

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE
PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS,
THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND
THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS
(A.16-09-005)**

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-018)

**Date Requested: August 28, 2017
Date Responded: September 8, 2017**

QUESTION 18.1:

With respect to the response to Q.13.1.6, which states: “The District funded the installation of Mainline Valve 2001-139.76-0 and the Line 2001 West replacement project, as indicated in response to TURN-SCGC Q.13.1.4. The costs associated with installation of a vault surrounding the new mainline valve were tracked separately because this scope of work is part of a PSEP Valve Enhancement Plan project and, as such, SoCalGas and SDG&E request authorization to recover the costs associated with the vault installation in this Application.”

- 18.1.1.** Please explain in detail why SoCalGas/SDG&E believe that the vault surrounding the new mainline valve is part of a PSEP Valve Enhancement Plan project.

RESPONSE 18.1.1:

The vault is required for the valve actuator, which is required for automation. The actuator requires periodic maintenance. If the actuator cannot be situated above ground, it would need to be surrounded by a vault large enough to allow maintenance personnel to access it. If an actuator were not required on the valve then the valve would have had an extension to the surface to allow for opening or closing the valve. The valve and extension would have been buried, without need for a vault.

- 18.1.2.** If the new valve had to be encased in a vault as part of a PSEP Valve Enhancement Plan project, why didn't the Stage 3 design, which located the valve above the ground on private land, include a vault to encase the valve?

RESPONSE 18.1.2:

See response to TURN-SCGC Q4.3.5.8.

- 18.1.3.** What is the total cost associated with the installation of the vault surrounding the new mainline valve?

RESPONSE 18.1.3:

The total cost for the Contractor for the vault installation was \$476,203 and was funded by the District. SoCalGas/SDG&E will not seek recovery of the vault installation costs in this proceeding. See amended response to TURN-SCGC Q13.1.6. In addition to these direct costs, there may be additional costs for SoCalGas/SDG&E labor and non-construction costs for activities, such as project

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE
PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS,
THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND
THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS
(A.16-09-005)**

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-018)

**Date Requested: August 28, 2017
Date Responded: September 8, 2017**

management and inspection services, that were not tracked and reported separately for this specific item.

QUESTION 18.2 :

Has the total cost associated with the installation of the vault surrounding the new mainline valve that was apparently tracked separately been included in the totals stated in response to Q.13.1.4:

Project	Direct Capital	Direct O&M	Indirect Costs	Total
L2001 West Sections 10,11&14*	\$3,783,073	\$8,104,456	\$1,137,742	\$13,025,271
MLV 2001-139.76-0 Installation**	\$1,496,477	\$0	\$73,090	\$1,569,567
L2001 West Replacement**	\$1,309,472	\$0	\$153,190	\$1,462,662

RESPONSE 18.2:

Yes.

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE
PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS,
THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND
THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS
(A.16-09-005)**

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-018)

**Date Requested: August 28, 2017
Date Responded: September 8, 2017**

QUESTION 18.3:

If the answer to the previous question is “yes,” please indicate which of the direct capital cost figures in the table includes the total cost associated with the installation of the vault surrounding the new mainline valve.

RESPONSE 18.3:

The cost for the vault installation is represented in the previous table in the costs for MLV 2001-139.76-0 Installation. As stated in amended response to TURN-SCGC DR-13.1.6, SoCalGas/SDG&E are not seeking cost recovery in this proceeding for this item.

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE
PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS,
THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND
THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS
(A.16-09-005)**

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-018)

**Date Requested: August 28, 2017
Date Responded: September 8, 2017**

QUESTION 18.4:

If the answer to Q.18.2 is "no," please state where SoCalGas/SDG&E have presented the total cost associated with the installation of the vault surrounding the new mainline valve in order to request its recovery in this application.

RESPONSE 18.4:

Not applicable.

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE
PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS,
THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND
THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS
(A.16-09-005)**

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-018)

**Date Requested: August 28, 2017
Date Responded: September 8, 2017**

QUESTION 18.5 :

With respect to the response to Q.13.3.3, please state when the valve enhancement work for Mainline Valve 2001-139.76-0 was completed.

RESPONSE 18.5:

Construction on the vault was completed in February 2015. At a later date a separate valve enhancement project was initiated to install the actuator and control equipment; costs associated with this project will be submitted in a future regulatory filing. The Notice of Operation for the valve enhancement project is dated July 21, 2017. The valve enhancement work is still going through final communications testing between the valve site and Gas Control.