PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

JENNIFER MONTANEZ

ON BEHALF OF

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

redacted, public version

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

April 13, 2018



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTR	ODUC	TION	1
II.	2019 I RESO	FOREC	CAST OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS AND SUPPLY S	3
	A.	ENEF	RGY REQUIREMENTS FORECAST	3
	B.	SUPP	PLY RESOURCE FORECAST	3
		1.	SDG&E-Contracted Generation	3
		2.	SDG&E-Owned Dispatchable Generation	5
		3.	Renewable Energy Contracts	6
		4.	Qualifying Facilities Contracts	8
		5.	Market Purchases and Surplus Sales	8
III.	2019 I	FOREC	CAST OF ERRA EXPENSES	9
	A.	ISO L	LOAD CHARGES	9
	В.	ISO S	SUPPLY REVENUES	10
	C.	CON	TRACTED ENERGY PURCHASES	10
		1.	Purchased Power Contracts	10
		2.	Renewable Energy Contracts	10
		3.	Qualifying Facilities Contracts	11
	D.	GENI	ERATION FUEL	12
		1.	Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that are Recovered through ERRA)	12
	E.	LOCA	AL GENERATION	12
	F.	CAIS	O RELATED COSTS	13
	G.	HEDO	GING COSTS & FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS	13
	Н.	CON	VERGENCE BIDS	14
	I.	CON	GESTION REVENUE RIGHTS ("CRRs")	14
	J.	INTE	R-SCHEDULING COORDINATOR TRADES ("IST")	15

IV.	SONG	S UNIT 1 OFFSITE SPENT FUEL STORAGE COSTS 16
	A.	Background16
	B.	2019 Forecast
V.	2019 F	ORECAST OF GHG COSTS 17
	A.	Direct GHG Emissions
	B.	Indirect GHG Emissions
	C.	2019 GHG Costs
	D.	2019 Allowance Auction Revenues
VI.	CONC	LUSION
VII.	QUAL	IFICATIONS
ATTA	CHME	NT A (CONFIDENTIAL)
ATTA	CHME	NT B (CONFIDENTIAL)
ATTA	CHME	NT C
ATTA	CHME	NT D (CONFIDENTIAL)
ATTA	CHME	NT E (CONFIDENTIAL)
GLOS	SARY	

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JENNIFER MONTANEZ ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

My testimony describes the resources San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E") expects to use in calendar year 2019 to provide electric commodity service to its bundled service customers; provides a forecast of the procurement costs that SDG&E expects to record in 2019 to the Energy Resource Recovery Account ("ERRA"), Transition Cost Balancing Account ("TCBA"), and Local Generation Balancing Account ("LGBA"); provides a 2019 forecast of SDG&E's San Onofre Generating Station ("SONGS") Unit 1 Offsite Spent Fuel Storage Costs; and provides a forecast of 2019 total greenhouse gas ("GHG") costs. SDG&E witness Mrs. Ngo uses my forecast of ERRA, Competition Transition Charge ("CTC") and Local Generation ("LG") in developing 2019 revenue requirements for each element. In addition, my testimony provides information that supports SDG&E witness Ms. McKay's development of the GHG allowance revenue return allocation and the volumetric revenue return for small business and residential customers, as well as rates for the Green Tariff Shared Renewables ("GTSR") program and the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment ("PCIA").

In Section II of my testimony, I provide a forecast of the energy requirements that will be
required to serve SDG&E's bundled customer load for 2019, as well as forecasts of the supply
resources that SDG&E expects to utilize to meet that load in calendar year 2019. The supply
resources for which I provide forecasts include (1) generation resources that are under contract
for 2019; (2) generation resources owned by SDG&E; (3) renewable generation resources that

are under contract for 2019; (4) Qualifying Facilities ("QFs") under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act ("PURPA") that are under contract for 2019; and (5) generation obtained through market purchases.

In Section III of my testimony, I quantify the costs associated with the resources described in Section II, along with other electric procurement costs that are recorded in ERRA, such as market purchases, California Independent System Operator ("CAISO") charges and portfolio hedging costs. These costs are summarized in Attachment A.

In Section IV of my testimony, I provide a forecast of the 2019 SONGS Unit 1 Offsite Spent Fuel Storage Costs associated with SDG&E's 20% minority ownership interest in SONGS.

In Section V of my testimony, I provide a forecast of the 2019 GHG emissions andassociated costs, both direct and indirect, incurred in connection with SDG&E's compliance withCalifornia's cap-and-trade program. I also provide a forecast of GHG allowance auctionrevenues. Lastly, I provide a statement of qualifications.My testimony refers to the following attachments:Attachment A:SDG&E 2019 ERRA and LG ExpensesAttachment B:SDG&E 2019 Generation Portfolio Delivery Volumes

- Attachment C: SDG&E 2019 Renewable Resource Detail
- 9 <u>Attachment D</u>: SDG&E 2019 CTC & QF Detail
- 20 <u>Attachment E</u>: SDG&E GHG Detail.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

II.

2019 FORECAST OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS AND SUPPLY RESOURCES A. ENERGY REQUIREMENTS FORECAST

As a starting point for my analysis, I developed a forecast of SDG&E's 2019 bundled load requirement, which is based on the California Energy Commission's ("CEC") 2017 IEPR Demand Forecast for SDG&E, adopted in February 2018. Using this forecast and adjusting for direct access load, I project that the energy requirements for its bundled load for 2019 will be

. The 2019 forecast is or less than SDG&E's

forecasted bundled energy forecast for 2018

В.

SUPPLY RESOURCE FORECAST

After determining the amount of energy that SDG&E's bundled load customers will require in 2019, I then proceeded to develop a forecast of the supply resources that will be needed to meet that demand. To quantify the generation associated with the supply resources, I used the same production cost model SDG&E has used in past ERRA forecasts. Inputs to this model include the characteristics of the various generation resources, including heat rate, variable Operating and Maintenance ("O&M") costs, and other factors that impact the plant's dispatch, and natural gas and market prices. The natural gas and electric market price forecasts were derived using a recent (March 1, 2018) assessment of 2019 market prices, based on the average of forward prices over the previous 22 market trading days. I then run the model which simulates a least-cost dispatch of the portfolio of SDG&E's resources for every hour of 2019. The supply resources fall into the following five categories.

21

22

23

1. SDG&E-Contracted Generation

SDG&E has a number of generation resources under contract in its 2019 resource portfolio. These resources are available under a variety of contractual arrangements, including

1	tolling contracts, fixed energy contracts, and contracts for Resource Adequacy only. The largest
2	of the tolling and fixed energy contracts are:
3	• the Otay Mesa Energy Center ("OMEC") Power Purchase Agreement ("PPA") for
4	the output of a 599 megawatt ("MW") combined-cycle power plant ¹ ;
5	• the Carlsbad Energy Center PPA for the output of a 500 MW simple cycle
6	combustion turbine unit;
7	• the Pio Pico Energy Center PPA for the output of a 308 MW simple cycle
8	combustion turbine unit;
9	• the Orange Grove PPA for the output of two 48 MW simple cycle combustion
10	turbine units;
11	• the El Cajon Energy Center PPA for the output of a 47 MW simple cycle
12	combustion turbine unit;
13	• the Escondido Energy Center PPA for the output of a 48 MW simple cycle
14	combustion turbine unit;
15	• the BP PPA, which provides firm energy deliveries at the SDG&E Default Load
16	Aggregation Point ("DLAP"); and
17	• the Morgan Stanley PPA, which provides firm energy deliveries at the Northern
18	Oregon Border ("NOB").
19	The forecasted generation for these contracts is detailed in Attachment B and is
20	summarized in Table 1 below:

n

. .

1

¹ Otay Mesa Energy Center PPA contract ends October 3, 2019. For purposes of this filing, we assume this resource will be "put" on SDG&E; therefore, making it Utility Owned Generation starting October 3, 2019.

		Table 1: Generation (GWh)							
		2019	2018	Difference					
	OMEC								
	Carlbad Energy Center								
	Pio Pico Energy Center								
	Orange Grove								
	El Cajon Energy Center								
	Escondido Energy Center								
	BP Morgan Stanlov NOR								
2									
3	SDG&E also enters into contracts each y	year to meet it	s CPUC Resource A	dequacy					
4	requirements. ² Under its Resource Adequacy co	ontracts, SDG	&E is entitled to sho	ow this capacity					
5	as meeting its Resource Adequacy obligation, b	ut SDG&E do	es not have rights to	the energy or					
6	ancillary services from these units. For 2019, S	DG&E foreca	sts that it will enter	into contracts					
7	for up to of Resource Adequacy capac	ity.							
8	2. SDG&E-Owned Dispate	chable Gener	ation						
9	SDG&E owns several generation faciliti	es, which it us	ses to meet its bundl	ed customer					
10	load, including the following:								
11	• the Palomar Energy Center ("Pal	omar"), a 575	MW combined cyc	le power plant;					
12	• the Desert Star Energy Center ("	Desert Star"),	a 485 MW combine	ed cycle power					
13	plant;								
14	• the Miramar Energy Facility ("M	liramar I and I	I"), consisting of tw	vo 48 MW					
15	simple cycle combustion turbine	e units;							
	² California Public Utilities Code Section 380	established the	Resource Adequacy	program to					

² California Public Utilities Code Section 380 established the Resource Adequacy program to provide sufficient resources to the CAISO to ensure the safe and reliable operation of the grid in real time and to provide appropriate incentives for the siting and construction of new resources needed for reliability in the future.

1	• the Battery Storage facilities, consisting of Escondido at 30 MW, El Cajon at 7.5											
2	MW, and Miramar at 30 MW; and											
3	• the Cuyamaca Peak Energy Plant, consisting of a 47 MW simple cycle											
4	combustion turbine.											
5	These units are dispatched by the CAISO for generation and ancillary services ("A/S") awards											
6	based on economic merit. ³ The forecasted generation for these plants is detailed in Attachment											
7	B and is summarized in Table 2 below:											
	Table 2: Generation (GWh)											
	2019 2018 Difference											
	20192018DifferencePalomarImage: Constraint of the second sec											
	20192018DifferencePalomarDesert Star											
	20192018DifferencePalomarDesert StarMiramar											
	20192018DifferencePalomarDesert StarMiramarBattery Storage											
	20192018DifferencePalomarDesert StarMiramarBattery StorageCuyamaca											
8	20192018DifferencePalomarDesert StarMiramar </td											
8 9	20192018DifferencePalomarDesert StarImage: CuyamacaImage: CuyamacaTotalImage: CuyamacaImage: CuyamacaImage: Cuyamaca											

11The 2019 forecast of renewable energy supply from CPUC-approved contracts is 6,92012GWh, which includes 1,236 GWh of Renewable Energy Credit ("REC") quantities⁴ that are13delivered to SDG&E in conjunction with existing non-renewable imports. This forecast14represents a decrease of 309 GWh from the 2018 forecast (7,229 GWh) and represents of15forecasted bundled sales. The forecasted generation associated with SDG&E's monthly16renewable contracts is set forth in Attachment C.

³ SDG&E's dispatch model considered only generation dispatched for energy and not for A/S because the CAISO co-optimizes market awards between energy and A/S based on the opportunity cost of capacity. Thus, the economic benefit (and ERRA contribution) of using capacity for generation is equivalent to using capacity for A/S.

⁴ Renewable Energy Credits represent the green attribute of renewable generation and, while they can be purchased independent of physical delivery of generation from the source, they must accompany a delivery of "tagged" physical power to be imported into California.

For 2019, SDG&E forecasts it will receive 5,684 GWh of bundled renewable energy under 48 contracts with facilities that generate electricity using wind, solar, biogas, and pumped hydro technologies. The forecasted generation for projects that are currently on-line and operating is derived from generation profiles based on historical data. The forecasted generation for those projects that have recently come online and that are expected to continue operations in 2019⁵ is based on historical data of resources that utilize similar renewable technologies.

In addition, SDG&E expects to receive 1,236 GWh of firmed-and-shaped power from
three out-of-state wind projects, Rim Rock and Naturener Glacier 1 and 2 (Montana).⁶ The
RECs are delivered to California independently of the physical delivery of generation by the
source wind projects. This is done by tagging equivalent quantities of the physical deliveries of
other energy imports that SDG&E has already accounted for in its 2019 forecast. The forecasted
energy mix from these renewable resources is shown in Table 3 below:

	Table	3: Generation (G	Wh)
	2019	2018	Difference
Solar	3,625	3,620	5
Wind	1,874	2,206	(332)
Wind RECs	1,236	1,236	-
Biogas	182	165	17
Other	2	2	0
RPS Sales	-	-	-
Tot	al 6,920	7,229	(309)

13 14

⁵ SDG&E did not include renewable energy quantities or costs associated with the Sustainable Communities Photovoltaic program because costs for this program are not charged to ERRA.

⁶ The firmed-and-shaped wind power from these contracts is delivered to California through the Morgan Stanley power contract described above.

4.

Qualifying Facilities Contracts

In 2019, SDG&E will have approximately 110 MW of capacity under contract with three QFs.⁷ The two largest QF contracts account for 106.5 MW or 98% of total QF capacity. All of these QFs are located in SDG&E's service area except for the Yuma Cogeneration Associates ("YCA") plant, a 56.5 MW natural gas-fired plant located in Arizona, the output of which is imported into the CAISO.

SDG&E's QF contracts include a combination of must-take and dispatchable resources. For must-take resources, SDG&E is obligated to pay the contract price for all delivered QF generation and schedule it into the CAISO market; SDG&E has no such obligation with dispatchable resources. SDG&E has amendments with Goal Line and YCA, which provide SDG&E with more economic dispatch rights. SDG&E forecasted the plants' dispatch in accordance with these terms. The forecast of QF energy supply in 2019 is ______. The forecasted generation for these plants is detailed in Attachment D.

5. Market Purchases and Surplus Sales

Under the Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade ("MRTU"),⁸ there is no requirement that SDG&E balance its bundled load and its controlled generation quantities that clear the market. If, in any hour, the quantity of SDG&E's bundled load requirements purchased from the CAISO is greater than SDG&E-controlled generation dispatched by the CAISO, the difference may be viewed as equivalent to a market purchase.⁹ Similarly, if more SDG&E

⁷ The actual number of active QF contracts is over 50, but many of these QF resources only serve on-site load and do not deliver net energy to SDG&E. As a result, these are not included in the production cost model analysis. The three QFs referenced above deliver net energy to SDG&E and are thus included in SDG&E's model.

⁸ In 2009, the CAISO implemented the Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade which primarily transformed the CAISO market from a zonal to a nodal priced market.

⁹ In some hours the quantity of SDG&E's bundled load requirements purchased from the CAISO is less than SDG&E-controlled generation sold to the CAISO. The difference may be viewed as equivalent

generation is dispatched than SDG&E load requirements it is assumed to offset market purchases in other time periods. SDG&E forecasts that the quantity of equivalent market purchases will be

from the 2018 forecast

4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

III. 2019 FORECAST OF ERRA EXPENSES

in 2019, an increase of

To quantify the costs associated with the supply resources described in Section II, the production cost model also tracks the costs of the economic dispatch. Electric procurement expenses incurred by SDG&E to serve its bundled load are also recorded to the ERRA. These expenses include, among other items, costs and revenues for energy and capacity cleared through the CAISO market, power purchase contract costs, generation fuel costs, market energy purchase costs, CAISO charges, brokerage fees, and hedging costs.

I expect that SDG&E will incur \$1.114 billion of ERRA costs in 2019,¹⁰ as reflected in Attachment A. This forecast is \$227 million less than the \$1.341 billion forecasted for 2018. In the remainder of this Section, I will discuss in greater detail the cost forecasts for specific ERRA items.

A.

ISO LOAD CHARGES

The CAISO supplies and sells to SDG&E the energy and A/S necessary to meet SDG&E's bundled load requirement. Based on forecasted prices for energy and A/S, SDG&E's production cost model forecasts **of ISO** load charges for 2019. This cost includes the indirect GHG costs embedded in the market price of energy. I present GHG quantities and costs in Section V.

to a market sale and the costs and revenues for such transactions are accounted for in the forecast by the total fuel expenses and total ISO Supply revenues.

¹⁰ This amount does not include Franchise Fees and Uncollectibles ("FF&U"), nor do any of the other figures in my testimony.

В.

С.

ISO SUPPLY REVENUES

In the CAISO market, all generation from SDG&E's resource portfolio is sold to the CAISO. Based on forecasted prices for energy, SDG&E's production cost model forecasts revenues totaling for generation sold in 2019.

CONTRACTED ENERGY PURCHASES

1. Purchased Power Contracts

SDG&E's forecast of total costs for non-renewable power purchase contracts in 2019 is
These costs cover capacity payments and variable generation costs for OMEC,
Orange Grove, Wellhead El Cajon and other facilities with which SDG&E has smaller contracts.
The largest components in this category are capacity and generation costs for the OMEC unit,
expected to be ______, and Resource Adequacy capacity costs, expected to be ______.
The Morgan Stanley contract is also included in this category and is expected to cost ______.

2. Renewable Energy Contracts

SDG&E's renewable energy contracts usually contain only an energy payment and no capacity payment. In 2019, SDG&E's renewable energy portfolio will include a cost for all the renewable power delivered based on contract prices and the renewable energy credits described in Section II under "Renewable Energy Contracts." All costs associated with these contracts are booked as ERRA expenses and are forecasted to be \$664 million for 2019. Attachment C details the renewable projects by fuel type, their costs and forecasted energy deliveries.

Customers who opt into the Green Tariff Shared Renewables ("GTSR") program, which
consists of both a Green Tariff ("GT") component and an Enhanced Community Renewables

2

("ECR") component, pay a subset of the renewable costs.¹¹ The estimated GT customer usage in 2019 is 112.74 GWh.¹² The estimated GT charges include the cost of local solar¹³ of \$58.05/megawatt hour ("MWh"), Grid Management Charges ("GMC") of \$0.00070/kwh and Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System ("WREGIS") costs of \$0.00001/kwh. The estimated total cost of GT in 2019 is \$6.5 million. The estimated ECR customer usage in 2019 is 0 GWh as this component is dependent on resources which are not expected to come on line until 2020. Therefore, no costs are expected in 2019 for ECR. Additionally, the solar value adjustment was calculated as \$0.00772/kwh. This is an increase from 2017 due to the change in methodology the CAISO uses to calculate the net qualifying capacity, resulting in a lower value for solar.¹⁴

3. **Qualifying Facilities Contracts**

SDG&E's QF contracts consist of dispatchable capacity or firm capacity PURPA contracts. These contracts include provisions for both energy and capacity payments. The energy payments for QFs that are under firm capacity PURPA contracts are forecasted using SDG&E's Short-Run Avoided Cost ("SRAC") formula.¹⁵ For the dispatchable contracts, SDG&E pays fuel, variable O&M and capacity payments. Most of these contracts, whether

http://www.caiso.com/planning/Pages/ReliabilityRequirements.

¹¹ Decision 15-01-051 authorizing the GTSR program was approved on January 29, 2015. The GT and ECR components are two separate rate offerings under the GTSR Program accessing different pools of solar resources and with different terms.

GT and ECR usage forecasts were developed using average consumption estimates for each customer class in conjunction with program enrollment targets.

To meet immediate GT customer demand, SDG&E will draw on existing Renewables Portfolio Standard ("RPS") resources that are eligible to serve the GT component of the GTSR Program. The Interim GT Pool is a short-term approach and cost is based on the weighted average cost of contracts for included resources. Simultaneously, SDG&E will engage in procurement for projects built specifically to serve the GT component (GT Dedicated Procurement Projects). When GT Dedicated Procurement Projects are brought online, the Interim GT Pool will be phased out as allowed by program participation. Final Net Qualifying Capacity Report for Compliance Year 2018,

The derivation of the SRAC price for QF contracts is posted monthly on an SDG&E website: http://www2.sdge.com/SRAC/.

1	PURPA or dispatchable, are considered CTC QF contracts, ¹⁶ and the ERRA expenses are based
2	on delivered energy multiplied by the market price benchmark ("MPB"). Any costs, including
3	capacity payments, greater than the market price benchmark are booked to the TCBA. For the
4	purposes of ERRA accounting, ERRA expenses for CTC QF contracts are recorded on Line 5 of
5	Attachment A, "Contract Costs (CTC up to market)," and are forecasted to be
6	2019. Attachment D details the breakdown of all the units discussed in this section and shows
7	the associated costs, both ERRA and TCBA, and the forecasted energy deliveries. These costs
8	include the indirect GHG cost embedded in the market price that flows through the SDG&E
9	SRAC formula. I present GHG quantities and costs in Section IV of my testimony.
0	D. GENERATION FUEL
10 1 2	 D. GENERATION FUEL 1. Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that are Recovered through ERRA)
10 11 12 13	 D. GENERATION FUEL 1. Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that are Recovered through ERRA) In 2019, the ERRA expense for generation fuel purchased by SDG&E for Palomar,
10 11 12 13 14	 D. GENERATION FUEL Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that are Recovered through ERRA) In 2019, the ERRA expense for generation fuel purchased by SDG&E for Palomar, Miramar I & II, Desert Star, Otay Mesa and Cuyamaca is forecasted to be .¹⁷ These
10 11 12 13 14	 D. GENERATION FUEL Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that are Recovered through ERRA) In 2019, the ERRA expense for generation fuel purchased by SDG&E for Palomar, Miramar I & II, Desert Star, Otay Mesa and Cuyamaca is forecasted to be for each other in lieu gas fees for Palomar which are also recovered in ERRA.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 D. GENERATION FUEL Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that are Recovered through ERRA) In 2019, the ERRA expense for generation fuel purchased by SDG&E for Palomar, Miramar I & II, Desert Star, Otay Mesa and Cuyamaca is forecasted to be
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 D. GENERATION FUEL 1. Palomar, Desert Star, Miramar and Cuyamaca (Fuel Expenses that are Recovered through ERRA) In 2019, the ERRA expense for generation fuel purchased by SDG&E for Palomar, Miramar I & II, Desert Star, Otay Mesa and Cuyamaca is forecasted to be for easted expenses include in lieu gas fees for Palomar which are also recovered in ERRA. These costs are calculated based on SDG&E's forecasted fuel usage for this plant and the applicable tariffs, Schedule GP-SUR¹⁸ and Schedule EG.¹⁹

¹⁶ The CP Kelco contract, however, is not considered a CTC contract. Thus, unlike other QF contracts, 100% of CP Kelco contract costs are included in ERRA.

¹⁷ Capital and non-fuel operating costs for these plants are recovered in the Non-Fuel Generation Balancing Account ("NGBA") as required by D.05-08-005, Resolution E-3896 and D.07-11-046.

⁸ Customer-procured Gas Franchise Fee Surcharge.

¹⁹ Natural Gas Intrastate Transportation Service for Electric Generation Customers.

²⁰ Pursuant to D.17-07-005, SDG&E updated its authorized rate of return on ratebase in Advice Letter ("AL") 3120-E with impacts to revenue requirements reflected in the January 1, 2018 consolidated filing, which impacted the LG revenue requirement that was approved in D.17-12-014. This adjustment for SDG&E's 2018 LG revenue requirement changes from \$160.427 million to \$160.218 million including FF&U.

As previously noted, SDG&E has entered into contracts for generation resources which specifically provide local Resource Adequacy for the SDG&E system. Because these contract costs are allocated to both bundled and direct access customers, the costs are accounted for in a separate Local Generating Balancing Account. The Escondido Energy Center, Kelco, Grossmont, Naval Station, North Island, Pio Pico, Carlsbad Energy Center, El Cajon Energy Storage, Hybrid Holdings Energy Storage, Miramar Energy Storage and Escondido Energy Storage contracts are included in this balancing account and are expected to cost including direct and indirect GHG costs and net of supply ISO revenue. Attachment A details the breakdown of local generation expenses.

F.

CAISO RELATED COSTS

SDG&E forecasts the miscellaneous CAISO costs to be in 2019. SDG&E also forecasts the cost of the FERC Fees and Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System to be in 2019.

G. **HEDGING COSTS & FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS**

SDG&E's resource portfolio has substantial exposure to gas price volatility as a result of fuel requirements for its gas-fired resources, as well as the gas price-based pricing formula for its QF contracts. To manage this exposure, SDG&E engages in hedging activity, consistent with its CPUC approved procurement plan,²¹ and it will book the resulting hedging costs and any realized gains and losses from hedge transactions to ERRA consistent with its CPUC-approved hedge plan. The estimate of hedging costs for 2019 is , calculated as the marked-tomarket profit/loss of hedges already in place, plus expected broker fees. The profit/loss of these and future hedges placed will rise and fall with market prices. Therefore, the final cost or savings will not be known until the settlement process has been completed for the hedge 21

SDG&E's 2012 Long Term Procurement Plan, Appendix B: Electric and Gas Hedging Strategy.

transactions.

1

SDG&E may also trade short-term financial power products to hedge its long or short position against potentially volatile CAISO market clearing prices. SDG&E does not include a forecast of net cost or benefit from these power hedges due to the unpredictability of market prices relative to the price of the hedges.

H. CONVERGENCE BIDS

SDG&E uses convergence bids²² to hedge certain operational risks in the day-to-day management of its portfolio. It is not possible to forecast the gains or losses associated with potential convergence bidding activity because of the unpredictable relationship between dayahead and real-time prices. Therefore, SDG&E did not forecast an ERRA revenue/charge for convergence bids.

I. CONGESTION REVENUE RIGHTS ("CRRs")

Market participants, including SDG&E, were allocated CRRs by the CAISO for which they can nominate source and sink P-nodes²³ to match those in their portfolio. If congestion arises between the source and sink P-nodes, the CAISO will pay the market participant holding the CRR the congestion charges to offset the congestion costs incurred. SDG&E expects its CRRs to generate revenues from the CAISO to offset congestion costs incurred within its portfolio. However, expected revenues were not forecast for the 2019 ERRA forecast because

²² A convergence bid (also known as a virtual bid) is not backed by any physical generation or load, and is thus completely financial. Convergence bidding allows market participants to arbitrage expected price differences between the Day-Ahead and Real-Time markets. Using convergence bids, market participants can sell (buy) energy in the Day-Ahead market, with the explicit requirement to buy (sell) that energy back in the Real-Time market, without intending to physically consume or produce energy in Real-Time. Convergence bids that clear the Day-Ahead market will either earn, or lose, the difference between the Day-Ahead and Real-Time market prices at a specified node multiplied by the megawatt volume of their bids.

²³ The source and the sink are the two ends of a path for which congestion may occur. The CRR represents the difference in the Marginal Cost of Congestion component of the Locational Marginal Prices for the Nodal Prices of the source and sink.

2

3

SDG&E assumed congestion-free clearing prices to develop forecasts for load requirement costs and generation revenues. A forecast of CRR revenues would have required SDG&E to forecast offsetting market-congestion prices at various P-nodes over the 2019 period. Since there are no forward market prices for congestion, we do not have a strong basis to perform this forecast without introducing complexity and additional uncertainty into the forecast.

Market participants, including SDG&E, are offered the ability to purchase CRRs through an auction process. SDG&E may elect to participate in the annual and monthly auction processes to procure the incremental CRRs. Since the incremental CRRs volumes cannot be forecasted, the incremental CRR costs and revenues also cannot be forecasted.

J.

INTER-SCHEDULING COORDINATOR TRADES ("IST")

In the CAISO market, SDG&E may transact ISTs²⁴ bilaterally with counterparties to hedge long or short positions. Under an IST purchase, SDG&E pays the counterparty the contracted energy price and in return receives payment from the CAISO based on the market clearing price. Under an IST sale, SDG&E receives payment from the counterparty based on the contracted energy price and in return pays the market clearing price to the CAISO. For IST purchases and sales, the payment to, or revenue from, the counterparty is largely offset by the respective credit from, or payment to, the CAISO. Because ISTs are used as a hedge against unknown market prices, SDG&E does not include a forecast of the net cost or benefit from these transactions.

21

²⁴ ISTs are financial bilateral transactions which allow SDG&E to hedge long or short price positions in the market.

IV. SONGS UNIT 1 OFFSITE SPENT FUEL STORAGE COSTS

A. Background

SONGS Unit 1 ceased operation on November 30, 1992. Defueling was completed on March 6, 1993. On July 18, 2005, SDG&E submitted Advice Letter 1709-E, which removed SONGS Unit 1 shutdown O&M expense from the revenue requirement pursuant to D.04-07-022. Southern California Edison Company ("SCE") – the majority owner of SONGS, has decommissioned the Unit 1 facility, and as of 2010, most of the Unit 1 structures and equipment have been removed and disposed of, except for areas shared by Units 2 and 3 for which physical decommissioning and dismantlement has only recently begun.

Spent fuel assemblies from SONGS Unit 1 have been stored since 1972 at the General Electric-Hitachi spent fuel storage facility located in Morris, Illinois. There are 270 spent fuel assemblies from SONGS Unit 1 currently in storage at that facility. Because there are no other facilities currently available in the U.S. for the commercial storage of spent nuclear fuel, those 270 assemblies are expected to remain at the Morris facility until they are accepted for ultimate disposal by the U.S. Department of Energy. Pursuant to the terms of the storage contract with General Electric-Hitachi, payments are made monthly by SCE, which in turn bills SDG&E for its 20% ownership share.

B.

2019 Forecast

SDG&E estimates its 2019 SONGS Unit 1 offsite spent fuel storage expense to be \$1.055 million (\$1.068 million including FF&U), including adjustments for escalation, in accordance with the GE-Hitachi spent fuel storage contract.²⁵ The storage contract utilizes the Bureau of Labor Standards' labor non-financial corporations and industrial commodities indices to forecast escalation rates, which are included in SCE's billing statement to SDG&E. This estimate is

25

SDG&E may recover these costs through ERRA per D.15-12-032.

based on a spent fuel storage cost forecast prepared by SCE's Nuclear Fuel Manager utilizing the contract escalation terms.

1

V. 2019 FORECAST OF GHG COSTS

In this section, I describe the cost forecast for GHG compliance obligations under the California Air Resources Board ("ARB") cap-and-trade program. The cap-and-trade program provides that compliance obligations in the electricity sector are applicable to "first deliverers of electricity."²⁶ Generally, first deliverers of electricity in 2019 are electricity generators inside California that emit more than 25,000 metric tons ("MT") of GHG, and importers of electricity from outside of California. The cap-and-trade program requires that first deliverers of electricity, except publicly-owned utilities and small generators (less than 25,000 MT of emissions), purchase all of the allowances and offsets needed to meet their compliance obligations.²⁷ SDG&E is the first deliverer for its utility-owned generation, for generation it purchases under third-party tolling agreements in California, and for its imports of electricity into California. The cost of allowances and offsets is a direct GHG cost. In Section V.A below, I address direct GHG compliance costs associated with SDG&E utility-owned generation plants, procurement of electricity from third parties under tolling agreements, and electricity imports attributed to SDG&E.

SDG&E customers also face a second type of GHG compliance cost -- indirect costs.
 Indirect costs are costs embedded in market electricity prices, or costs that SDG&E incurs from
 third parties under contracts. The party selling the power is responsible for the GHG allowance

²⁶ ARB, Article 5: California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-based Compliance Mechanisms, Section 95811(b). Available at: <u>https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/c-t-reg-reader-2013.pdf</u>.

²⁷ ARB, Article 5: California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-based Compliance Mechanisms, Section 95851. Available at: <u>https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/c-t-reg-reader-</u> <u>2013.pdf</u>.

acquisition, but it implicitly charges SDG&E for the cost of acquiring allowances. In Section
V.B below, I address indirect GHG costs. In Section V.C, I describe the calculation of both
direct and indirect 2019 GHG costs. Finally, in Section V.D, I discuss the 2019 allowance
auction revenues and the allocations of those revenues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A. Direct GHG Emissions

Each first deliverer of electricity within California must surrender to ARB one allowance or offset for each MT of carbon dioxide emissions, or its equivalent (CO₂e). Under ARB's first deliverer approach, SDG&E will have a direct compliance obligation for GHG emissions from burning natural gas at facilities in its portfolio, including carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide. I forecasted SDG&E's expected direct GHG compliance costs using the same production simulation model results that produced the ERRA expenses discussed above. The amount of fuel needed for each natural gas fired plant is provided as an output based on the expected operation of the plant, including fuel associated with starts. The fuel volume is then multiplied by an emissions factor of 0.05307 MT of CO₂e per MMBtu to calculate direct emissions obligations for each plant.²⁸ The forecast of GHG emissions from SDG&E facilities in 2019 is included in Table 4 below.

Similarly, the estimated emissions for tolling agreements (*e.g.*, Otay Mesa) are estimated
by multiplying the forecast of MMBtu of natural gas burned from the production simulation by
the emission factor of 0.05307 MT of CO₂e per MMBtu. Table 4 below provides the forecast of

ARB's Mandatory Reporting Regulations requires use of emission factors from federal regulations - 40 Code of Federal Regulations ("C.F.R.") Section 98. For pipeline natural gas, there are three components – CO2, CH4, and NO2. Table C-1 of 40 C.F.R. Section 98 provides an emissions rate for CO2 of 0.05302 MT/MMBtu. Table C-2 of 40 C.F.R. Section 98 gives a default emission factor for CH4 of 0.000001 MT/MMBtu. Using a Global Warming Potential of 21, the resulting CO2e emission rate is 0.00002 MT/MMBtu. The default NO2 emission rate is given as 0.0000001 MT/MMBtu, and the Global Warming Potential is 310, resulting in a CO2e emission rate of 0.00003 MT/MMBtu. Combining the 3 elements results in an overall emission rate of 0.05307 MT/MMBtu. SDG&E's portfolio of GHG emitting resources use only natural gas, and not other fuels.

GHG emissions from generators that are under tolling agreements with SDG&E in 2019.

In addition, SDG&E imports out-of-state electricity to a delivery point inside California, and it is thus responsible for the GHG emissions attributed to generation of that electricity. There are three categories of GHG emissions associated with imports. First, there are imports from "specified sources" (*i.e.*, imports where the source of the power is known), which consist of either a specific plant or an asset-controlling supplier. Accordingly, power from SDG&E's Desert Star combined-cycle generation plant in Nevada, for example, is included on the same basis as SDG&E's other utility-owned facilities—multiplying the forecast of MMBtu of natural gas burned from the production simulation by the emission factor of 0.05307 MT of CO₂e per MMBtu.²⁹ Second, imported power from "unspecified sources" is multiplied by an estimated transmission loss factor of 1.02³⁰ to estimate the MWh related to unspecified electricity imports. The quantity is multiplied by the ARB default emission rate, 0.428 metric tons of CO₂e per MWh.

Third, electricity from out-of-state renewable resources that are not imported can be used to offset the emissions of imports under the ARB "Renewable Portfolio Standard ("RPS") adjustment." Specifically, the RPS adjustment is equal to the default emission rate multiplied by the MWh from the eligible renewable resources, as measured at the point of generation.³¹ Currently, SDG&E's RPS adjustment is in dispute by ARB, so a discount of 50% was applied to reflect the potential for a reduced RPS adjustment. Both the emissions of imported power and the offsetting RPS adjustment are shown in Table 4 below. Monthly emissions for all categories

1

2

3

²⁹ SDG&E currently does not have any contracts with asset-controlling suppliers such as the Bonneville Power Administration or Powerex. ARB assigns an emissions factor based on the entire portfolio for these suppliers.

⁰ Transmission losses on SDG&E's system are measured at approximately 2% of load requirement.

³¹ ARB, Article 5: California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-based Compliance Mechanisms, Section 95852(b)(4)(C). Available at: <u>https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/c-t-reg-reader-2013.pdf</u>.

21

1

are summarized in Attachment E.

B. Indirect GHG Emissions

In addition to the direct GHG costs described above, the cap-and-trade program results in GHG compliance costs being embedded in the market price of electricity procured in the wholesale market and from third parties. The cost to purchase electricity from the wholesale market, as well as from suppliers under contracts that include market-based prices, will have these embedded costs of compliance with the cap-and-trade program built into the electricity price. The compliance instrument will be procured by the first deliverer, rather than by SDG&E, as purchaser. SDG&E's expected indirect GHG compliance costs are based on an assumption that all power sold by SDG&E-controlled assets are used by SDG&E customers, up to the level of the forecasted SDG&E load.³² If the total CAISO market purchases exceed the MWh from SDG&E-controlled generation, then the assumption is that SDG&E entered into market purchases to cover this difference. To estimate the GHG emissions embedded in these net CAISO market purchases, SDG&E used the ARB's default emissions rate, 0.428 MT per MWh. In addition to market purchases, contracts with some Combined Heat and Power ("CHP") facilities are included as indirect costs. Specific CHP contracts require payments based on a market electricity price (with embedded GHG costs), or a fixed heat rate with the GHG cost based on the contract heat rate; or in other cases, a reimbursement of GHG expenditures incurred by the CHP facility associated with sales to SDG&E. These contracts represent a second source of indirect GHG costs in that the CHP owner acquires GHG compliance instruments.

³² In fact, however, the generation is bid into the CAISO market and dispatched by CAISO to meet statewide needs. The simplifying assumption is used to calculate net CAISO market purchases – all CAISO purchases less all resources that are forecasted to successfully bid into the CAISO market by SDG&E, including imports. However, SDG&E does make an adjustment for expected sales of renewable energy beyond regulatory requirements.

Contractual GHG costs do not provide a good estimate of actual GHG costs.

Determining actual GHG costs however, is difficult because it requires knowledge of
confidential counterparty data and the choice of method used to split the GHG emissions
between electricity production and useful thermal energy. For simplicity, SDG&E estimates
GHG costs associated with CHP on the assumption that the CHP units, on average, are as
efficient as unspecified power, assigning a 0.428 MT per MWh emissions rate to all purchases of
power from CHP facilities. The GHG emissions from indirect sources are summarized on an
annual basis in Table 4 and on a monthly basis in Appendix E.

Table 4: 2019 GHG Tot	otal Emissions Forecast							
Resource	Fuel (000	GHG (000						
	MMBtu)	Metric Tons)						
Palomar- UOG								
Otay Mesa- PPA								
Otay Mesa - UOG								
Desert Star- Out of State								
Goal Line- PPA								
Orange Grove-PPA								
Escondido Energy Center-PPA								
Pio Pico- PPA								
Carlsbad Energy Center- PPA								
Naval Station - PPA								
North Island - PPA								
Miramar- UOG								
Yuma- PPA Out of State								
Fuel-Based								
	Generat	ion (GWh)						
Imports								
RPS Adjustment								
Total Direct Emissions								
Resource	Generat	ion (GWh)						
Net Market Purchases								
СНР								
Total Indirect Emissions								
Total Forecasted Emissions		3,824						
Conversions								
Natural Gas	0 05307	MTons/MMRtu						
Markat Burchasas	0.05307	MTons/MM/b						
Imports	0.420	MTons/MM/h						
imports	0.428							

C. 2019 GHG Costs

I calculated a proxy for the 2019 GHG emissions price as \$15.74/MT. This figure was derived using a recent (March 1, 2018) assessment of 2019 GHG market prices based on the average of forward prices on the Intercontinental Exchange ("ICE") over the previous 22-day period, consistent with the period used for forecasting natural gas and electricity prices associated with the forecast of emissions in Table 4. The GHG cost forecast multiplies the expected emissions, both direct and indirect, by the forecasted proxy GHG price resulting in forecasted GHG costs for 2019 of \$49.8 million for ERRA and \$10.4 million for Local Generation.

D.

2019 Allowance Auction Revenues

The ARB allocates cap-and-trade allowances to SDG&E for 2019. SDG&E is required to place all of these allowances for sale in ARB's 2019 quarterly auctions. I developed the forecast of allowance revenues by multiplying the total number of allowances allocated to SDG&E for consignment by a forecast price for the allowances.³³

Under ARB's regulations, the allowances available for allocation to electrical distribution utilities each budget year is currently 97.7 million MT multiplied by the cap adjustment factor (0.869 (for 2019)), and SDG&E's share of electric sector allowances (7.2872% (for 2019)).³⁴ The total allowances that will be allocated to SDG&E for 2019 is expected to be 6,186,936 MT. The allowance price is the same proxy price as used in the calculation of GHG costs, \$15.74/MT. The allowance auction revenue forecast is the allowances allocated times the allowance price or \$97.4 million.

³³ I assumed all allowances are sold in the auction process, which is consistent with the assumption that the market-clearing price is above the price floor.

ARB, Cap-and-Trade Regulation, Section 95891 at Tables 9-2 and 9-3.

The available funds reserved for the clean energy and energy efficiency programs are equal to 15 percent of the forecasted 2019 allowance auction revenue amount or \$14.6 million.

Section 2870 allocates a portion of the allowance auction revenue reserved for clean energy and energy efficiency projects to the Solar on Multifamily Affordable Housing ("SOMAH") Program. Consistent with AB 693, this program provides financial incentives for installation of solar energy systems on multifamily affordable housing properties, as specified in the statute. For 2019, the funding amount is \$9.7 million which is 10% of the forecasted 2019 allowance auction revenue amount described in Section 2870.

VI.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, SDG&E requests that the Commission approve the forecasts provided in my testimony for use in developing the ERRA, TCBA, LG and SONGS Unit 1 Offsite Spent Fuel Storage Cost revenue requirements. SDG&E also requests that the Commission authorize recovery of the forecasted 2019 GHG costs, which are also used in determining the revenue requirement, and the volumetric revenue return for small business and residential customers. This concludes my direct testimony.

VII. **QUALIFICATIONS**

My name is Jennifer Montanez. My business address is 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, California, 92123. I received a B.S. in Business Administration, with an emphasis in Accounting, from California State University San Marcos.

I have been employed as a Senior Resource Planner in the Resource Planning group of SDG&E since 2016. Prior to that, I was employed in positions of increasing responsibility in the following SDG&E departments: Electric & Fuel Procurement and Energy Risk Management. I

- 1 also served as an accountant for various Sempra Energy business units for five years. I have
- 2 been employed with Sempra Energy Company or SDG&E for 11 years.

Attachment A

	PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO P.U.C. CODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D.06-06-066 as needed													
ATTAC	HMENT A - SDG&E 2019 ERRA and LG EXPENSES													
										-			-	
1	EXPENSES (\$)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2019
2	ISO Load Charges (Energy & A/S Costs)													-
3	ISO Supply Revenues													-
4	Contract Costs (non-CTC)													-
5	Contract Costs (CTC up to flikt)													-
7	CAISO Miss Casts													-
8	Hedging Costs & Einancial Transactions													-
<u>a</u>	Contract Costs - CHP Costs (AB1613)													-
10	Customer Incentives - SPP_DR 20/20													
11	Bewards/Penalties - Palomar Energy Ctr													-
12	WREGIS Costs													
13	ISO CRBs Costs													
14	ISO Convergence Bidding Costs													
15	Rebalancing Costs (OMEC)													
16	Purchased Tradable Renewable Energy Credits (TRECs)													
17	Sales Tradable Renewable Energy Credits (TRECs)													
18	Net Surplus Compensation Costs (AB920)													
19	Authorized Disallowances													
20	Greenhouse Gas & Carrying Costs													
21	Total Balancing Account Expenses													\$ 1,114,374.090
					1									. , ,, ,,
	Line & Contract Costs (non-CTC)													
	Otav Mesa Energy Center PPA payment													
	Otay Mesa Energy Center Energy Costs													
	Lake Hodges													
	El Cajon Energy Center Peaker Costs													
	Orange Grove Peaker Costs													
	Other RA Capacity Costs (RA RFO, DRAM)													
	Morgan Stanley Index Costs													
	BP Energy Costs													
	Renewable Energy	\$ 38,440,051	\$ 43,364,995	\$ 56,323,548	\$ 60,657,451	\$ 65,845,636	\$ 62,807,181	\$ 71,686,697	\$ 69,191,674	\$ 61,047,737	\$ 58,510,767	\$ 38,907,603	\$ 37,474,685	\$ 664,258,024
	Line 4 Total													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Mirramar													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Miramar 2													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Miramar Cuyamaca													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Miramar 2 Cuyamaca Line 6 Total													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Miramar 2 Cuyamaca Line 6 Total													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Deserf Star Otay Mesa Miramar Miramar Cuyamaca Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Miramar 2 Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Miramar 2 Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Deserf Star Otay Mesa Miramar Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar 2 Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Line 8 Total													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Purchases and Sales													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Curyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Market Costs Total Market Costs													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar City Mesa Cityamaca Cityamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenue Net Costs (Revenues)													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenue Net Costs (Revenues)													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Line 8 Total Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Market Costs Net Costs (Revenues)													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cty Mesa Miramar Cty Mesa Ctyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Costs Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenue Net Costs (Revenues) LG Expenses Carleba Charter cost													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Costs Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenue Net Costs (Revenues) LG Expenses Carlsbad Energy Center cost Fi Caine Freew Strate cost Carlsbad Energy Center cost El Caine Freew Strate cost													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Une 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Line 8 Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenue Net Costs (Revenues) LG Expenses Carlsbad Energy Center cost EI Cajon Energy Storage cost EPC Energy Storage cost EPC Energy Storage cost													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Costs Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenue Net Costs (Revenues) LG Expenses Carlsbad Energy Storage cost EPC Energy Storage cost													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Costs Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenue Net Costs (Revenues) LG Expenses Carlsbad Energy Center cost EI Cajon Energy Storage cost EPC Energy Storage cost EScondido Energy Center cost													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cty Mesa Cty Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Une 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Line 8 Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Market Costs Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenue Net Costs (Revenues) LGarbad Energy Center cost El Cajon Energy Storage cost EPC Energy Storage cost EScondido Energy Storage cost EScondido Energy Storage cost Escondido Energy Storage cost Escondido Energy Storage cost Di Pip Cinc cost Di Pip Ci													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cutya Mesa Miramar Cutyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Costs Total Market Purchases and Sales Market Purchases and Sales Carlsbad Energy Center cost EI Cajon Energy Storage cost EI Cajon Energy Storage cost EScondido Energy Center cost Escondido Energy Storage cost Pio Pico cost Pio Pico cost Not UCE Energy Storage Cost Pico Pico Cost Not UCE Energy Storage Cost Pico Pico Cost Not UCE Energy Storage Cost Pico Pico Cost Pico Pico Pico Pico Pico Pico Pico Pico													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Une 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Costs Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenue Net Costs (Revenues) LIG Expenses Carlsbad Energy Center cost El Cajon Energy Storage cost EPC Energy Storage cost Escondido Energy Center cost Escondido Energy Storage cost Escondido Energy Storage cost Dio Pico cost Non UOG Energy Storage Cost Non UOG Energy Storage Cost C GCHP cost													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Costs Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenue Net Costs (Revenues) LG Expenses Carlsbad Energy Storage cost EFC Energy Storage cost EFC Energy Storage cost EFC Energy Storage cost Escondido Energy Storage cost Escondido Energy Storage Cost Escondido Energy Storage Cost Dia													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Cuyamaca Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Costs Broker Fees Line 8 Total Market Costs Total Market Costs Total Sales Revenues Net Costs (Revenues) LG Expenses Carlsbad Energy Center cost El Cajon Energy Storage cost EPC Energy Storage cost EScondido Energy Center cost Escondido Energy Center cost Escondido Energy Center cost Escondido Energy Storage cost Pio Pico cost Non UOG Energy Storage Cost Di Pico cost Non UOG Energy Storage Cost Di C GHP cost Di C GHC cost DI													
	Line 6 Generation Fuel Palomar Desert Star Otay Mesa Miramar Cuyamaca Line 6 Total Cuyamaca Line 6 Total In Lieu Gas Fees Palomar Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Line 8 Hedging Costs & Financial Transactions Hedging Costs & Total Market Costs Total Market Purchases and Sales Total Market Costs Total Market Costs Carlsbad Energy Center cost El Cajon Energy Storage cost ESCONID Energy Storage cost Escondido Energy Storage cost Escondido Energy Storage cost Dio Pico cost Non UOG Energy Storage Cost IG CHP Cost Carl Cost Non UOG Energy Storage Cost IG CHP Cost Coad Generation Direct GHG cost Local Generation Revenue													

Attachment B

		PRIVILE	EGED AND CONF	IDENTIAL PURSU	JANT TO P.U.C. C	ODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D	0.06-06-066 as ne	eded					
ATTACHMENT D. ODORE 0040 CENEDATION DODTEOL														
ATTACHMENT B - SDG&E 2019 GENERATION PORTFOL	IO DELIVERT VO	LUWES (GWN)											-	
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mav	Jun	.lul	Δυσ	Sen	Oct	Nov	Dec	-	2019
CTC QF	oun	105	inai		indy		U	,	COP	000		200		2010
Non-CTC QF														
TOTAL QF														
	4													
Renewable - Bio Gas	14.7	12.7	15.1	13.4	14.4	13.6	16.0	16.7	17.8	15.9	15.6	16.0		181.9
Renewable - Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.1	-	-		2.0
Renewable - Solar	214.6	250.5	320.7	351.0	382.9	373.1	360.1	351.6	307.8	286.9	225.4	201.0		3,625.5
Renewable - Wind	118.8	116.8	178.2	213.9	234.1	206.6	181.9	144.2	126.9	126.0	106.0	121.0		1,874.3
Renewable - Wind REC	110.3	155.1	134.5	93.6	78.4	91.9	73.7	63.6	100.9	84.5	119.4	130.0		1,236.0
Renewable - RPS Sales	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		-
TOTAL NON-QF RENEWABLE	458.3	535.0	648.5	671.9	709.8	685.2	632.3	576.9	553.9	513.5	466.4	468.0		6,919.6
Miromor														
Miramar 2	-													
	-													
Palomar	-													
Otav Mesa Energy Center	-													
Desert Star														
Kelco	-													
Lake Hodges	-													
BP														
Morgan Stanley														
El Cajon Energy Center	-													
Orange Grove														
Escondido Energy Center														
Pio Pico														
Carlsbad Energy Center														
AMS Energy Storage														
Naval Station														
North Island														
El Cajon Energy Storage														
EPC Energy Storage														
Escondido Energy Storage														
RPS Sales Residual Generation	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		-
TOTAL GENERATION														
Market Purchases														
TOTAL PORTFOLIO DELIVERIES														
Surplus Energy Sold														
Energy Storage Charging Load														
LOAD REQUIREMENT (GWh)														
Note 1: Total Portfolio Deliveries do not include Wind REC														
Note 2: Load Requirement is SDG&E bundled load including	g transmission los	ses												

Attachment C

ATTACHMENT C - SDG&E 2019 RENEWABLE RESOURCE	EDETAIL												
Power Purchase Deliveries (GWb)	lan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	lun	Jul	Aug	Sen	Oct	Nov	Dec	2019
BIO GAS	van	100	mai	Дрі	indy	oun	oui	Aug	ocp	001	1101	Dee	2010
Lakeside BioGas LLC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.0	8.8
MM Prima Deshecha Energy LLC	6.0	5.7	6.6	5.7	6.5	6.3	7.3	7.2	7.0	6.1	6.4	6.3	77.0
MM San Diego LLC- Miramar Landfill	2.5	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.2	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.4	2.3	2.4	29.8
BIOGAS_FIT	6.2	5.1	6.1	5.4	5.4	5.2	5.7	6.0	5.7	5.4	5.0	5.3	66.4
Subtotal	14.7	12.7	15.1	13.4	14.4	13.6	16.0	16.7	17.8	15.9	15.6	16.0	181.9
OTHER													
SMALL HYDRO RAM	-	-		-	-	-	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.1		-	2.0
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.1	-	-	2.0
SOLAR													
NRG Borrego Solar	3.6	4.5	6.4	7.1	7.7	7.9	7.8	7.3	5.9	5.3	4.1	2.9	70.4
Sol Orchard	1.6	2.2	3.0	3.3	2.9	3.6	3.7	3.5	2.8	2.5	2.0	1.7	32.7
Solar Energy Project	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	11.4
SOLAR_PV_FIT	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	0.9	0.9	13.7
Arlington Valley Solar	19.9	24.3	33.1	36.5	40.3	40.0	38.1	36.4	31.3	28.4	21.8	19.2	369.2
Calipatria	3.0	3.2	5.3	5.3	6.0	5.8	5.2	5.5	5.2	4.1	3.6	2.6	54.8
Campo Verde	24.3	27.0	33.3	33.8	35.3	33.2	31.5	33.1	30.3	30.6	24.6	23.2	360.2
Catalina_Solar	15.9	19.2	23.8	24.0	26.8	26.6	27.0	26.1	24.4	21.7	19.3	16.9	271.6
Centinela Solar1	21.4	25.2	31.4	36.6	40.6	40.0	38.7	36.9	31.6	28.8	21.7	19.6	372.4
Centinela Solar2	7.7	9.1	11.3	13.2	14.6	14.4	13.9	13.3	11.4	10.4	7.8	7.0	134.1
Desert Green	0.7	1.0	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.2	0.9	0.7	14.3
Imperial Valley Solar I	30.0	36.6	48.4	55.9	63.1	61.4	59.5	55.9	45.5	41.9	31.2	26.4	555.6
Maricopa West Solar	3.0	3.2	5.3	5.3	6.0	5.8	5.2	5.5	5.2	4.1	3.6	2.6	54.8
Midway Solar	2.7	2.8	4.7	5.1	5.7	5.5	5.2	4.2	4.3	3.8	2.5	2.3	48.7
TallBear Seville	3.4	4.0	5.0	5.9	6.5	6.4	6.2	5.9	5.1	4.6	3.5	3.1	59.6
SolarGen 2	25.7	30.3	37.7	43.9	48.7	48.0	46.4	44.3	37.9	34.5	26.0	23.5	446.9
Cascade SunEdison	2.9	3.8	5.0	5.2	6.1	6.1	5.9	5.5	4.8	4.2	3.2	2.9	55.5
Csolar IV South	20.9	23.2	27.5	29.7	30.7	28.7	28.2	28.6	26.4	26.0	21.4	19.7	311.1
Csolar IV West	26.2	29.2	35.9	36.5	38.1	35.9	33.9	35.8	32.7	33.0	26.5	25.1	388.7
Subtotal	214.6	250.5	320.7	351.0	382.9	373.1	360.1	351.6	307.8	286.9	225.4	201.0	3,625.5
WIND													
Glacier Wind (TREC)	49.4	80.9	63.3	43.0	37.5	44.7	36.2	31.0	48.3	35.4	48.1	61.2	578.8
Rim Rock (TREC)	60.8	74.2	71.3	50.6	40.9	47.2	37.5	32.6	52.6	49.1	71.4	68.8	657.2
Kumeyaay	12.5	12.9	14.5	12.1	13.7	11.5	10.2	7.3	7.5	6.9	8.9	15.5	133.6
Coram Energy	1.2	1.4	2.5	2.9	3.1	3.2	2.7	2.2	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.7	25.7
Energia Sierra Juarez	44.5	34.3	41.7	46.2	44.5	35.4	28.3	21.6	30.3	32.3	35.1	34.7	428.7
Manzana Wind	12.5	14.6	23.5	27.9	30.4	35.3	28.5	23.8	9.9	11.0	9.7	16.8	244.0
Oak Creek Wind Power	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	5.4
Oasis Power Partners	6.9	8.6	15.8	18.2	20.2	21.7	20.4	17.0	11.1	11.3	9.3	9.5	170.1
Ocotillo Express	24.6	25.9	48.8	68.6	81.0	57.1	58.4	44.2	45.2	39.8	22.0	18.9	534.6
Pacific Wind	15.1	17.2	27.7	33.9	36.7	37.6	29.0	24.4	18.9	20.8	17.5	21.6	300.3
San Gorgonio	1.3	1.6	3.0	3.4	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.2	2.1	2.1	1.7	1.9	31.9
Subtotal	229.1	271.9	312.7	307.5	312.5	298.6	255.6	207.9	227.7	210.6	225.4	251.0	3,110.2
RPS SALES	-		- 1	-	-	-		- 1	-	-		- 1	-
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Power Purchase Costs (\$000)													
	\$ 1,223	» 1,039	ծ 1,244 ¢	ծ 1,108 ¢	৯ 1,170 জ	» 1,099 «	\$ 1,299	\$ 1,393 \$ 50	\$ 1,566 S	5 1,415	1,345	» 1,385	\$ 15,288
SOLAR	φ - \$ 22,563	\$ 26.479	φ - \$ 33.953		\$ 39,977	φ - \$ 39,251	φ 40 \$ 49,635	9 58 \$ 50.943		40.675	23.581	\$ 20.711	\$ 426,694
WIND	\$ 10,709	\$ 10,514	\$ 16,372	\$ 19,970	\$ 21,944	\$ 19,222	\$ 18,129	\$ 14,573	\$ 13,225	13,348	9,611	\$ 10,792	\$ 178,407
WIND (REC)	\$ 3,944	\$ 5,333	\$ 4,754	\$ 3,318	\$ 2,756	\$ 3,235	\$ 2,578	\$ 2,225	\$ 3,546	3,061	4,371	\$ 4,586	\$ 43,707
RPS SALES	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$		s -	\$ -	\$ -
Subtotal	\$ 38,440	\$ 43,365	\$ 56,324	\$ 60,657	\$ 65,846	\$ 62,807	\$ 71,687	\$ 69,192	\$ 61,048	5 58,511	38,908	\$ 37,475	\$ 664,258

Attachment D

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO P.U.C. CODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D.06-06-066 as needed														
ATTACHMENT D - SDG&E 2019 CTC QUALIFYING FACIL	ITY (QF) DETAIL													
								-	-	-		_		
CTC QF - Dispatchable (GWh)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	20	19
Goal Line QF														
Yuma Cogen Associates QF														
CTC QF - SRAC Priced (GWh)														
Aggregation of Hydro Units (SO1)														
Subtotal														
ERRA Expenses (\$000)														
CTC QF														
(to Line 5 of Attachment A)														
TCBA Expenses (\$000)														
CTC QF													\$	13,230

Attachment E

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO P.U.C. CODE 583, 454.5(g), GO 66-C and D.06-066 as needed													
ATTACHMENT E - SDG&E GREENHOUSE GAS (GHG) DE	TAIL												
2019 Direct Emissions (MT)	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	2019
California UOG Plants													
California Tolling Generators													
Specified Imports													
Unspecified Imports													
RPS Adjustment													
Total Direct Emissions													
2019 Indirect Emissions (MT)													
Market Purchases													
СНР													
Total Indirect Emissions													
2019 Total Forecasted Emissions													3,166,987

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

AB: Assembly Bill ARB: California Air Resource Board A/S: Ancillary Services CAISO: California Independent System Operator **CEC:** California Energy Commission CHP: Combined Heat and Power CO₂e: Carbon Dioxide Emissions **CRR:** Congestion Revenue Rights CTC: Competition Transition Charge Desert Star: Desert Star Energy Center DLAP: Default Load Aggregation Point ECR: Enhanced Community Renewables ERRA: Energy Resource Recovery Account FERC: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission FF&U: Franchise Fee and Uncollectible GHG: Greenhouse Gas GMC: Grid Management Charges GT: Green Tariff GTSR: Green Tariff Shared Renewable **GWh: Gigawatt Hours** ICE: Intercontinental Exchange ISO: Independent System Operator IST: Inter-Scheduling Coordinator Trades LG: Local Generation LGBA: Local Generation Balancing Account O&M: Operating and Maintenance

OMEC: Otay Mesa Energy Center MIRAMAR I: Miramar Energy Facility I MIRAMAR II: Miramar Energy Facility II MMBtu: Million British Thermal Units MPB: Market Price Benchmark MRTU: Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade MT: Metric Ton MW: Megawatt MWh: Megawatt Hour NOB: Northern Oregon Border Palomar: Palomar Energy Center PCIA: Power Charge Indifference Adjustment PPA: Power Purchase Agreement PURPA: Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act QFs: Qualifying Facilities **REC:** Renewable Energy Credit **RPS:** Renewables Portfolio Standard SCE: Southern California Energy Company SDG&E: San Diego Gas & Electric Company SOMAH: Solar on Multifamily Affordable Housing SRAC: Short-Run Avoided Cost SONGS: San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station TCBA: Transition Cost Balancing Account WREGIS: Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System YCA: Yuma Cogeneration Associates

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DECLARATION OF ROBERT B. ANDERSON REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN DATA/DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO D.16-08-024, et al.

I, Robert B. Anderson, do declare as follows:

1. I am a Director in the Resource Planning department for San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E"). I have been delegated authority to sign this declaration by Emily C. Shults, Vice President of Energy Supply. I have reviewed Jennifer Montanez's Prepared Direct Testimony ("Testimony") in support of SDG&E's "Application ... for Approval of its 2019 Electric Procurement Revenue Requirement Forecasts and GHG-Related Forecasts" ("Application"). I am personally familiar with the facts and representations in this Declaration and, if called upon to testify, I could and would testify to the following based upon my personal knowledge and/or information and belief.

2. I hereby provide this Declaration in accordance with Decisions ("D.") 16-08-024, D.17-05-035, and D.17-09-023 to demonstrate that the confidential information ("Protected Information") provided in the Testimony is within the scope of data protected as confidential under applicable law.

3. In accordance with the legal authority described herein, the Protected Information should be protected from public disclosure.

1

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed this 13th day of April, 2018, at San Diego.

Robert B. Anderson (Director)

ATTACHMENT A

SDG&E Request for Confidentiality on the following information in its Application for Approval of Its 2018 Electric Procurement Revenue Requirement Forecasts and GHG-Related Forecasts

Location of Protected	Legal Authority	Narrative Justification
Information		
JRM-21 Table 4	D.14-10-033;	The information does not expressly fall within
Application Attachment	D.16-08-024;	any category of the IOU Matrix applicable to
G, Template D-2:	D.17-05-035;	electric procurement information, but is
Forecasted Emissions	D.17-09-023;	market-sensitive information in that providing
and Costs; and	Public Utilities	these GHG emissions forecasts to market
Template D-5:	Code Section	participants would allow them to know
Forecasted Emissions	454.5(g).	SDG&E's forecasted GHG obligation, thereby
Intensity		compromising SDG&E's contractual
		bargaining power such that customer costs are
Attachment E - SDG&E		likely to rise. Thus, the release of this non-
Greenhouse Gas (GHG)		public confidential information will
Detail		unjustifiably allow market participants to use
		this information to the disadvantage of
		SDG&E's customers.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DECLARATION OF JENNIFER R. MONTANEZ

A.18-04-_

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902-E) for Approval of Its 2019 Electric Procurement Revenue Requirement Forecasts and GHG-Related Forecasts

I, Jennifer R. Montanez, declare as follows:

1. I am a Senior Resource Planner for San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E"). I included my Prepared Direct Testimony ("Testimony") in support of SDG&E's April 13, 2018 Application for Approval of its 2019 Electric Procurement Revenue Requirement Forecasts and GHG-Related Forecasts ("Application"). Additionally, as a Senior Resource Planner, I am thoroughly familiar with the facts and representations in this declaration, and if called upon to testify I could and would testify to the following based upon personal knowledge.

2. I am providing this Declaration to demonstrate that the confidential information ("Protected Information") in support of the referenced Application falls within the scope of data provided confidential treatment in the IOU Matrix ("Matrix") attached to the Commission's Decision ("D.") 06-06-066 (the Phase I Confidentiality decision). Pursuant to the procedure adopted in D.08-04-023, I am addressing each of the following five features of Ordering Paragraph 2 of D.06-06-066:

- that the material constitutes a particular type of data listed in the Matrix;
- the category or categories in the Matrix the data correspond to;
- that SDG&E is complying with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the Matrix for that type of data;
- that the information is not already public; and

• that the data cannot be aggregated, redacted, summarized, masked or otherwise protected in a way that allows partial disclosure.

3. The Protected Information contained in my Testimony constitutes material, market sensitive, electric procurement-related information that is within the scope of Section 454.5(g) of the Public Utilities Code.¹ As such, the Protected Information is allowed confidential treatment in accordance with the Matrix, as follows:

Confidential Information	Matrix	Reason for Confidentiality and Timing
	Reference	
JRM-3 lines 7-8	V.C	LSE Total Energy Forecast – Bundled
		Customer; confidential for the front three years
JRM-5 Table 1	IV.F	Forecast of Post-1/1/2003 Bilateral Contracts;
		confidential for three years
JRM-5 line 7	VI.A	Utility Bundled Net Open Position for
		Capacity; confidential for the front three years
JRM-6 Table 2	IV.A	Forecast of IOU Generation Resources;
		confidential for three years
JRM-6 line 14	V.H	Net capacity and energy forecasts by retail
		provider; confidential for the front three years
JRM-8 line 12	IV.B	Forecast of Qualifying Facility Generation;
		confidential for three years
JRM-9 line 3	IV.J	Forecast of Wholesale Market Purchases;
		confidential for the front three years
JRM-9 line 18	II.A.2,	Utility Electric Price Forecasts; confidential for
		three years,
	V.C	LSE Total Energy Forecast, confidential for
		the front three years
JRM-10 line 4	II.A.2,	Utility Electric Price Forecasts; confidential for
		three years,
	II.B.1,	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation, confidential for three years,
	II.B.3,	Generation Cost Forecasts of QF Contracts,
		confidential for three years,
	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecasts of Non-QF Bilateral
		Contracts, confidential for three years
JRM-10 lines 8, 11-13	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecast of Non-QF Bilateral
JRM-13 line 7		Contracts; confidential for three years
JRM-12 line 5	II.B.3	Generation Cost Forecast of QF Contracts;
		confidential for three years

¹ In addition to the details addressed herein, SDG&E believes that the information being furnished in my Testimony is governed by Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C. Accordingly, SDG&E seeks confidential treatment of this data under those provisions, as applicable.

Confidential Information	Matrix	Reason for Confidentiality and Timing
	Reference	
JRM-12 line 14	II.B.1	Generation Cost Forecasts of Utility Retained
		Generation, confidential for three years
JRM-13 lines 11 and 13	II.A.2	Utility Electric Price Forecasts; confidential for
		three years
JRM-13 line 20	I.A.4	Long-term Fuel (gas) Buying and Hedging;
JRM-21 Table 4		confidential for three years
JRM-21 Table 4		GHG emissions forecast: Providing these forecasts to
		market participants would allow them to know
		compromising SDG&E's contractual bargaining power
		such that customer costs are likely to rise. Thus, the
		release of this non-public confidential information will
		unjustifiably allow market participants to use this information to the disadvantage of $SDG\&E$'s sustainers
Attachment A - SDG&F 2019	XI	Monthly Procurement Costs: confidential for
ERRA and LG Expenses	711 711	three years
Attachment B - SDG&E 2019		
Generation Portfolio Delivery		
Volumes		
• Cuyamaca, Palomar,	IV.A	Forecast of IOU Generation Resources;
Desert Star, and Miramar		confidential for three years
data	IV.E	Forecast of Pre-1/1/2003 Bilateral Contracts;
		confidential for three years
• QF data	IV.B	Forecast of Qualifying Facility Generation;
		confidential for three years
• Otay Mesa, Celerity,	IV.F	Forecast of Post-1/1/2003 Bilateral Contracts;
Kelco, Lake Hodges,		confidential for three years
Wellhead, and Orange		
Grove data		
Market Purchase data	IV.J	Forecast of Wholesale Market Purchases;
		confidential for the front three years
Surplus Energy Sold data	IV.K	Forecast of Wholesale Market Sales;
Load Requirement data		contidential for the front three years
	V.C	LSE Total Energy Forecast – Bundled
		Customer; confidential for the front three years

Confidential Information	Matrix	Reason for Confidentiality and Timing				
	Reference	, e				
Attachment D - SDG&E 2019						
CTC Qualifying Facility (QF)						
Detail						
• QF data	IV.E	Forecast of Pre-1/1/2003 Bilateral Contracts;				
		confidential for three years				
• Long-Term Power	IV.B	Forecast of Qualifying Facility Generation;				
Purchase CTC data		confidential for three years				
• CTC QF & Non CTC QF	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecast of Non-QF Bilateral				
data		Contracts; confidential for three years				
•	II.B.3	Generation Cost Forecast of QF Contracts;				
 TCBA Expenses data 		confidential for three years				
	II.B.3 and	Generation Cost Forecast of QF Contracts;				
		confidential for three years				
	II.B.4	Generation Cost Forecast of Non-QF Bilateral				
		Contracts; confidential for three years				
Attachment E - SDG&E		GHG emissions forecasts: Providing these forecasts to				
Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Detail		market participants would allow them to know				
		SDG&E's GHG forecasted GHG obligation, thereby				
		such that customer costs are likely to rise. Thus, the				
		release of this non-public confidential information will				
		unjustifiably allow market participants to use this				
		information to the disadvantage of SDG&E's customers.				

4. I am not aware of any instances where the Protected Information has been disclosed to the public. To my knowledge, no party, including SDG&E, has publicly revealed any of the Protected Information.

5. SDG&E will comply with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the Matrix for the Protected Information.

6. The Protected Information cannot be provided in a form that is aggregated, partially redacted, or summarized, masked or otherwise protected in a manner that would allow further disclosure of the data while still protecting confidential information.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 13th day of April, 2018, at San Diego, California.

ay in

Jennifer R. Montanez Senior Resource Planner San Diego Gas & Electric Company