**QUESTION 1:**

Would the North-South Project have alleviated the circumstances that led to Advice Letter 4831 (and similar advice letters filed with the Commission in June 2015) regarding curtailments in the Los Angeles basin?

**RESPONSE 1:**

Had the North-South project been in service in June 2015 during the Los Angeles Basin curtailment events, it would likely have helped lessen the impact of the curtailment but may not have eliminated the need for it, given the major pipelines that were (and remain) out of service for remediation. However, if those pipelines had been in service, then the North-South Project would have likely eliminated the need for curtailment.

Please take note that none of the intervenor alternate proposals would have lessened or eliminated the need for the June 2015 curtailment events. All intervenor alternate proposals interconnect upstream of the Blythe compressor station, which was already operating at or near maximum capacity during the curtailment events.

**QUESTION 2:**

If there is a gas supply curtailment situation on the Northern System, and if the Commission approves the North-South Project and the elimination of the minimum flowing supplies requirement on the Southern System as SoCalGas/SDG&E has proposed, would customers on the Southern System be at risk of supply-related curtailment? Please explain.

**RESPONSE 2:**

It would depend on the reason behind the hypothetical curtailment situation on the Northern System. If that hypothetical curtailment is the result of a lack of flowing supply being delivered on the Northern System, then the North-South Project would not be able to supply the Southern System, as SoCalGas and SDG&E have previously explained in testimony. If the hypothetical curtailment is a result of too much customer demand in a specific area (such as in the San Joaquin Valley potentially capacity constrained area) but ample supplies are available, then the North-South Project would be able to support the Southern System demand as planned and proposed.