being served by electronic mail in a word-searchable PDF format and has been electronically filed with the CPUC Docket Office.

Please note that SDG&E does not maintain the official service list for this matter. If you would no longer like to receive documents regarding this docket, please contact the CPUC Process Office directly via email at Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov or by phone at 415-703-2021 to remove yourself from the official service list.

Thank you,

Darleen Evans
On Behalf of Paul A. Szymanski
San Diego Gas & Electric Company



Darleen Evans
Regulatory Law Support
T 858.654.8277
E devans@sdge.com

Follow Us:



Do the right thing. Champion People. Shape the Future.

For more information about privacy at SDG&E visit sdge.com/privacy.

This email originated outside of Sempra. Be cautious of attachments, web links, or requests for information.

This email originated outside of Sempra. Be cautious of attachments, web links, or requests for information.