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## PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

BRUCE A. FOLKMANN

## ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY

## I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

Q. Please state your name, position and business address.
A. My name is Bruce A. Folkmann. I am Vice President, Chief Financial Offer, Controller, Chief Accounting Officer, and Treasurer for San Diego Gas \& Electric Company ("SDG\&E") and Southern California Gas Company ("SoCalGas"), Sempra Energy's California regulated utility businesses. My business address is 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego CA, 92123.
Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.
A. I am responsible for overseeing the financial planning and budgeting, energy risk management, financial reporting, treasury management, and affiliate compliance for SDG\&E and SoCalGas.
Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
A. I graduated summa cum laude from the University of Houston Honors College, receiving degrees in Accounting and Finance. I am a Certified Public Accountant. I began my career with Arthur Anderson and a large multinational company. In 2005, I joined Sempra Energy and have held positions of increasing responsibility in Sempra Energy businesses since that time.
Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?
A. No, I have not.
II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
Q. What is the purpose of your testimony, and how is it organized?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide a general overview of the formula rate tariff, the TO5 Formula, that SDG\&E is proposing in this proceeding. In Section III, I provide some background and contextual information about the TO5 Formula. I then discuss the key drivers underlying the changes in SDG\&E's Base Transmission Revenue Requirements ("BTRR"). Next, in Sections IV-VII, I provide additional detail on several of these key drivers, and I identify the SDG\&E witnesses who also testify on those issues.

## III. OVERVIEW OF TO5 FORMULA FILING

Q. Please briefly describe SDG\&E's TO5 Formula filing.
A. SDG\&E's filing proposes a new formula rate tariff mechanism, the TO5 Formula, as a successor to the TO4 Formula. ${ }^{1}$ The TO5 Formula is comprised of Appendix VIII of SDG\&E's TO Tariff, the Formula Rate Protocols, and the Formula Rate Spreadsheet. Most of the features of the TO5 Formula are consistent with the TO4 Formula. SDG\&E witness Jeff Stein highlights the features that have changed.
Q. What is the term of the TO5 Formula?
A. The existing TO4 Formula expires by its own terms on December 31, 2018, and SDG\&E is proposing an effective date of January 1, 2019 for the TO5 Formula in order to provide a seamless transition. The TO5 Formula will remain in effect without a specific termination date. But SDG\&E and interested parties will have

[^0]the right to terminate the TO5 Formula, to be exercised on an annual basis beginning in 2022, by providing SDG\&E and each interested party notice no later than June 30 of any year.
Q. Please explain why SDG\&E is proposing to continue its formulaic ratemaking approach under the TO5 Formula.
A. SDG\&E has established its revenue requirements using a formulaic ratemaking approach for over a decade. I believe the TO4 Formula worked well over the past five years, and interested parties are familiar with SDG\&E's approach, and how the various components of the revenue requirement are developed each year. Thus, continuing the formulaic approach in the TO5 Formula provides stability and consistency for SDG\&E and its stakeholders. I am not aware of any stakeholder that has objected to SDG\&E's formulaic approach.
Q. What is the BTRR that SDG\&E is proposing in the first annual Cycle of the TO5 Formula?
A. The TO5 Cycle 1 retail BTRR is approximately $\$ 911$ million and CAISO Wholesale customers BTRR is approximately $\$ 907$ million.
Q. How does that proposed TO5 Cycle 1 BTRR compare to what is currently in effect in Cycle 5 of the TO4 Formula?
A. The TO5 Cycle 1 retail BTRR represents an increase of approximately $10.6 \%$ compared to the TO4 Cycle 5 BTRR and CAISO Wholesale customers BTRR is an increase of approximately $10.9 \%$.
Q. Please identify the key drivers of the change.
A. The key drivers are the following:

1. Return on Equity ("ROE");
2. Federal income taxes; and
3. Accumulated Deferred Income Tax ("ADIT") error correction.

Additionally, SDG\&E has prepared a new depreciation rate study in connection with the TO5 Formula, as discussed in the testimony of SDG\&E witness Dane Watson. Although the depreciation rates do not impact the TO5 Formula until 2021, due to the timing of the base period and true-up conventions used in the TO5 Formula, ${ }^{2}$ it is also worth pointing out that this change will have an impact on the BTRR in future years.

## IV. SDG\&E'S PROPOSED RATE OF RETURN ON EQUITY

Q. Please describe SDG\&E's proposed ROE for the TO5 Formula.
A. SDG\&E proposes a base ROE of 10.7 percent, as described in the testimony of SDG\&E witness Dr. Roger Morin. Dr. Morin concludes that the proposed ROE is appropriate in light of market conditions, risk, and the need for SDG\&E to attract investor capital. As discussed by Dr. Morin, the 10.7 percent base ROE is at the upper end of the results from the various methodologies he used, which reflects SDG\&E's much higher than average risk compared to other regulated utilities. SDG\&E witness Don Widjaja describes the risks SDG\&E faces in greater detail. Of particular significance is the risk related to catastrophic wildfires in California,

[^1]which may result in massive uninsured and unrecoverable losses for California investor-owned utilities in light of the California law of inverse condemnation.

I have asked Dr. Morin to apply a 50 basis-point adder to the ROE to compensate SDG\&E for its membership in the California Independent System Operator Corporation ("CAISO"), which results in an ROE of 11.2 percent.
Q. Has SDG\&E been awarded a 50 basis-point adder to its ROE for CAISO participation in the past?
A. Yes, most recently, when SDG\&E filed its TO4 Formula in February 2013, it proposed an incentive 50 basis-point adder for continued CAISO participation, consistent with the approach it took under the previous TO3 Formula. The Commission accepted SDG\&E's continued use of the 50 basis-point adder. ${ }^{3}$ Ultimately, the TO4 Formula proceedings settled, which the Commission approved. ${ }^{4}$ As reflected in the TO4 Formula "Offer of Settlement," SDG\&E included the 50 basis-point adder for CAISO participation. ${ }^{5}$
Q. Why is the 50 basis-point adder for CAISO participation appropriate for inclusion in SDG\&E's ROE in this proceeding?
A. The 50 basis-point adder is appropriate for several reasons. First, the Commission granted this incentive adder in past SDG\&E formula rate proceedings, and there have been no changed circumstances warranting elimination of the adder. SDG\&E continues to be a Participating Transmission Owner ("PTO") under the

[^2]CAISO tariff, and the benefits the Commission ascribes to such participation, as set forth in Order No. 679, apply to the TO5 Formula, just as they did to the TO4 Formula. Second, SDG\&E's continued participation in the CAISO benefits consumers, and the incentive requested is at the same level as the Commission has approved for other California investor-owned utilities.
Q. What are the benefits arising from SDG\&E's PTO status?
A. Since SDG\&E's transmission assets are under the operational control of the CAISO, they are available for use by all market participants on a nondiscriminatory, open-access, basis. The CAISO's open-access market protocols allow grid use to be optimized in accordance with market participants' commercial interests and actual physical power flows. In addition, SDG\&E and other PTOs work with CAISO to plan major transmission facilities, in a coordinated fashion that provides economic benefits to all customers within CAISO. Further, SDG\&E provides supply resources that help control commodity costs for all CAISO customers, assisting CAISO in providing a competitive energy market in California.
Q. Are you aware that the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has addressed the Commission's award of a 50 basis-point incentive adder to Pacific Gas \& Electric Company ("PG\&E") for its CAISO participation in CPUC v. FERC, 879 F.3d 966 (9th Cir. 2018)?
A. Yes. I understand that the court granted the CPUC's petition for review of Commission's orders and remanded the case. I also understand that the Commission subsequently issued an "Order on Remand," in which it established a
schedule for parties to supplement the record and present arguments relating to whether California law requires PG\&E to participate in CAISO. ${ }^{6}$ Thus, my understanding is that the continued availability of the 50 basis-point adder for PG\&E has not been definitively resolved. If it is ultimately determined that SDG\&E is no longer permitted a 50 basis-point adder for CAISO participation, SDG\&E will remove the proposed 50 basis-point adder from its TO5 Formula.
Q. How does SDG\&E's proposed 11.2 percent ROE compare to the currently effective ROE in the TO4 Formula?
A. It represents an increase from the 10.05 percent ROE that was embodied in the TO4 Formula "Offer of Settlement."

## V. FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

Q. Please describe the federal income tax changes that impact the TO5 Formula.
A. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, signed into law on December 22, 2017, made a number of changes to the federal tax system, including a reduction of the federal corporate income tax rate from a maximum 35 percent to a flat 21 percent rate, effective January 1, 2018. SDG\&E witness Joel Dumas further discusses the tax law change. This reduction is reflected in the TO5 Formula, as discussed by SDG\&E witness Alana Hammer. In addition, as SDG\&E indicated in its May 14, 2018 response to the "Order to Show Cause" issued by the Commission on March $15,2018,{ }^{7}$ SDG\&E will reduce the BTRR in the TO5 Cycle 1 filing to reflect the benefit to ratepayers from the tax rate reduction for the period March 15, 2018

[^3]through December 31, 2018. The impact of this tax reduction in TO5 Cycle 1 is an approximately $\$ 54$ million reduction in the BTRR, as compared to TO4 Cycle 5. Lastly, Mr. Dumas discusses the proposed treatment of excess deferred taxes.

## VI. ADIT ERROR CORRECTION

Q. Please describe the ADIT error correction that SDG\&E proposes.
A. ADIT represents an adjustment to SDG\&E's rate base in the computation of the BTRR. ADIT includes both Deferred Tax Assets and Deferred Tax Liabilities. During the course of the TO4 Formula, SDG\&E incorrectly calculated the FERC Tax Net Operating Loss - a component of the Deferred Tax Asset - by using both FERC and CPUC-jurisdictional income and expense in the calculation; SDG\&E should have just used FERC-jurisdictional income and expense in that calculation. This error had the effect of prematurely reducing, and ultimately eliminating, SDG\&E's FERC Tax Net Operating Loss, which was then reflected in SDG\&E's ADIT computation and revenue requirement. Mr. Dumas describes this error in greater detail, and Ms. Hammer addresses the ratemaking treatment of the error correction. The ultimate result of the ADIT error is that SDG\&E under-collected its revenue requirement.
Q. What is the magnitude of the ADIT error?
A. For the base periods 2012-2016, the cumulative ratemaking impact of the error is approximately $\$ 91$ million. ${ }^{8}$

[^4]Q. Why does SDG\&E believe it is entitled to correct the ADIT error in connection with filing its TO5 Formula?
A. SDG\&E's TO4 Formula contains a provision for correcting errors such as these, and the True-Up process for the TO4 Formula continues into the first few cycles of the TO5 Formula, through the True-Up Adjustment and the Final True-Up Adjustment. This true-up approach is appropriate since, as noted above, SDG\&E's formulaic convention uses historical information in the development of the Base Period and the True-Up Adjustment.
Q. What is the provision in the TO4 Formula that permits the correction of errors? The currently-effective TO4 Formula Protocols (Attachment 1 to Appendix VIII of SDG\&E’s Transmission Owner tariff) contain a provision entitled "Adjustments to Reflect Correction of Errors" in Section C.5.a. In its entirety, that provision reads as follows:
a. In the event SDG\&E or any Interested Party identifies an error in the TO4 Formula or the FERC Form 1 data or data based on SDG\&E's books and records that is used as an input to the formula, or SDG\&E is required by applicable law, a court, or regulatory body to correct an error, and such error affects the TrueUp TRR calculated in an Informational Filing, SDG\&E shall include in its next subsequent Informational Filing a brief description of the errors included in its prior Informational Filing that must be corrected. SDG\&E's subsequent Informational Filing shall:
(i) Recalculate the True-Up TRR for all affected Prior Years;
(ii) Compare, on a monthly basis, the difference between the initial incorrect True-Up TRR and the revised correct True Up; and
(iii) Determine the cumulative amount of the difference in Section C.5.a.ii, including interest calculated pursuant to
the interest rate in 18 C.F.R. § 35.19a, through the date of implementation of the correction.
b. Absent an order requiring refunds outside of the True-Up process, the difference in Section C.5.a.(iii) shall be included as an additional component to SDG\&E's True-Up Adjustment in its next Informational Filing or Final True-Up Adjustment, as applicable, as a one-time True-Up Adjustment in accordance with the TO4 Formula.

In this instance, SDG\&E identified the ADIT error in "Form 1 data or data based on SDG\&E's books and records that is used as an input to the formula," as described by Mr. Dumas. SDG\&E is including information about the ADIT error in this filing, has undertaken the appropriate calculations resulting in the cumulative amount of difference under Section C.5.a.iii, and is including that amount "as an additional component to SDG\&E's True-Up Adjustment in its next Informational Filing or Final True-Up Adjustment."
Q. Are there any impacts of the error correction apart from the $\$ 91$ million that will be corrected through the True-Up Adjustment process?
A. Yes. The correction of the error means that there will be FERC Tax Net Operating Losses in 2017, which would otherwise have been prematurely eliminated if the error had not been corrected.

## VII. DEPRECIATION RATES

Q. Please describe the changes to depreciation rates that SDG\&E proposes?
A. SDG\&E has prepared a new transmission depreciation rate study as part of the TO5 formula. SDG\&E's existing depreciation rates were established in connection with the settlement of the TO4 Formula proceeding, and the TO4 Formula included stated transmission depreciation rates for each account, upon
which annual depreciation expense was calculated. These are reflected in Attachment A to the TO4 Formula "Offer of Settlement," and in the Statement AJ workpapers. The composite depreciation rate under the TO4 Formula was 2.52 percent.

The new depreciation rate study, prepared by Mr. Watson for the TO5 Formula, proposes a composite depreciation rate of 3.12 percent. Mr. Watson's depreciation rate study also sets forth transmission depreciation rates for each account.
Q. Why has SDG\&E's depreciation expense increased in the TO5 Formula, as compared to the TO4 Formula?
A. The key driver in the change in depreciation expense is the inclusion of net salvage for the accounts related to SDG\&E's Sunrise Powerlink transmission line. ${ }^{9}$ In the TO4 Formula settlement, the net salvage value for these accounts was set at zero percent. But going-forward, as Mr. Watson opines, there is no valid reason to use a zero percent net salvage value for such transmission assets.
Q. Does this complete your testimony?
A. Yes.

9 The Sunrise Powerlink is a 117 -mile, 500 kilovolt transmission line that SDG\&E placed into service in 2012. It connects the Imperial Valley Substation in Imperial County to the Sycamore Canyon Substation in San Diego County.

## VERIFICATION

Bruce A. Folkmann hereby declares under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing document is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

Executed this 30 day of October, 2018
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## PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

JEFF STEIN

## ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY

## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. Please state your name, position and business address.
A. My name is Jeff Stein, and I am Manager of Transmission Revenue for San Diego Gas \& Electric Company ("SDG\&E"). My business address is 8315 Century Park Court Bldg. 2, San Diego, CA 92123.
Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.
A. My current responsibilities include providing analytical support to the company for FERC matters regarding electric revenue requirements, cost of service, rate design and California Independent System Operator ("CAISO") matters.
Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with an emphasis in Accounting from San Diego State University. I am a Certified Public Accountant in the state of California and I continue to maintain an active status license with practice rights by fulfilling the continuing professional education requirements.

Upon receiving my Bachelor's degree, I was employed by an Accounting and Advisory services firm. After two years of public accounting, I joined Sempra Energy in 2006 and have held various positions of increasing responsibilities in Sempra Energy's Internal Audit Department, SDG\&E's Business Controls Department, and SDG\&E Plant Accounting.
Q. Have you previously submitted testimony to this Commission?
A. No.

## II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony of your testimony, and how is it organized?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of the new Transmission Owner ("TO") formula rate tariff that SDG\&E is proposing in this proceeding referred to as the TO5 Formula. The TO5 Formula is the successor to SDG\&E's TO4 Formula, which is due to expire by its own terms on December 31, 2018. My testimony explains several key features of the TO5 Formula. I have organized my testimony as follows:
I. Introduction
II. Purpose of Testimony
III. Description of TO5 Formula and Cycle Timelines
IV. Key Differences Between the TO5 Formula and the TO4 Formula
V. Pro Forma Cost Allocation Adjustments Made to Cycle 1 Recorded Base Period
VI. Pro Forma Cost Adjustments Made to Cycle 1 Recorded Base Period
VII. Final TO5 True-Up Period Adjustment
VIII. Refunds Under TO5 Formula
IX. Retail Rate Design

## III. DESCRIPTION OF TO5 FORMULA AND CYCLE TIMELINES

## A. Description of Formula and Cycle Timelines

Q. Please explain the timelines applicable under SDG\&E’s TO5 Formula, including the annual cycles.
A. The time periods used in the TO5 Formula are virtually identical to what was in effect under SDG\&E's TO4 Formula, with the few exceptions explained below.

## TO5 Cycle 1

| Rate Effective Period | January 1, 2019 - December 31, 2019 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Base Period | 12 Months ended December 31, 2017 |
| Forecast Period | 24 Months, January 2018 - December 2019 |
| TU Adjustment | 2017 calendar year applicable to TO4 Cycle 4 |
| Interest TU Adjustment | January 1, 2017 - December 31, 2018 |

## TO5 Cycle 2

| Rate Effective Period | January 1, 2020 - December 31, 2020 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Base Period | 12 Months ended December 31, 2018 |
| Forecast Period | 24 Months, January 2019 - December 2020 |
| TU Adjustment | 2018 calendar year applicable to TO4 Cycle 5 |
| Interest TU Adjustment | January 1, 2018 - December 31, 2019 |

As with the TO4 Formula, the TO5 Formula is implemented through annual filings, with components taking effect for an annual cycle. Each cycle will include the following components:

- Rate Effective Period ("REP")
- Base Period
- Forecast Period
- True-Up ("TU") Adjustment
- Interest TU Adjustment

SDG\&E will update the BTRR in each cycle per the following schedule:

TO5 Cycle 3

| Rate Effective Period | January 1, 2021 - December 31, 2021 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Base Period | 12 Months ended December 31, 2019 |
| Forecast Period | 24 Months, January 2020 - December 2021 |
| TU Adjustment | 2019 calendar year applicable to TO5 Cycle 1 |
| Interest TU Adjustment | January 1, 2019 - December 31, 2020 |

After Cycle 3, successive TO5 cycles will be consistent with Cycle 3 with regards to timing and the length of the Base Period, Forecast Period, TU Period, and Rate Effective Period.

## B. Termination of TO5 Formula

Q. When will the TO5 Formula end?
A. As Bruce Folkmann explains, SDG\&E is not proposing a termination date for the TO5 Formula. The TO4 Formula was in effect through December 31, 2018, subject to a one-time termination right to be noticed by June 30, 2016. In the TO5 Formula, SDG\&E proposes that the formula shall become effective January 1, 2019 and shall remain in effect indefinitely. However, SDG\&E and interested parties shall each have a right to terminate the TO5 Formula, to be exercised on an annual basis beginning with the 2022 annual cycle, by providing SDG\&E and each interested party notice no later than June 30 of any year. Following such notice, SDG\&E shall file a successor rate pursuant to Section 205, which shall include a request for an effective date that is January 1 of the following year. All interested parties retain their rights to oppose this successor Section 205 filing. The TO5 Formula shall remain in effect until the Commission accepts such a successor rate mechanism.

## IV. KEY DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TO5 FORMULA AND THE TO4 FORMULA

Q. Please explain the key differences between the TO5 Formula and the TO4 Formula.
A. In general, the proposed TO5 Formula continues most, but not all, aspects of the TO4 Formula, including the structure and organization. However, I note that because the TO4 Formula has worked well and parties are familiar with the formula process, SDG\&E has attempted to limit changes to the TO5 Formula to the maximum extent practicable.
Q. Please describe the key differences.
A. The key differences are as follows:

Change in Federal Corporate Income Tax Rate: Consistent with the May 14, 2018 "Answer of San Diego Gas \& Electric Company to Order to Show Cause" in Docket No. EL18-67 ("SDG\&E Show Cause Answer"), SDG\&E is proposing revisions to be reflected in its TO5 Formula to address the recent change in the federal corporate income tax rate. As noted in the SDG\&E Show Cause Answer, SDG\&E proposes to reflect the change from a $35 \%$ to a $21 \%$ corporate income tax rate in the TO5 Formula, for rates to take effect January 1, 2019. The True-Up Adjustments for years prior to 2018 shall continue to reflect the previously-effective corporate tax rate. Additionally, consistent with the proposal in the SDG\&E Show Cause Answer, SDG\&E proposes to reduce the BTRR in the first annual Cycle of the TO5 Formula to immediately reflect the benefits to ratepayers from the federal corporate income tax reduction for the period March 15, 2018 through December 31, 2018.

Rate of Return on Equity: SDG\&E is proposing to change its ROE to reflect current market conditions and risks.

Change in Depreciation Rates: SDG\&E is requesting approval of new transmission depreciation rates to go into effect January 1, 2019, replacing its currently effective transmission depreciation rates. Due to the operation of the Formula, however, these rates are not included in the Cycle 1 Base Period BTRR. Rather, the impact will begin to appear in the 2019 base period for 2021 rates.

True Up Adjustment: The TO4 Formula contained separate True-Up Adjustments for retail and Wholesale Customers. For purposes of the TO5 Formula, SDG\&E has simplified this process by deriving one True-Up Adjustment that will apply in the derivation of the BTRRs for retail and Wholesale Customers. The proposed methodology will now conform more closely to the definition of the True-Up Adjustment, which is the difference between actual costs and recorded revenues for the True-Up period. Under the current methodology, the wholesale true up adjustment calculates and uses a set of "proxy" recorded revenues since recorded wholesale revenues is non-existent. In addition, a single true up adjustment streamlines the annual Informational Filing and makes it more transparent by eliminating many levels of details that are calculated under the current process.

Statement AF - Specified Deferred Credits: In the TO5 Formula SDG\&E modifies the way ADIT is presented by showing the transmission related balances of ADIT accounts 190, 282 and 283 individually. Instead of simply showing the total ADIT, ADIT is now presented by its components, showing both
deferred tax assets ("DTAs") recorded in account 190 and deferred tax liabilities ("DTLs") recorded in accounts 282 and 283. ADIT will now also include ADIT associated with non-plant related items such as labor and ad valorem.

FERC Form 1 References: The TO5 Formula now shows a separate column for all FERC Form 1 references, in lieu of copying and including them in the workpapers.

## Annual Fixed Charge Rate ("AFCR") to Derive Forecast Period

 Capital Additions Revenue Requirements: Under the TO5 Formula, SDG\&E is proposing to change the way it derives the AFCR by using Net Plant (Gross Plant Less Accumulated Depreciation) in the denominator instead of Gross Plant to calculate the Forecast Period Capital Additions Revenue Requirements that is used in the derivation of the BTRR. This change will allow SDG\&E to better match the revenues that will be collected in rates to cover the costs that are expected to be incurred during the rate effective period. Historically, SDG\&E has experienced an under-collection totaling between $\$ 20-30$ million per year, which is ultimately recovered through the True-Up Adjustment. Switching from Gross Plant to Net Plant in the derivation of the AFCR merely impacts the timing of when revenues are collected (and not the level of revenues collected), mitigating the under-collection that has been experienced historically, and to reduce future True Up Adjustments.Addition of Other BTRR Adjustments Line: The addition of Other BTRR Adjustments line shows any prior year omissions, FERC audit
adjustments, refunds related to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, and errors in Statements BK-1 and BK-2.

Statement AQ -Federal Income Tax Deductions Other than Interest:
A new line has been added ("Other Federal Income Tax Deductions") to accommodate future tax deductions that are not currently present.

Statement AR - Federal Tax Adjustments: The Amortization of Excess Deferred Taxes is now shown by specific FERC accounts. In addition, SDG\&E has included a line titled "Other Federal Tax Adjustments" to accommodate future tax adjustments that are not currently present.

## V. PRO FORMA COST ALLOCATION ADJUSTMENTS MADE TO CYCLE

 1 RECORDED BASE PERIODQ. Has SDG\&E made any pro forma cost allocation adjustments to its recorded Cycle 1 Base Period, 12-months ended December 31, 2017 ?
A. No.
VI. PRO FORMA COST ADJUSTMENTS MADE TO CYCLE 1 RECORDED BASE PERIOD
Q. Has SDG\&E made any pro forma cost adjustments to recorded Base Period costs as part of its Cycle 1 filing?
A. No.
VII. FINAL TO5 TRUE UP PERIOD ADJUSTMENT
Q. Will the TO5 Formula require a Final TU Adjustment?
A. The TO5 Formula will only require a Final TU Adjustment if the TO5 Formula terminates. SDG\&E is not proposing a termination date of the TO5 Formula, but following such termination a Final TU Adjustment would likely be required.

## VIII. REFUNDS UNDER THE TO5 FORMULA

Q. How will refunds under the TO5 Formula be implemented?
A. Refunds under TO5 will be implemented in the following manner for retail and CAISO wholesales refunds. CAISO wholesale refunds will be effectuated pursuant to the CAISO tariff. Retail refunds will be effectuated pursuant to the True-Up mechanism of the TO5 Formula.

## IX. RETAIL RATE DESIGN

Q. Is SDG\&E changing its retail rate design in TO5 Cycle 1?
A. No. It is using the same transmission retail rate design that it used in TO4 Cycle 5.
Q. Does this complete your testimony?
A. Yes.

## VERIFICATION

Jeff Stein hereby declares under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing document is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

Executed this $30^{\text {th }}$ day of October, 2018
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## PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

Q. Please state your name, position, and business address.
A. My name is Alana Hammer and my position is Senior Accountant II in San Diego Gas and Electric Company's ("SDG\&E") Transmission Revenue department. My business address is 8315 Century Park Court Bldg. 2, San Diego, California, 92123.
Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.
A. My responsibilities include assisting in developing and analyzing Transmission revenue requirements.
Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
A. I received a Bachelor of Accountancy and Master's in Taxation from the University of San Diego in California. I have been employed by SDG\&E since August 2009 and held positions in SDG\&E's Affiliate Billing and Costing department, SDG\&E’s OpEx 20/20 Asset Management \& Smart Grid department, and Sempra Energy's Corporate Tax department. I joined the Transmission Revenue department in March 2015.
Q. Have you previously submitted testimony to this Commission?
A. No.

## II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

[^5]XIII. Cost Statement BK-2: Total Wholesale Base Transmission Revenue Requirement
Q. Are you sponsoring any SDG\&E cost statements to the TO5 filing?
A. Yes, I am sponsoring the following cost statements:

- Statement BK-1 - Derivation of End Use Prior Year Revenue Requirements
- Statement BK-2 - Derivation of California Independent System Operator ("CAISO" or "ISO") High Voltage ("HV") and Low Voltage ("LV") Transmission Facility Revenue Requirements
- Statement AD - Cost of Plant
- Statement AE - Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization
- Statement AF - Deferred Credits
- Statement AG - Specified Plant Account (Other than Plant in Service) and Deferred Debits
- Statement AH - Operation and Maintenance Expenses
- Statement AI - Wages and Salaries
- Statement AJ - Depreciation and Amortization Expense
- Statement AK - Taxes Other Than Income Taxes
- Statement AL - Working Capital
- Statement AM - Construction Work in Progress ("CWIP")
- Statement AQ - Federal Income Tax Deductions, Other Than Interest
- Statement AR - Federal Tax Adjustments
- Statement AU - Revenue Credits
- Statement AV - Cost of Capital and Fair Rate of Return
- Statement Miscellaneous

These cost statements appear in Volume 2 to SDG\&E's TO5 filing. I discuss each of these cost statements in further detail below.

## III. OVERVIEW OF SDG\&E'S PROPOSED TO5 FORMULA RATE

Q. Please provide a high-level overview of SDG\&E's proposed TO5 Formula.
A. SDG\&E's proposed TO5 Formula utilizes figures from its most recently filed annual FERC Form 1 to populate cost statements AD through Miscellaneous and utilizes a forecast of plant additions to derive a total Retail BTRR and a total Wholesale BTRR. First, SDG\&E computes the total Retail BTRR in cost statement BK-1 as discussed in Section IV below. Then, the total Wholesale BTRR leverages the total Retail BTRR as a starting point for its derivation in cost statement BK-2, which is further discussed in Section XIII below.
Q. How is this information updated?
A. The timeline for the TO5 annual update process is discussed in the testimony of Jeff Stein.
Q. What is the rate effective period?
A. The rate effective period is the timeframe in which the filed rates will be in effect. For example, TO5 Cycle 1 will be filed in 2018 for a rate effective period of January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019.
Q. Why does the TO5 Formula utilize prior year data for its inputs?
A. Under TO5, SDG\&E is proposing to continue the approach used in TO4 of using calendar year historic data as the inputs for the formula rate spreadsheet, which derives the BTRRs. The annually filed FERC Form 1 contains prior year recorded
cost data and provides a reasonable forecast of expected costs for the rate effective period. For instance, the TO5 Cycle 1 filing made in 2018 will utilize 2017 FERC Form 1 recorded data, also referred to as a base period.

Once each rate effective period ends, SDG\&E will perform a true-up calculation to compare actual revenues to actual costs to ensure that the company receives no more and no less than the actual cost of service incurred to operate and maintain its transmission system. For additional information on the true-up mechanism please see the testimony of Raulin Farinas.
Q. Has SDG\&E made changes to its Formula Rate Spreadsheet for TO5? Yes. SDG\&E has made non-substantive presentation updates to the TO5 Formula Rate Spreadsheet, as well as, the following substantive changes:

- Added a component called "other BTRR adjustments" to the derivation of the total Retail BTRR and total Wholesale BTRR to account for unexpected adjustments due to errors, FERC audits, etc. The other BTRR adjustments component is further explained in Section XI of my testimony.
- Removed Valley Rainbow project cost amortization expense from Statement AJ, from the derivation of the end of prior year revenues ("prior year cost of service") on page one of Statement BK-1, and from the derivation of the Transmission and Incentive Transmission Annual Fixed Charge Rate ("AFCR") on pages four and five of Statement BK-1. This project has now been fully recovered, as discussed further below.
- Updated the derivation of the AFCR on pages four and five of Statement BK1 to use net Transmission plant for the devisor instead of gross Transmission
plant and added an adjustment for the depreciation expense associated with the weighted forecast plant additions. These updates are discussed in Section VIII of my testimony and further explained in the testimony of SDG\&E witness Christopher Penn.
- Removed Transmission-related amortization of investment tax credits and Transmission-related amortization of excess deferred tax liabilities from the derivation of the prior year cost of service on page one of Statement BK-1 because both items are already accounted for in the derivation of the tax components of the cost of capital rate.
- Included labor-related Accumulated Deferred Income Tax ("ADIT") balances as further explained in Section VI; Part C.
- Updated the derivation of the true-up and interest true-up as discussed in Section VII of my testimony and further explained in the testimony of SDG\&E witness Raulin Farinas.


## IV. COST STATEMENT BK-1: TOTAL RETAIL BASE TRANSMISSION REVENUE REQUIREMENT ("BTRR")

Q. Provide an overview of Statement BK-1.
A. Statement BK-1 calculates and summarizes the components that derive the total Retail BTRR and consists of six pages: Page 1 - Calculates prior year cost of service revenues for both Transmission and Incentive projects, which will be further explained in Section $V$.

Page 2 - Computes Transmission and Incentive rate base used in the derivation of prior year cost of service revenues on page one and further explained in Section V.

Page 3 - Determines Transmission and Incentive net plant used in the rate base computations on page two by summing plant-in-service and accumulated depreciation.

Page 4 - Derives the AFCR, which is applied to weighted forecast plant additions to derive the forecast period capital additions revenue requirement and is further explained in Section VIII.

Page 5 - Derives an Incentive AFCR to be applied to Incentive projects if applicable. This derivation is further discussed in Section IX.

Page 6 - Summarizes pages one through five of the BK-1 and the true-up to calculate the total Retail BTRR.
Q. Please summarize the total Retail BTRR components in Statement BK-1.
A. Page six of Statement BK-1 summarizes the following nine components that comprise the total Retail BTRR:

- Prior year cost of service;
- Incentive prior year cost of service;
- True-up adjustment;
- Interest true-up adjustment;
- Forecast period capital additions revenue requirement;
- Forecast period Incentive capital additions revenue requirement;
- Incentive Transmission forecast CWIP revenue requirement;
- Franchise fees and uncollectibles; and
- Other BTRR adjustments.

Components 2, 6, and 7 above are separately stated Incentive components included in the total Retail BTRR and total Wholesale BTRR, should the Commission authorize Incentive treatment for future Transmission projects under Order No. 679.

I discuss each of these nine components below, although as noted in those discussions, other SDG\&E witnesses will provide more detail for certain components in their testimonies.

## V. PRIOR YEAR COST OF SERVICE

## A. Transmission Prior Year Cost of Service

Q. Please describe the derivation of the prior year cost of service on page one of Statement BK-1.
A. The prior year cost of service (also referred to as "base period revenues") is the sum of Transmission-related expenses, return and associated income taxes, miscellaneous expense or revenue adjustments, and total Incentive Transmissionrelated return and associated income taxes.
Q. What is included in the Transmission-related expenses component of the prior year cost of service?
A. The Transmission-related expenses component includes annual base period expenses for the following:

- Transmission-related operations and maintenance ("O\&M") and administrative and general ("A\&G") expenses from Statement AH, including an adjustment for Transmission-related CPUC intervenor funding expenses (Section VI.E).
- Transmission-related depreciation and amortization expense from Statement AJ (Section VI.G).
- Transmission plant abandoned project cost amortization expenses from Statement AJ (Section VI.G).
- Transmission-related property tax expense from Statement AK (Section VI.H).
- Transmission-related payroll tax expense from Statement AK (Section VI.H).
Q. How is the return and associated income tax component derived?
A. The return and associated income tax component of the prior year cost of service is the product of the cost of capital rate (Section VI.N) and Transmission rate base.
Q. How is Transmission rate base derived?
A. Transmission rate base is calculated on page two of Statement BK-1 and is the sum of:
- Net Transmission plant as described below;
- Plus, Transmission plant held for future use ("PHFU") from Statement AG (Section VI.D);
- Plus, plant abandoned project costs from Statement Miscellaneous (Section VI.O);
- Less, Transmission-related accumulated deferred income taxes ("ADIT") from Statement AF (Section VI.C);
- Less, plant abandoned project ADIT from Statement AF (Section VI.C);
- Plus, working capital from Statement AL (Section VI.I);
- Plus, other regulatory assets/liabilities from Statement Miscellaneous (Section VI.O).
Q. How is the net Transmission plant component of Transmission rate base derived?
A. Net Transmission plant is calculated on page three of Statement BK-1 and is the difference between gross Transmission plant and Transmission-related depreciation reserve. Gross Transmission plant includes Transmission plant and the Transmission-related portions of Electric Miscellaneous Intangible plant ("Intangibles"), General plant, and Common plant as derived in Statement AD (Section VI.A). Transmission-related depreciation reserve includes the depreciation related to each of the same components listed in gross Transmission plant and is derived in Statement AE (Section VI.B).
Q. Please describe the adjustments included in the prior year cost of service on Statement BK-1; Page 1; Lines 21 through 24.
A. Statement BK-1 adjusts the cost of service for certain items that are not included in the expense or return and income tax components. Each of the items listed below are described in more detail in Section VI of this testimony and include:
- Total federal income tax deductions, other than interest (Section VI.K),
- Transmission-related revenue credits (Section VI.M),
- Transmission-related regulatory debits/credits (Section VI.O), and
- Gains and losses from sale of plant held for future use (Section VI.M).


## B. Incentive Transmission Prior Year Cost of Service

Q. What types of revenues are included for Incentive Transmission prior year cost of service?
A. Statement BK-1 derives a prior year cost of service for three types of incentives, which make-up the total Incentive Transmission prior year cost of service. The types of FERC approved Incentive projects include: higher return on equity ("ROE") projects, recovery of costs associated with plant abandoned projects, and a return on costs associated with CWIP projects. SDG\&E will not recognize any Incentive projects in the TO5 Formula until the FERC authorizes them under Order No. 679.
Q. How is the prior year cost of service for Incentive ROE projects derived?
A. For any Commission-approved Incentive ROE projects, SDG\&E would derive the cost of service on page one of Statement BK-1 by adding the related depreciation expense from Statement AJ (Section VI.G) and the related return and associated income taxes. The Incentive ROE return and associated income taxes is derived by multiplying the Incentive ROE project rate base by the Incentive cost of capital rate (Section VI.N). The Incentive ROE rate base is calculated on page two of Statement BK-1 and includes Incentive plant from Statement AD (Section V; Part A), less accumulated depreciation from Statement AE (Section VI.B) less associated ADIT from Statement AF (Section VI.C).
Q. How is the prior year cost of service for Incentive plant abandoned projects derived?
A. For any Commission-approved Incentive recovery of plant abandoned project costs, SDG\&E would derive the cost of service on page one of Statement BK-1 by adding the related Incentive depreciation expenses from Statement AJ (Section VI.G) and the related return and income taxes. The return and income taxes are derived by multiplying the related rate base by the Transmission cost of capital rate (Section VI.N). The rate base for Incentive plant abandoned projects is the project cost from Statement Miscellaneous (Section VI.O) less related ADIT (Section VI.C).
Q. How is the prior year cost of service for Incentive CWIP derived?
A. If the Commission were to approve an Incentive CWIP project, SDG\&E would derive the cost of service on page one of Statement BK-1 by multiplying the allowable Incentive CWIP from Statement AM (Part VI.J) by the Transmission cost of capital rate (Section V.N).

## VI. COST STATEMENTS USED TO DERIVE PRIOR YEAR COST OF SERVICE

Q. Please identify the cost statements included in SDG\&E's proposed TO5 Formula.
A. SDG\&E's proposed TO5 Formula includes 15 cost statements that are used in the derivation of the BTRR in Statement BK-1:

- Statement AD - Cost of Plant
- Statement AE - Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization
- Statement AF - Deferred Credits
- Statement AG - Specified Plant Account (Other than Plant in Service) and Deferred Debits
- Statement AH - Operation and Maintenance Expenses
- Statement AI - Wages and Salaries
- Statement AJ - Depreciation and Amortization Expense
- Statement AK - Taxes Other Than Income Taxes
- Statement AL - Working Capital
- Statement AM - Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)
- Statement AQ - Federal Income Tax Deductions, Other Than Interest
- Statement AR - Federal Tax Adjustments
- Statement AU - Revenue Credits
- Statement AV - Cost of Capital and Fair Rate of Return
- Statement Miscellaneous

In the remainder of this Section, I will describe each of the above listed cost statements.

## A. Statement AD - Cost of Plant

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AD.
A. Statement AD reports Transmission plant and other Electric plant balances used in the derivation of Transmission and Incentive Transmission rate base and in the derivation of allocation factors used to allocate other costs to Transmission. The allocation factors include the Transmission Plant Allocation Factor (described below) and the Transmission Property Insurance and Tax Allocation Factor (also described below).
Q. Please describe the components of gross Transmission plant included in Transmission rate base.
A. Gross Transmission plant included in rate base is the sum of Transmission plant, Transmission portion of Intangible plant, Transmission portion of General plant, and Transmission portion of Common plant. These same values are also the numerator in the Transmission Plant Allocation Factor described above.
Q. Does SDG\&E include a component for Incentive Transmission plant in Transmission rate base?
A. SDG\&E does not include Incentive Transmission plant in its gross Transmission plant, however, SDG\&E does include Incentive Transmission plant in the derivation of a separate Incentive Transmission plant rate base on page three of Statement BK-1.
Q. Please explain the derivation of the Transmission Plant Allocation Factor in Statement AD.
A. The Transmission Plant Allocation Factor is used to allocate plant materials and operating supplies ("M\&S") and prepayments to Transmission in Statement AL, which is discussed below. The derivation of the factor will remain unchanged for the TO5 Formula. The factor is the ratio of the sum of SDG\&E's total investment in Transmission, Incentive Transmission, Transmission-related General, Transmission-related Common, and Transmission-related Intangible plant to SDG\&E's total plant-in-service.
Q. Please explain the derivation of total Electric plant amounts utilized in Statement AD.
A. The methodology to derive total Electric plant in the TO5 Formula will continue to be the same as the methodology used in the TO4 Formula.

The following plant categories will utilize a 13-month average, which will include the prior year December balance plus the 12-month base period:

- Steam
- Nuclear
- Hydraulic
- Other
- Transmission
- Incentive Transmission

The following plant categories will utilize a 2-point average, which will average the December prior year and December base period balances:

- Distribution
- Intangibles
- General
- Common
Q. Common plant supports both Electric and Gas functions. Does SDG\&E account for this in Statement AD?
A. Yes. SDG\&E's TO5 Formula will continue to use a Common Plant Allocation Factor to allocate Common plant, as recorded in FERC Accounts 303 and 389 through 398, to Electric and Gas. Total company labor is used to generate the Common Plant Allocation Factor. Electric is calculated using total Electric labor divided by the sum of total Electric and total Gas labor, while the Gas is calculated using total Gas labor divided by the sum of total Electric and total Gas
labor. The Common plant balances reported in Statement AD represent the Common plant allocated to Electric.
Q. Does General plant also require an allocation between Electric and Gas?
A. No. The General plant facilities recorded in FERC Accounts 389 through 399 only support Electric operations and does not support Gas operations. Some examples of General plant include:
- Structures and Improvements (FERC Account 390): operating and maintenance sites and leasehold improvements that are used by employees to support Electric only functions.
- Tools Shop and Garage Equipment (FERC Account 394): various equipment used to service Electric customers only.
- Communication Equipment (FERC Account 397): communication equipment to support Electric substation functions and Electric operating and maintenance locations.
Q. Please explain how the Transmission portion of Intangibles, General, and Common plant is derived.
A. It is commonly accepted by the FERC to allocate these costs to the Transmission function using the Transmission Wages and Salaries Allocation Factor ("Labor Ratio"). A labor ratio is a reasonable approach for these costs because Electric employees use these facilities to support various Electric services. An explanation of the Labor Ratio is explained in Section VI.F below, with respect to Statement AI.
Q. Please explain the cause of the difference in per book and ratemaking balances for Steam Production, Other Production, Distribution, and Transmission.
A. A jurisdictional difference in the definition of "Transmission plant" requires SDG\&E to adjust its per books plant balances to calculate plant balances for ratemaking purposes.
Q. Please elaborate.
A. In 1998, the Commission established guidelines on facilities that qualify as Transmission in Order No. 888 via a Seven-Element Adjustment Factor ("SevenFactor Test"). Under these tests, SDG\&E identifies plant that requires the company to transfer portions of Transmission plant to Steam, Other, or Distribution and vice versa to adjust its book plant balances to conform to the Commission's definition of Transmission plant for ratemaking purposes. The Commission approved SDG\&E's delineation between Transmission and Distribution facilities in Docket No. EL96-48.


## B. Statement AE - Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AE.
A. The accumulated depreciation calculated in Statement AE reduces rate base by decreasing the gross plant calculated in Statement AD to arrive at net plant.
Q. Please explain the components of accumulated depreciation and the derivation of each.
A. Accumulated depreciation is also adjusted for the Seven-Factor Test and is the sum of the depreciation reserve for the following: Transmission plant,

Transmission portion of Intangible plant, Transmission portion of General plant, and Transmission portion of Common plant.

Each component uses the same averaging and allocation methods described in Section VI.A above.
Q. Does Statement AE include a component for Incentive Transmission plant?
A. Yes. Statement AE includes a component for Incentive Transmission plant, but it is not included in the total Transmission accumulated depreciation described above. Incentive Transmission accumulated depreciation is reported on its own line in Statement AE because it is included in the derivation of a separate Incentive ROE rate base on page three of Statement BK-1.

## C. Statement AF - Deferred Credits

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AF.
A. Statement AF reports ADIT balances, which are included in rate base. Upon Commission approval of Incentive projects or abandoned plant recovery, SDG\&E will also report the applicable amount of ADIT in Statement AF, to adjust Incentive or Transmission rate base on page two of Statement BK-1.
Q. What is ADIT?
A. ADIT arises when there is a temporary difference in treatment of an expense for books versus tax. Such a difference will result in taxable income, or deductions, over time and eventually equalize. ADIT is further discussed in the testimony of SDG\&E witness Joel Dumas.
Q. How does SDG\&E propose to determine the ADIT adjustment to rate base?
A. Statement AF of the TO5 Formula includes property and labor related ADIT. SDG\&E has updated the cost statement presentation to include ADIT year-end balances by FERC with a short description of the costs included in each.

## D. Statement AG - Specified Plant Account (Other than Plant in Service) and Deferred Debits

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AG.
A. The only item included in Statement AG is Transmission PHFU, which is included in rate base. PHFU is comprised of land and land rights held to meet future service requirements or plant facilities not currently in service, but that are ready for use.
Q. Explain the derivation of PHFU.
A. SDG\&E proposes to continue the use of a 13-month average to derive PHFU, which will include the prior year December balance plus the 12 -month base period.

## E. Statement AH - Operations and Maintenance Expenses

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AH.
A. Statement AH calculates the Transmission portion of O\&M expenses and A\&G expenses included in the revenue requirement. The Transmission Property Insurance and Tax Allocation Factor that is applied to property-related items is also derived in Statement AH.
Q. How are O\&M expenses derived?
A. Expenses incurred to operate and maintain Transmission facilities are charged to FERC Accounts 560 through 573 and are directly assigned to Transmission. These FERC Accounts are analyzed to confirm expenses are just, reasonable, and
appropriately charged to Transmission. The total expenses are then adjusted for certain exclusions and the total adjusted O\&M balances are included in the revenue requirement with no further allocations.
Q. How are A\&G expenses derived?
A. Total A\&G expenses are recorded in FERC Accounts 920 through 935 and are not directly assigned to Transmission because they are incurred to support the operations of the entire company. These FERC Accounts are analyzed to confirm expenses are appropriate and the total expenses are then adjusted for certain items to be excluded. The A\&G balance for Property Insurance (FERC Account 924) is allocated to Transmission via the Transmission Property Insurance and Tax Allocation Factor and the remaining adjusted A\&G balances are allocated to Transmission via the Labor Ratio.
Q. Please explain the Transmission Property Insurance and Tax Allocation Factor.
A. The Transmission Property Insurance and Tax Allocation Factor uses the same inputs from Statement AD as the Transmission Plant Allocation Factor discussed above, but excludes Intangible and Nuclear plant from the calculation. The factor is a ratio of the sum of Transmission plant, Transmission portion of General plant, Transmission portion of Common plant, divided by the sum of total Electric plant for Steam, Hydraulic, Other, Distribution, Transmission, Incentive Transmission, General, and Common.
Q. Please elaborate on the reasoning behind the O\&M and A\&G exclusions.
A. Adjustments to $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{A} \& \mathrm{G}$ balances are performed to prevent double recovery on items recoverable under other SDG\&E rate mechanisms and include:

Energy Resource Recovery Account ("ERRA"), Transmission Revenue Balancing Account ("TRBAA"), Transmission Access Charge Balancing Account ("TACBAA"), CPUC reimbursement fees, and CPUC energy efficiency programs.

A\&G FERC Account 927 for franchise fees is also excluded to prevent double recovery because the proposed formula recovers franchise fee expense as a component of the Total BTRR. See Section XI of my testimony for additional information on franchise fees.

SDG\&E will also continue to exclude expenses associated with balance sheet write-offs of abandoned project expenses recorded in FERC Account 930.2.

## F. Statement AI - Wages and Salaries

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AI.
A. Statement AI computes the Labor Ratio, which is used to allocate various plant items (Statements AD, AE, and AJ), non-property related A\&G (Statement AH), and payroll tax expense (Statement AK) to Transmission.
Q. How is the Labor Ratio computed?
A. The Labor Ratio approach is commonly accepted by FERC and is the ratio of total Transmission direct labor divided by the sum of total Electric direct labor, excluding A\&G wages and salaries.

## G. Statement AJ - Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AJ.
A. The depreciation and amortization expense ("depreciation expense") calculated in Statement AJ is included in the expense portion of the revenue requirement.

Depreciation expense represents the portion of a tangible, capital asset that has been used up during the base period and is expensed over the asset's expected useful life.
Q. Explain the components of depreciation expense and the derivation of each.
A. Depreciation expense is adjusted for the Seven-Factor Test as described in Section VI.A above and is the sum of the annual depreciation expense for the following: Transmission plant, Transmission portion of Intangible plant, Transmission portion of General plant, and Transmission portion of Common plant.

Each of the components is a 12-month sum of the base period expense and allocated using the methods described in Section VI.A above. SDG\&E witness Dane Watson computes the depreciation rates to be used in SDG\&E's TO5 Formula.
Q. Why was the line item for Valley Rainbow Project Cost Amortization Expense removed from Statement AJ?
A. The Valley Rainbow project has been fully recovered. As referenced on page five of SDG\&E's TO3 Offer of Settlement, SDG\&E was authorized to recover abandoned costs associated with Valley Rainbow over a ten-year period, which expired in 2013.
Q. Does Statement AJ include depreciation expense for Incentive Transmission projects or abandoned plant?
A. Yes, Statement AJ also includes depreciation expense components for Incentive ROE projects, Incentive plant abandoned projects, and Transmission abandoned
plant projects. As previously noted, these components are only activated if such projects are approved by the Commission. These expenses are included in the prior year cost of service derivation on page one of Statement BK-1.

## H. Statement AK - Taxes Other Than Income Taxes

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AK.
A. Taxes other than income taxes includes property and payroll taxes and generally represent an increase to the revenue requirement.
Q. Describe how property taxes are derived.
A. Transmission-related property taxes start with total Electric property taxes and excludes other taxes (such as business license taxes), Citizens ${ }^{1}$ property taxes, and property taxes associated with the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station ("SONGS") to arrive at total adjusted Electric property tax expense. Since property taxes are directly correlated with gross plant, the Transmission Property Insurance and Tax Allocation Factor is applied to the total adjusted Electric property tax expense to derive the total Transmission-related property tax expense included in the revenue requirement.
Q. Describe how payroll taxes are derived.
A. Transmission-related payroll taxes start with total Electric payroll taxes and excludes Citizens payroll taxes. Since payroll taxes are directly correlated with

[^6]labor, the Labor Ratio is applied to the total adjusted Electric payroll taxes to derive the total Transmission-related payroll tax expense included in the revenue requirement.

## I. Statement AL - Working Capital

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AL.
A. The working capital computed in Statement AL is a component that increases rate base. Working capital is comprised of the following three items: M\&S, prepayments, and cash working capital.
Q. Please explain the derivation of M\&S and prepayments utilized in Statement AL.
A. The pre-allocated M\&S and prepayment amounts in Statement AL will continue to use a 13-month average balance, which will include the prior year December balance plus the 12 -month base period. These balances are then allocated to Transmission using the Transmission Plant Allocation Factor because these components are closely correlated to changes in gross plant.
Q. How is cash working capital calculated?
A. SDG\&E's proposed formula reflects a continuation of the one-eighth O\&M rule that was utilized in the TO4 Formula and has traditionally been supported by the Commission as a methodology to derive working cash. Statement AL carries over the Transmission O\&M and Transmission-related A\&G balances from Statement AH, adds back Transmission CPUC intervenor funding expense, and multiplies the total by $12.5 \%$ (one-eighth translated to a percentage) to arrive at cash working capital.
Q. Please describe the cash working capital adjustment derived in Statement AL.

## A. Since cash working capital includes an addition for Transmission CPUC

 intervenor funding expense, which is an expense incurred on behalf of Retail customers, Statement AL also calculates the revenue requirement associated with this expense to be excluded in the derivation of the Wholesale BTRR. The calculation for this adjustment starts with the CPUC intervenor funding expense for Transmission and applies the $12.5 \%$ to pick-up one-eighth of the balance to get the total cash working capital. To compute the revenue requirement component, the cash working capital is then multiplied by the cost of capital rate from Statement AV. The resulting revenue requirement adjustment is carried forward to the Wholesale BTRR summary in BK-2.
## J. Statement AM - Construction Work in Progress ("CWIP")

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AM.
A. If authorized by the Commission, Incentive Transmission CWIP will be reported in Statement AM, which is included in Incentive CWIP-specific rate base.
Q. If the Commission authorizes an Incentive Transmission CWIP project, how will the balance in Statement AM be calculated?
A. Consistent with the approach in the TO4 Formula, the balance in Statement AM will be derived using a 13-month average, which includes the prior year December balance plus the 12 -month base period.

## K. Statement AQ - Federal Income Tax Deductions, Other Than Interest

Q. Please describe the South Georgia income tax adjustment.
A. In 1998, Transmission regulatory proceedings transitioned from CPUC to FERC jurisdiction. At the time, CPUC ratemaking would immediately flow through the
full tax benefit to ratepayers, while FERC ratemaking requires tax benefits to be passed along ratably over the useful life of the asset ("normalization"). To resolve this jurisdictional timing difference, the Commission required investor owned utilities to perform an adjustment to the Retail revenue requirement, known as the South Georgia income tax adjustment. The adjustment amortizes the difference between flow-through and normalized tax benefits to recapture past benefits flowed-through that the utility otherwise would not have recovered.
Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AQ.
A. The South Georgia income tax adjustment is included as an increase to the Retail revenue requirement on Statement BK-1. Note that the adjustment is then backed out of the Wholesale revenue requirement on Statement BK-2 because the adjustment is for benefits flowed-through to Retail customers.
Q. When does SDG\&E anticipate the South Georgia income tax adjustment to be fully amortized?
A. The South Georgia income tax adjustment was originally scheduled to be fully amortized by the year 2017. However, in June 2007, the average book life for Transmission property increased from 41 years to 54 years. As a result, the amortization was extended an extra 13 years to account for the change in useful life.
Q. Why is there a new line item in Statement AQ for "other federal income tax deductions"?
A. SDG\&E has added a line item for other federal income tax deductions to account for additional adjustments that may arise due to regulatory or tax reform changes.

## L. Statement AR - Federal Tax Adjustments

Q. Please describe the purpose and components of Statement AR.
A. Federal tax adjustments are calculated in Statement AR. The total reduces the tax rates included as a part of the cost of capital rate, as calculated in Statement AV, which reduces the revenue requirement.

The federal income tax adjustments included in Statement AR are amortized over time through rates because they are subject to the normalization rules discussed in Section VI.K above. The items amortized in Statement AR include an adjustment for investment tax credits ("ITC") and excess deferred tax liabilities.
Q. Please explain the amortization of ITC.
A. The federal investment tax credit is a tax credit claimed on a corporate tax return for eligible solar, wind, fuel cell, and microturbine projects placed in service during the tax year.
Q. Please explain the amortization of excess deferred tax liabilities.
A. Excess deferred tax liabilities reflect adjustments to income tax expense that result from changes in statutory income tax rates. SDG\&E witness Joel Dumas further discusses excess deferred tax liabilities and the impacts of recent tax reform.
Q. Why is there a new line item in Statement AR for "other federal tax adjustments"?
A. SDG\&E has added a line item for other federal tax adjustments to account for additional adjustments that may arise from regulatory or tax reform changes.

## M. Statement AU - Revenue Credits

Q. What are revenue credits?

| A. | Revenue credits represent the sum of revenues received from use of the |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | transmission system from sources that are not from the sale of power and are not |
|  | collected or refunded through other Tariff Filings. Per the Uniform System of |
|  | Accounts, these revenues are recorded in the following FERC Accounts: |
|  | - 451 - Miscellaneous Service Revenues |
|  | - 453 - Sales of Water and Water Power |
|  | - 454 - Rent from Electric Property |
|  | - 455 - Interdepartmental Rents |
|  | - 456 - Other Electric Revenues |
|  | - 411.6 and 411.7 - Gains/Losses from Disposition of Utility Plant |
| Q. | Please describe the purpose of Statement AU. |
| A. | Since the revenue credits calculated in Statement AU represent payments from |
|  | other sources, the TO5 Formula directly reduces the total revenue requirement in |
|  | Statement BK-1 to prevent double recovery. Note that FERC Accounts 451 |
|  | through 456 are included in "Transmission Related Revenue Credits" and FERC |
|  | Accounts 411.6 and 411.7 are included in "(Gains)/Losses from Sale of Plant |
|  | Held for Future Use". |
| Q | Why are revenue credits for Wholesale transactions not included in Statement |
|  | AU? |
| A. | Revenues from Wholesale transactions, such as wheeling revenues, that are |
|  | booked to FERC 456, are not included in the TO5 Formula because these |
|  | revenues are credited back to End-Use customers through SDG\&E's TRBAA |
|  | mechanism. |

## N. Statement AV - Cost of Capital and Fair Rate of Return

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement AV.
A. Statement AV utilizes components of SDG\&E's capital structure and fair rate of return to derive a cost of capital rate, which is applied to Transmission rate base to compute total return and associated income taxes on page one of Statement BK-1. The cost of capital rate is the sum of the total weighted cost of capital rate, federal income tax expense rate, and state income tax expense rate. The federal and state income tax expense rates provide recovery of SDG\&E's income tax expense associated with the Transmission revenue requirement. SDG\&E proposes to continue the TO4 Formula methodology to calculate the cost of capital rate in the TO5 Formula.

Statement AV also derives an Incentive cost of capital rate, which is applied to a FERC-approved Incentive Transmission rate base for ROE projects in Statement BK-1. The Incentive cost of capital rate includes the same three components previously listed.
Q. Describe the calculation to derive total weighted cost of capital.
A. The calculation of total weighted cost of capital follows a four-step process:

- Calculation of the capital structure, which consists of long-term debt, preferred equity, and common equity using values from the FERC Form 1.
- Calculation of the capital structure ratio by dividing each component by the total capital structure (long-term debt, plus preferred equity, plus common equity).
- Derivation of each component's weighted cost of capital by multiplying each capital structure component by its respective cost of capital.
- Summing the weighted cost of capital for all components to determine the total weighted cost of capital.

Both Transmission and Incentive total weighted cost of capital is calculated in a similar manner with the only difference being the common equity cost of capital rate.
Q. How is the cost of capital for each component in the capital structure derived?
A. Long-term debt cost of capital is long-term debt interest divided by total longterm debt. Long-term debt interest is the sum of FERC Accounts 427, 428, and 428.1 minus FERC Accounts 429 and 429.1. Total long-term debt is the sum of FERC Accounts 221, 222, 224, and 225 minus FERC Account 226. Preferred equity cost of capital is preferred stock dividends declared from FERC Account 437 divided by preferred stock from FERC Account 204.

Common equity cost of capital is the ROE of $11.2 \%$, which will remain constant for the duration of the TO5 Formula. SDG\&E witness Dr. Roger Morin's testimony provides additional detail to support the ROE.
Q. Does SDG\&E intend to include the equity allowance for funds used during construction ("AFUDC") component of Transmission depreciation expense in the development of its TO5 Formula federal and state income tax components?
A. Yes. Equity AFUDC is a ratemaking concept that requires equity AFUDC costs to be recognized as income in the financial statements and accumulate in CWIP during plant construction. Taxable income is computed by adding book
depreciation back to pre-tax book income and deducting tax depreciation but does not allow a similar deduction for equity AFUDC. As a result, equity AFUDC is subject to federal and state income taxes. Including equity AFUDC in the federal and state income tax expense rate calculation provides equity investors a fair after-tax return during the construction of plant until construction is complete and the costs are reclassed from CWIP to plant-in-service.
Q. How does the Incentive total cost of capital rate in Statement AV differ from the Transmission cost of capital rate?
A. The total weighted cost of capital for Incentive projects is calculated using the same four-step process and same inputs described above. The weighted cost of capital for common equity, however, utilizes an Incentive return on common equity to be approved by FERC should an Incentive ROE project be approved. The federal and state income tax expense rate calculation also differs in that it does not include an adjustment for amortization of ITC or excess deferred tax liabilities, utilizes a FERC approved Incentive equity AFUDC component of Transmission depreciation expense, and utilizes a FERC approved Incentive ROE project Transmission rate base.

## O. Statement Miscellaneous

Q. Please describe the purpose of Statement Miscellaneous.
A. Statement Miscellaneous includes unique items that are not included in cost statements AD through AV and require Commission approval. Other regulatory assets/liabilities, Transmission-related regulatory debits/credits, Transmission
plant abandoned project costs, and Incentive Transmission abandoned project costs are included in Statement Miscellaneous.

## VII. TRUE-UP AND INTEREST TRUE-UP ADJUSTMENTS

Q. Please describe the purpose of the true-up and interest true-up.
A. The true-up compares recorded revenues to actual expenses to ensure SDG\&E recovers no more and no less than its allowed cost of service at the authorized return on equity. The interest true-up accounts for the inherent timing lag in the formula by calculating interest on the over or under-collection resulting from the prior year true-up. The true-up and interest true-up are calculated in their respective workpapers and each amount is reflected on page six of Statement BK1. SDG\&E witness Raulin Farinas explains the derivation of the true-up and interest true-up in his testimony.

## VIII. FORECAST PERIOD CAPITAL ADDITIONS REVENUE REQUIREMENT

Q. Please describe the purpose of the forecast period capital additions revenue requirement.
A. The forecast period capital additions revenue requirement is a mechanism that ensures rates will more closely resemble the true cost of service by estimating the various O\&M expenses SDG\&E incurs once plant is placed in service. The calculation is shown on page four of Statement BK-1 and the result is included as a component in the total BTRR calculation on page six of Statement BK-1. SDG\&E witness Christopher Penn describes the AFCR and how the forecast period capital additions revenue requirement is calculated.

## IX. FORECAST PERIOD INCENTIVE CAPITAL ADDITIONS REVENUE REQUIREMENT

Q. Please describe the purpose of the forecast period Incentive capital additions revenue requirement ("forecast ROE revenue requirement").
A. The forecast ROE revenue requirement is a mechanism that ensures rates will more closely resemble the true cost of service by estimating the various O\&M expenses SDG\&E incurs for Incentive ROE projects once the plant is placed in service. The calculation is shown on page five of Statement BK-1 and the result is included as a component in the total BTRR calculation on page six of Statement BK-1.
Q. Please describe the derivation of the forecast ROE revenue requirement.
A. The forecast ROE revenue requirement calculates an Incentive AFCR using the same inputs that the forecast plant additions revenue requirement utilizes (as explained in the Christopher Penn's testimony). However, the Incentive AFCR calculation layers on the revenue requirement for Incentive ROE projects (as calculated in Statement BK-1; Page 1) in the numerator and the total net Incentive Transmission plant for ROE projects (as calculated in Statement BK-1; Page 3) in the denominator.

The resulting Incentive AFCR is then multiplied by the Incentive weighted forecast plant additions to derive the revenue requirement for Incentive ROE forecast plant additions.

## X. INCENTIVE TRANSMISSION FORECAST CWIP PROJECTS REVENUE REQUIREMENT

Q. Please describe the purpose of the Incentive Transmission forecast CWIP projects revenue requirement ("forecast CWIP revenue requirement").
A. The forecast CWIP revenue requirement is a mechanism that ensures rates will more closely resemble the true cost of service by estimating the various O\&M expenses SDG\&E incurs for Incentive CWIP projects once the plant is placed in service. The calculation is shown on page five of Statement BK-1 and the result is a component in the total BTRR calculation on page six of Statement BK-1.
Q. Please describe the derivation of the forecast CWIP revenue requirement.
A. The forecast CWIP revenue requirement is computed by applying the cost of capital rate, as derived in Statement AV, to the Incentive weighted forecast Transmission CWIP.

## XI. FRANCHISE FEES AND UNCOLLECTIBLES

Q. What are franchise fees and uncollectibles ("FF\&U")?
A. Franchise fees are "rents" SDG\&E makes to municipal entities for the right to use roadways and public rights-of-way for its infrastructure. Uncollectible expenses represent billed revenue that SDG\&E cannot collect from its Retail customers. Both rates represent the authorized rates from SDG\&E's most recently approved General Rate Case as approved by the CPUC. If these rates change during the TO5 Formula, SDG\&E will update them accordingly.
Q. Please describe the derivation of FF\&U expenses to be included in the revenue requirement.
A. FF\&U expenses are derived on page six of Statement BK-1 for Retail customers and Statement BK-2 for Wholesale customers. Each multiplies the BTRR for each by the applicable rate. Note that uncollectible expenses are not included in the total Wholesale BTRR because uncollectible expenses are for Retail uncollectible expenses.

## XII. OTHER BTRR ADJUSTMENTS

Q. Why are other BTRR adjustments included in the total BTRR?
A. The other BTRR adjustment component of the total BTRR is necessary to adjust the BTRR for unforeseen events including, but not limited to, error adjustments, tax rate changes, and FERC audit adjustments applicable to prior base period filings.
Q. Does SDG\&E expect to report other BTRR adjustments in TO5 Cycle 1?
A. Yes. In TO5 Cycle 1, SDG\&E included two other BTRR adjustments. First, SDG\&E reported an ADIT error adjustment due to a misallocation of the net operating loss (a deferred tax asset) that offsets the deferred tax liabilities in Statement AF. The error adjustment is explained in Joel Dumas' testimony and the ratemaking aspect is described in my testimony below. Second, SDG\&E included an out-of-cycle BTRR adjustment to account for the reduction of the federal income tax rate from $35 \%$ to $21 \%$ for tax year 2018. SDG\&E included this adjustment in its answer to the FERC Show Cause Order dated March 15, 2018 in docket number EL18-62-000 resulting from the Tax Cut and Jobs Act ("TCJA") passed by Congress. The TCJA is further explained in Joel Dumas' testimony and the ratemaking aspect is described in my testimony below.
Q. Please describe the derivation of ratemaking adjustment for the ADIT error correction.
A. The misallocation of the net operating loss and the restatement of FERC Form 1 ADIT balances for base periods 2012 through 2016 are discussed in Joel Dumas’ testimony. The revised ADIT balances were utilized for ratemaking purposes in TO5 Cycle 1 as follows:

- First, SDG\&E recalculated the True-Up TRR for all affected years.
- Then, SDG\&E compared the revised True-Up TRR to the originally filed True-Up TRR to determine the difference on a monthly basis.
- Next, SDG\&E derived the interest component on the cumulative amount of the difference associated with the error through the date of correction implementation.
- Lastly, SDG\&E derived the FF\&U on the cumulative amount of the difference and interest using the rates effective for the affected years. For reference, Exhibit No. SD-0004 includes a summary of the differences, interest, and FF\&U for each affected Cycle and then summed to reflect the total adjustment amount included in TO5 Cycle 1.
Q. Please describe the ratemaking adjustment for the TCJA federal tax rate change.
A. In November 2017, SDG\&E utilized a 35\% tax rate to derive the BTRR in its TO4 Cycle 5 Filing, which developed rates for the 2018 rate effective period. Subsequently, Congress passed the TCJA, which reduced the federal income tax rate from $35 \%$ to $21 \%$ starting with tax year 2018. Under the normal operation of the formula, the refund associated with the change in tax rate would be captured
in the TO5 Cycle 2 true-up for base period 2018. However, in accordance with its response to the FERC Show Cause Order, ${ }^{2}$ SDG\&E has included an out-of-cycle BTRR adjustment in its TO5 Cycle 1 Filing to expedite the refund of the difference in BTRR caused by the federal tax rate change.
Q. Please describe how the TCJA adjustment was derived.
A. For ratemaking purposes, SDG\&E derived the TCJA adjustment as follows:
- First, SDG\&E recalculated the TO4 Cycle 5 BTRR using a $21 \%$ federal income tax rate.
- Second, SDG\&E compared the original BTRR to the revised BTRR ${ }^{3}$ to determine the refund associated with the tax rate change. In accordance with the FERC Show Cause Order, which requires refunds from March 15 through December 31 of 2018, SDG\&E carved out 9.5 months, or approximately $79 \%$, of the annual difference.
- Next, SDG\&E derived the interest on the cumulative amount of the difference associated with the out-of-cycle adjustment through the date of implementation.
- Lastly, SDG\&E derived the FF\&U on the cumulative amount of the difference and interest using the rates effective for TO4 Cycle 5.

2 On March 15, 2018, SDG\&E filed its answer to the FERC Show Cause Order pertaining to the Tax Cut and Jobs Act in docket number EL18-62-000.
${ }^{3}$ In its derivation of the TCJA adjustment, SDG\&E used the erroneous ADIT balances that were filed in the TO4 Cycle 5 filing to isolate the refund stemming from the tax rate change.

For reference, Exhibit No. SD-0005 includes a summary of the difference, interest, and FF\&U included in TO5 Cycle 1 adjustment for the Federal income tax rate change.

## XIII. COST STATEMENT BK-2: TOTAL WHOLESALE BASE TRANSMISSION REVENUE REQUIREMENT

Q. Please describe the purpose of the Wholesale BTRR.
A. The Wholesale BTRR allocates the revenue requirement between high voltage and low voltage customers, which SDG\&E provides to the CAISO for the derivation of the Transmission access charge ("TAC") rate. The TAC determines cost shifts between participating Transmission owners ("PTOs"), who use transmission lines to export energy to, or withdraw energy from, other PTOs.
Q. How does SDG\&E's TO5 Formula derive the Wholesale BTRR?
A. The derivation of the Wholesale BTRR occurs in Statement BK-2 and starts with the Retail BTRR, which excludes FF\&U and other BTRR adjustments, as computed on page six of the BK-1. The Retail BTRR includes three components directly attributable to SDG\&E's Retail customers and are as follows:

- South Georgia income tax adjustment;
- Transmission-related CPUC intervenor funding expense plus the associated working capital; and
- Uncollectible expenses.

The first section of the BK-2 removes the expenses associated with the South Georgia income tax adjustment and items associated with the Transmissionrelated CPUC intervenor funding expense. Because the starting point for the

Wholesale BTRR does not include FF\&U, the BK-2 only layers on franchise fee expense and does not perform a similar derivation for uncollectible expenses.
Q. Are there any other differences between the Wholesale BTRR calculation and the Retail BTRR calculation?
A. Yes. The Wholesale BTRR does not include the other BTRR adjustments as calculated for the Retail BTRR. SDG\&E calculates a Wholesale version of the adjustment to exclude uncollectible expenses and allocate the adjustment to high voltage ("HV") and low voltage ("LV").
Q. Describe how SDG\&E allocates the Wholesale BTRR between HV and LV.
A. In Statement BK-2, SDG\&E splits the Wholesale BTRR into the two components listed below and then allocates each component using a ratio.

- Transmission forecast plant additions revenues, including Incentive plant, from page six of Statement BK-1; and
- All remaining revenues.
Q. What allocation method is used for forecast plant addition revenues?
A. The revenues for forecast plant additions are allocated via the ratio derived in the Summary of HV/LV splits. For additional information on the derivation of the forecast plant additions, incentive forecast plant additions, and the resulting HV/LV ratio please see Christopher Penn's testimony.
Q. What allocation method is used for the remaining revenues?
A. All remaining revenues, that are not associated with forecast plant additions, are allocated using ratios derived in SDG\&E's annual HV/LV Plant Allocation Study.
Q. How does the HV/LV Plant Allocation Study compute the HV/LV ratio applied to remaining revenues?
A. This study categorizes Transmission plant from Statement AD into one of the following three groups and then allocates each group into HV or LV:
- Directly Assigned - includes assets identifiable as either HV, LV, or a combination of both. The ISO defines HV as having an operating voltage of 200 kV and above, while voltages below 200 kV are defined as LV.
- Transmission Towers and Land - includes Transmission line assets such as towers and land that are a mixture of voltages. If identifiable as either HV or LV, these assets are directly assigned, otherwise, they are allocated as one-third LV and two-thirds HV.
- Non-Unitized - includes all other plant not included in the two categories above. Since this plant cannot be identified or assigned to a voltage they are allocated using the ratio explained below.

Once plant is categorized into the above three categories, the HV/LV ratios are computed by summing the total HV and LV results for the first two categories and taking each of those as a percentage of the total.
Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
A. Yes.

## VERIFICATION

Alana Hammer hereby declares under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing document is true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

Executed this 30 day of October, 2018


EXHIBIT NO. SD-0004
TO THE PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ALANA HAMMER ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY

OCTOBER 30, 2018
San Diego Gas \& Electric Company
ADIT Base Transmission Revenue Requirements Adjustment Summary (BK-1)

| Line <br> No. | Description | Revised | $\frac{\text { Cycle }^{1,2}}{\text { As Filed }}$ | Difference | Revised | $\frac{{\text { Cycle } 3^{3}}_{\text {As Filed }}}{}$ | Difference | Revised | $\frac{\text { Cycle } 4^{4}}{\text { As Filed }}$ | Difference | Revised | $\frac{\text { Cycle } 5^{5}}{\text { As Filed }}$ | Difference | Total <br> Difference | Line No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ADIT | \$ $(289,007)$ | \$ $(393,258)$ | \$ 104,251 | \$ (411,667) | \$ $(514,923)$ | \$ 103,256 | \$ (503,877) | \$ $(745,603)$ | \$ 241,726 | \$ (593,684) | \$ $(905,037)$ | \$ 311,353 |  | 1 |
| 2 | Transmission Rate Base | 2,934,860 | 2,830,609 | 104,251 | 2,999,037 | 2,895,781 | 103,256 | 3,448,726 | 3,207,000 | 241,726 | 3,555,748 | 3,244,395 | 311,353 |  | 2 |
| 3 | Cost of Capital Rate | 11.3310\% | 11.3334\% |  | 11.4800\% | 11.4829\% |  | 11.7370\% | 11.7429\% |  | 11.4972\% | 11.5050\% |  |  | 3 |
| 4 | Return and Associated Income Taxes | 110,850 | 106,935 | 3,915 | 344,290 | 332,520 | 11,770 | 404,778 | 376,596 | 28,182 | 408,811 | 373,268 | 35,543 | 79,410 | 4 |
| 5 | Interest |  |  | 820 |  |  | 2,148 |  |  | 4,064 |  |  | 3,788 | 10,820 | 5 |
| 6 | Total BTRR Adjustment Excluding FF\&U |  |  | 4,735 |  |  | 13,918 |  |  | 32,246 |  |  | 39,331 | 90,230 | 6 |
| 7 | Transmission Related Muncipal Franchise Fees |  |  | 49 |  |  | 143 |  |  | 331 |  |  | 404 | 927 | 7 |
| 8 | Subtotal BTRR Adjustment Including Franchise Fees |  |  | 4,784 |  |  | 14,061 |  |  | 32,577 |  |  | 39,735 | 91,157 | 8 |
| 9 | Transmission Related Uncollectibles |  |  | 8 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 56 |  |  | 68 | 156 | 9 |
| 10 | Total Retail BTRR Adjustment |  |  | \$ 4,792 |  |  | \$ 14,085 |  |  | \$ 32,633 |  |  | \$ 39,803 | \$ 91,313 | 10 |

[^7]EXHIBIT NO. SD-0005
TO THE PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ALANA HAMMER ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY

OCTOBER 30, 2018

## TO5 Cycle 1 Annual Informational Filing <br> Summary of BTRR Adjustments <br> Dollars in $\mathbf{( \$ 1 , 0 0 0 s )}$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Description | Amounts |  | Reference | Line <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BTRR Adjustment - ADIT Error Correction: |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2 | ADIT Error Correction - Return and Associated Income Taxes | \$ | 79,410 | Page 2; Total Column; Line 4. | 2 |
| 3 | Interest |  | 10,820 | Page 2; Total Column; Line 5. | 3 |
| 4 | Total BTRR Adjustment Excluding FF\&U | \$ | 90,230 | Line $2+$ Line 3 | 4 |
| 5 | Transmission Related Muncipal Franchise Fees |  | 927 | Page 2; Total Column; Line 7. | 5 |
| 6 | Subtotal BTRR Adjustment Including Franchise Fees | \$ | 91,157 | Line 4 + Line 5 | 6 |
| 7 | Transmission Related Uncollectibles |  | 156 | Page 2; Total Column; Line 9. | 7 |
| 8 | Total BTRR Adjustment | \$ | 91,313 | Line 6 + Line 7 | 8 |
| 9 | BTRR Adjustment - Tax Cuts and Jobs Act: |  |  |  | 9 |
| 10 | TO4 Cycle 5 - Revised BTRR | \$ | 747,343 | Page 125; Line 27; Col. A | 10 |
| 11 | TO4 Cycle 5 - Original BTRR |  | 813,492 | Page 125; Line 27; Col. B | 11 |
| 12 | Difference | \$ | $(66,149)$ | Line 10 Minus Line 11 | 12 |
| 13 | Allocation Ratio for the Refund Period (3-15-2018 thru 12-31-2018) |  | 79\% | 9.5 Months / 12 Months | 13 |
| 14 | Total Refund Before Interest | \$ | $(52,368)$ | Line 12 * Line 13 | 14 |
| 15 | Interest |  | $(1,014)$ | Page 141; Line 16 | 15 |
| 16 | Total BTRR Adjustment Excluding FF\&U | \$ | $(53,382)$ | Line 14 + Line 15 | 16 |
| 17 | Franchise Fees |  | (549) | Page 119; Line 8 | 17 |
| 18 | Subtotal BTRR Adjustment Including Franchise Fees | \$ | $(53,931)$ | Line 16 + Line 17 | 18 |
| 19 | Transmission Related Uncollectibles |  | (93) | Page 119; Line 12 | 19 |
| 20 | Total BTRR Adjustment | \$ | $(54,024)$ | Line 18 + Line 19 | 20 |
| 21 | Total - BTRR Adjustment (WHOLESALE) | \$ | 37,226 | Line 6 + Line 18 | 21 |
| 22 | Total - BTRR Adjustment (RETAIL) | \$ | 37,289 | Line 8 + Line 20 | 22 |
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## PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

RAULIN R. FARINAS

## ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY

## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. Please state your name, position and business address.
A. My name is Raulin Farinas, and I am a Project Manager in San Diego Gas \& Electric Company’s ("SDG\&E") Transmission Revenue Group. My business address is 8315 Century Park Court Bldg. 2, San Diego, CA 92123.
Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.
A. I am involved in the development and analysis of revenue requirements proposals for SDG\&E's transmission rate proceedings before this Commission.
Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
A. I received a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration, with an emphasis in Accounting, from Old Dominion University in 1985. I also received a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of San Diego in 1997.

Professionally, I am a licensed Certified Public Accountant in the State of California and I have also received my designation as a Certified Management Accountant from the Institute of Management Accountants.

I have been employed by SDG\&E since 2002, first in the capacity of a Regulatory Economic Advisor. From 2007 through 2015, I served as a Principal Electric Analysis Advisor. Since 2015, I have served in my current position as a Project Manager.

Prior to my employment with SDG\&E, I was employed by American Water Works Service Co. and California-American Water Co. from 1986 through

2002 in various roles, including Revenue Requirement Specialist, Senior Accountant, Staff Accountant, and Rate Analyst.

My professional experience also includes almost two decades in academia, having been employed by National University (2001 - Present) and by Webster University (2010 - 2014) on a part-time basis as a Certified Core Adjunct Faculty Member and as an Adjunct Associate Professor, respectively. In these roles, I have taught courses in financial accounting and managerial accounting at each of the universities' Schools of Business Administration.
Q. Have you previously submitted testimony to this Commission?
A. Yes.

## II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony and how is it organized?
A. My testimony has two purposes. First, in Section III, I explain the purpose and derivation of the True-Up Adjustment and its applicability in the derivation of the both the Retail and Wholesale Base Transmission Revenue Requirements ("BTRR"). Second, in Section IV, I discuss the need and derivation of the Interest True-Up Adjustment component of SDG\&E's BTRR under the proposed Fifth Transmission Owner Formula ("TO5 Formula") rate mechanism. I have organized my testimony as follows:
I. Introduction
II. Purpose of Testimony
III. The True-Up Adjustment Component of the BTRR
IV. The Purpose and Calculation of the Interest True-Up Adjustment.

## III. THE TRUE-UP ADJUSTMENT COMPONENT OF THE BTRR

## A. Purpose of the True-Up Adjustment Component of the BTRR

Q. Please explain the purpose of the True-Up Adjustment component of SDG\&E's BTRR.
A. The True-Up Adjustment ("True-Up") ensures that during the term of the TO5 Formula, SDG\&E only recovers the actual costs of owning and operating its transmission facilities as defined by the True-Up Cost of Service ("TU-COS"). If the True-Up produces an over-collection, the amount over-collected will be deducted in the derivation of the BTRR, whereas if the True-Up produces an under-collection, the amount under-collected will be added in the derivation of the BTRR. The True-Up serves the same purpose as it did in SDG\&E's TO4 Formula.

## B. Proposed Changes to the True-Up Adjustment Component of the BTRR

Q. Are there any proposed changes to the True-Up Adjustment component of the BTRR under the TO5 Formula?
A. Yes. Although, as I noted above, the True-Up Adjustment serves the same purpose in the TO5 Formula as it did in the TO4 Formula, there are two changes that SDG\&E proposes to implement. First, SDG\&E proposes to make simplifying assumptions to eliminate many detailed calculations that currently exist under the TO4 Formula's True-Up Adjustment. Second, whereas the TO4 Formula involved two separate True-Up calculations - one for the Retail BTRR and a separate one for the Wholesale BTRR - SDG\&E proposes to derive only
one True-Up to calculate both its Retail and Wholesale BTRRs. These proposed changes to the True-Up Adjustment are described in more detail below.

## C. Simplification of the True-Up Adjustment

Q. Please explain the simplification of the True-Up Adjustment under the TO5 Formula.
A. To simplify the True-Up Adjustment calculation, SDG\&E made the following changes: (1) revised the visual presentation from a horizontal view to a vertical view; (2) simplified the attribution of the Monthly True-Up Cost of Service and the Prior True-Up Adjustment by dividing the respective amounts by twelve; and (3) changed the interest calculation from quarterly compounding to monthly compounding.
A. Please describe each of the changes.
A. First, the most significant change to the True-Up Adjustment calculation is to show the months in the True-Up Period vertically rather than horizontally. The key goal in redesigning the True-Up Adjustment calculation was to prove that the proposed streamlined structure shown vertically, by itself, wouldn't affect the calculation. This was accomplished by mirroring the exact data inputs and functions under a simplified vertical view. The results are an updated model, presented vertically, which ties to within $\$ 1$ of the True-Up filed in TO4 Cycle 5, before any non-formatting changes are made. From this matching version, each additional proposed change can be isolated and evaluated for impact. For purposes of the TO5 Formula, the result of the True-Up Adjustment calculation using the two formats is the same, an under-collection totaling $\$ 30,688,597$.

Second, SDG\&E is proposing a spreading method, using a divisor of 12 to simplify the calculation of the Monthly True-Up Cost of Service values over the true-up period. By simply spreading the True-Up Cost of Service evenly each month, it will eliminate over 50 pages of rate class specific cost allocations currently performed under the TO4 Formula. A similar spreading is also applied to amortize the Prior True-Up Adjustment to eliminate the need for complex amortization schedules present under the TO4 Formula. The overall impact of the simplified spreading approach is an increase of approximately $\$ 410,000$ from interest, representing a $0.0005 \%$ increase based on the TO4 Cycle 5 BTRR of $\$ 823.3$ million.

In its TO5 Formula, SDG\&E also added a category called "Other BTRR Adjustments" that will be included in future filings to deal primarily with the following items: (a) error adjustments, and (b) out-of-cycle recovery or refunds filings ordered by the Commission.

Lastly, SDG\&E is proposing to move from quarterly compounding to monthly compounding of interest. Quarterly compounding of interest applies different rules to each month depending on its position within the quarter, whereas monthly compounding accrues interest on a principal amount that changes monthly. This simplification will only result in an increase of approximately $\$ 3,000$, representing a $0.000004 \%$ increase based on the TO4 Cycle 5 BTRR of $\$ 823.3$ million.
Q. Can you please summarize impact of the proposed changes?

[^8]Q. Can you please explain the current process used to derive the current Wholesale True-Up.
A. Yes. Under the current True-Up process, the Wholesale True-Up Adjustment calculates and uses a set of "proxy" recorded revenues since a set of recorded wholesale revenues does not exist. The process to derive the "proxy" recorded revenues for wholesale customers has become very cumbersome because it goes through inordinate level of details that are unnecessary, causing confusion during the technical conferences. As a result, the proposed True-Up Adjustment process will streamline SDG\&E's Informational Filings and make it more transparent for stakeholders to understand during the review process.
Q. Can you illustrate the complexity of the TO4 Formula Wholesale True-Up Adjustment?
A. Yes. For illustration purposes, I will refer to SDG\&E's TO4 Cycle 5 Annual Informational Filing in Docket No. ER18-358-000, which was filed on November 30, 2017. The entire Volume 3 of the filing constitutes the derivation of the TrueUp Adjustment component of the Retail and Wholesale BTRRs. I will list the various steps by sections listed in Volume 3.
I. Section 3, Part I - Derivation of CAISO 12-Month Wholesale True-Up Adjustment
a. Section 3.1.1 - Derivation of CAISO Wholesale True-Up Adjustment.
b. Section 3.1.2 - Amortization of TO3 Final Wholesale Interest True-Up Adjustment.
c. Section 3.1.3-Amortization of TO4 Cycle Wholesale True-Up Adjustment.
d. Section 3.1.4-Amortization of TO4 Cycle 3 Wholesale Interest True-Up Adjustment.
II. Section 3, Part II - Derivation of Monthly CAISO Wholesale True-Up Revenues
a. Section 3.2.1 - Derivation of CAISO Wholesale Cost of Service in Effect for the 12-Month True-Up Period from January 2016 through December 2016 based on SDG\&E's TO4 Cycle 3 FERC Approved Wholesale BTRR.
b. Section 3.2.2 - Proof of Revenues that the CAISO Wholesale Transmission Rates Derived in Section 3.2.1 will generate the FERC Approved Wholesale BTRR from Section 3.2.1.
c. Section 3.2.3 - Derivation of CAISO Wholesale Revenues During the 12-Month January 2016 - December 2016 True-Up Period based on the Wholesale Transmission Rates Derived from Section 3.2.2.
III. Section 3, Part III - Derivation of Monthly CAISO True-Up Cost of Service Revenues
a. Section 3.3.1 - Derivation of CAISO Wholesale True-Up Cost of Service for the 12-Month Period January 2016 through December 2016.
b. Section 3.3.2 - Derivation of CAISO Wholesale True-Up Cost of Service Rates for the True-Up Period January 2016 through December 2016.
c. Section 3.3.3 - Derivation of CAISO Wholesale Monthly Cost of Service Applicable for the 12-Month True-Up Period. In this instance, there are 127 total pages to derive the True-Up Adjustment component of SDG\&E's Wholesale BTRR, compared to the one page under the proposed methodology. SDG\&E believes that the additional levels of detail provided under its current approach can be eliminated without losing accuracy. As illustrated in Section C above, the revised streamlined process outlined by SDG\&E has a de-minimis effect in relation to the total TO4 Cycle 5 BTRR.

## E. Derivation of the True-Up Adjustment Under the Proposed TO5 Formula

Q. Please describe the True-Up Adjustment calculation under the proposed TO5 Formula?
A. The True-Up Adjustment will be calculated for each Annual Informational Filing for the previous calendar year that the Formula was in effect, whether it was for the entire year or part of the year, by taking the differences between the Monthly True-Up Cost of Service ("MTUCOS"), as derived from the TUCOS, and the Monthly True-Up Revenues ("MTUR") during the True-Up Period ("TUP"). The process is outlined below and is reflected in the "True-Up" tab section of the TO5 Formula Rate Spreadsheet:
a. Calculate SDG\&E's actual costs to own and operate its transmission system during the TUP, as measured by the TUCOS including Franchise Fees and Uncollectible ("FF\&U").
b. Attribute the TUCOS to each month of the TUP.
c. Determine SDG\&E's MTUR for the TUP.
d. Attribute the Prior True-Up Adjustment ("Prior True-Up") embedded in rates to each month of the TUP. The Prior True-Up is the sum of the TrueUp and the Interest True-Up Adjustment ("Interest True-Up").
e. Attribute the Prior Other BTRR Adjustments to each month of the TUP.
f. Derive the Adjusted Monthly True-Up Revenues ("AMTUR") by excluding the Prior True-Up and Prior Other True-Up from the MTUR.
g. Derive the Monthly Over-Collection or Under Collection by taking the difference between the MTUCOS and AMTUR.
h. Determine the True-Up Over-Collection or Under-Collection through the end of the TUP by accumulating the monthly differences, including interest accrued on a monthly basis, using the interest rate specified in 18 C.F.R § 35.19 and posted on the FERC website.
Q. What is the TUCOS for the TO5 Cycle 1 filing that your testimony accompanies?
A. The TUCOS is equal to $\$ 746.430$ million as reflected in the True-Up Tab; column e; lines 1-4. The TUCOS represents the actual costs incurred by SDG\&E during the TUP and is the maximum amount that SDG\&E can collect to own and operate its transmission facilities during the TUP. The MTUCOS are attributed by simply dividing the TUCOS by twelve as shown in column 2, lines 14 thru 25 .
Q. What is the total of the MTUR for the TO5 Cycle 1 filing?
A. The total of the MTUR for the instant formula rate filing is equal to $\$ 761.225$ million as reflected in column 3, line 26. The MTUR is the actual recorded retail base transmission revenues booked during the TUP.
Q. Why is it necessary to adjust the MTUR by the Prior True-Up Adjustment amount embedded in the rates?
A. The Prior True-Up Adjustment shown in column 4 gets excluded to avoid truingup the True-Up component of BTRR that is currently embedded in the MTUR. Failure to adjust for the Prior True-Up Adjustment will calculate an incorrect True-Up Adjustment that will result in an incorrect BTRR.
Q. Can you please elaborate further on why this adjustment takes place?
A. Yes. The TO5 Formula estimates the BTRR that SDG\&E expects to incur during the rate effective period to set the transmission rates at a level that approximates the actual costs to operate and maintain its transmission system. The BTRR estimate includes a Prior Year Revenue Requirements ("PYRR") component and a Forecast Period Capital Additions Revenue Requirements ("FPCARR") component to derive the BTRR that SDG\&E expects to incur during the Rate Effective Period.

If the sum of the PYRR and the FPCARR forecast equals the TUCOS amount ultimately incurred during the Rate Effective Period, and if SDG\&E's forecast sales are accurate, then SDG\&E's retail transmission rates will generate retail transmission revenues during the Rate Effective Period that are exactly equal to SDG\&E's TUCOS, causing the True-Up amount to equal zero.

However, since the likelihood of this occurring is remote, a True-Up will be necessary.

Therefore, as explained above, failure to remove the Prior True-Up component from the MTUR will cause a mismatch to properly derive the True-Up component of BTRR.
Q. Does the exclusion of the "Prior Other BTRR Adjustments" from the Monthly True-Up Revenues follow the same premise as to why the "Prior True-Up Adjustment" gets excluded from the monthly true-up revenues?
A. Yes it does.
Q. What is the total True-Up Adjustment component of SDG\&E's Retail BTRR in the TO5 Cycle 1 filing?
A. As reflected in the True Up Tab of Volume 2, Page 000146, the True-Up component of the TO5 Cycle 1 Retail BTRR is an Under-Collection totaling $\$ 24.652$ million, including interest, as reflected in column 11, line 25. This amount will be added in the derivation of the TO5 Cycle 1 BTRR as shown in BK1, page 6, line 7 .
Q. Did SDG\&E also quantify the TO5 Cycle 1 True-Up under its previous TO4 True-Up methodology to verify that there would not be a material difference between the two approaches?
A. Yes. Under the TO4 Formula method, the True-Up amount derived was an under-collection totaling approximately $\$ 24.260$ million, compared to the $\$ 24.652$ million under-collection under the new method, a difference of approximately $\$ 0.392$ million, or a $2 \%$ difference.
Q. Had SDG\&E calculated a separate Wholesale True-Up amount, what would it have been and how does it compare to the Retail True-Up Under Collection of $\$ 24.652$ million as indicated above?
A. The derived Wholesale True-Up would be an Under-Collection totaling \$19.443 million, compared to the Retail True-Up of $\$ 24.652$ million, a difference of $\$ 5.209$ million, and representing $0.006 \%$ of the proposed Wholesale BTRR of $\$ 906.943$ million.
Q. Why is it necessary to include a True-Up to derive the Total BTRR if SDG\&E is filing simply to renew its existing formulaic approach under TO5 Cycle 1?
A. SDG\&E's existing TO4 Formula ends on December 31, 2018, but under the TO4 Formula Rate Protocols, SDG\&E is required to calculate a Final True-Up through the duration of the TO4 Formula. In its last informational filing (TO4 Cycle 5), in Docket No. ER18-358-000, SDG\&E calculated a True-Up for the 2016 Rate Effective Period. Therefore, even though the TO4 Formula expires on December 31, 2018, there are two additional years remaining to be trued-up under the TO4 Formula, 2017 and 2018. As a result, the True-Up for the 2017 Rate Effective Period is included in the TO5 Cycle 1 Filing, while the True-Up for the 2018 Rate Effective Period will be included in the TO5 Cycle 2 Filing.

## IV. THE PURPOSE AND CALCULATION OF THE INTEREST TRUE-UP ADJUSTMENT

Q. Will the TO5 Formula also include an Interest True-Up component to derive the Total BTRR as it did under the existing TO4 Formula?
A. Yes. SDG\&E will continue to include the Interest True-Up to derive its Total BTRR under the TO5 Formula. The purpose of the Interest True-Up is to compensate customers or shareholders by accruing interest on the prior cycle's True-Up amount from the end of the True-Up Period until the amount is fully refunded or collected in rates.
Q. Is SDG\&E proposing to change the way the Interest True-Up Adjustment will be derived in the TO5 Formula Rate?
A. Yes. SDG\&E is also proposing simplifying assumptions to the Interest True-Up Adjustment including: (a) using a straight-line amortization; and (b) using an average interest rate based on the prior 12 months FERC interest rates to amortize the True-Up Adjustment balance down to zero.
Q. Please describe the change in amortization of the Prior True-Up Adjustment through a straight-line process.
A. The amortization of the open true-up balance down to $\$ 0$, coupled with the calculation of decreasing interest expense and changing monthly interest rates, make the mathematical calculation of an exact interest rate difficult. However, using a simplified average interest rate and a straight-line amortization schedule can be calculated to reflect an exact $\$ 0$ balance by the end of the year while capturing the correct amount of interest. The total difference attributed to this change is approximately $\$ 50,000$, a $0.00005 \%$ change on the $\$ 910.865$ million BTRR. The column structure is changed to reflect a simplified amortization schedule where ending balance is beginning balance less principal paid.
Q. Please explain the calculation of the Interest True-Up component of the BTRR under the TO5 Formula.
A. As shown in the TO5 Formula Excel spreadsheet, the Interest True-Up amount totaling $\$ 1.882$ million is based on the accrued interest from the prior cycle's True-Up amount of $\$ 30.689$ million as of December 31, 2016, per the TO4 Cycle 5 Annual Informational Filing in Docket No. ER18-358-000. The tab labeled "Interest TU BP" shows the derivation of the interest accrued from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017 totaling $\$ 1.209$ million, while the second tab labeled "Interest TU CY" continues to accrue interest on the unamortized TrueUp balance from January 1, 2018 until the True-Up is fully amortized at the end of December 31, 2018, and totals $\$ 0.673$ million.
Q. Why does the Interest True-Up Adjustment produce a more accurate True-Up Adjustment calculation?
A. Absent the Interest True-Up, shareholders would not receive the interest owed them on the $\$ 30.689$ million under-collection. That is because the $\$ 30.689$ million under-collection as of December 31, 2016 in TO4 Cycle 5 will not be collected in rates until twenty-four months later, when the rates go into effect for the period January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018. The Interest True-Up provides assurance that the shareholders will be made whole, and not lose interest, or time value of money, on the $\$ 30.689$ million under collection.

The same process occurs when the True-Up is an over-collection, so that ratepayers are also made whole for the True-Up from the end of the prior true-up period until the True-Up is fully refunded in rates in the next rate effective period.
Q. Does this complete your testimony?
A. Yes.
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## PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

## WILLIAM H. SPEER <br> ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY

## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. Please state your name, position and business address.
A. My name is William H. Speer, and I am a Director of the Electric Engineering Department at San Diego Gas \& Electric Company ("SDG\&E"). My business address is 8316 Century Park Court, San Diego, CA 92123.
Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.
A. I am responsible for the management of the company's electric capital projects.
Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
A. I earned a Bachelor of Arts degree from DePauw University, Greencastle, Indiana, and a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering, with an emphasis in electrical power, from the University of Illinois, Champaign-Urbana, Illinois. I am a licensed Professional Electrical Engineer in the state of California.

I was hired by SDG\&E in 1999 as an Associate Engineer, which is a title that I held for eighteen months. My next position was an Engineer II working at SDG\&E's Substation Construction and Maintenance facility, which is a position that I held for two years. I was then promoted to a Team Lead position within the same department. My next position was an Operations \& Engineering Manager at SDG\&E's Northcoast Construction \& Operations facility, which is a position that I held for three years. I was then promoted to the Grid Control Manager and held that position for two years. My next promotion was to the position of Director of

Electric System Planning, which is a position that I held for five years. In 2017, I moved into my current position.
Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?
A. Yes.

## II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony and how is it organized?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the Forecast of Capital Additions ("Forecast") and related exhibits. I will describe transmission capital additions, processes involved in developing the Forecast and describe the California Independent System Operator ("CAISO") process which establishes the need for many of the projects included in the Forecast Period. I note that the processes and procedures SDG\&E intends to use over the course of the TO5 Formula to develop Forecasts are identical to those used over the course of the TO4 Formula. I have organized my testimony as follows:
I. Introduction
II. Purpose of Testimony
III. Overview
IV. Types of Transmission Projects
V. CAISO Approval Process
VI. High Voltage/Low Voltage Percentages
VII. Sycamore to Penasquitos 230 kV Line
VIII. Cleveland National Forest Powerline Replacement Project
IX. SONGS Synchronous Condenser Project

## III. OVERVIEW

Q. How do you determine what projects are included in the Forecast Period?
A. Transmission projects with estimated in-service dates that fall within the Forecast Period are included in the transmission forecast.
Q. What months are included in the Forecast Period?
A. The Forecast Period of TO5 Cycle 1 consists of 24 months, which runs from January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2019. Any projects estimated to go into service during these months are considered plant additions which are used and useful to customers during the TO5 Cycle 1 Rate Effective Period of January 2019 through December 2019. For TO5 Cycle 2 and subsequent annual cycles, the Forecast Period will also consist of 24 months beginning in January of year 1 and ending in December of year 2.
Q. What process do you follow to develop the list of projects included in the Forecast Period?
A. The process of identifying which transmission projects to include in the Forecast Period involves reviewing various sources of information (e.g., various regulatory reports, prior transmission cycle filings) to develop a preliminary list of potential projects. Using the preliminary list as the basis for additions, meetings are held with the Transmission Planning, Transmission Engineering \& Design, and Major Project groups at SDG\&E to establish and validate a final estimated project inservice date for the projects.
Q. How are the projects organized in this Forecast?
A. Projects of a similar nature are grouped under specific categories. Exhibit No. SD-0009, Forecast of Capital Additions, shows the various categories of projects included in TO5 Cycle 1 as Blanket Projects, Transmission Line Projects, Substation Projects, and Network Upgrades to Accommodate Generator Interconnections \& Energy Storage Projects. A brief description of categories and examples of work performed under each is provided in the Section IV of this testimony.
Q. What exhibits are included to support the Forecast?
A. I have included the following exhibits:

Exhibit No. SD-0008 - Summary of Forecast of Capital Additions
This exhibit lists the same project names, project types, approval categories, voltage levels, in service dates, and estimated costs in a single page; it is a condensed version of Exhibit No. SD-0009.

Exhibit No. SD-0009 - Forecast of Capital Additions This exhibit lists all projects included in the Forecast identifying in service date, estimated cost and what percentage of the project is estimated to be high and low voltage.

Exhibit No. SD-0010 - CAISO Approval Exhibit This exhibit identifies whether a project was approved by the CAISO and references the CAISO Transmission Plan where approval was granted.

Exhibit No. SD-0011 - CPUC Licensing Exhibit This exhibit identifies the status or anticipated status of a project's California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") licensing requirements.

## Exhibit No. SD-0012 - Large Project Report

This exhibit lists and summarizes details for any project, excluding Blanket Projects, whose cost exceeds $\$ 5$ million. It lists project name, project cost, weighted project cost, in service date, whether or not the project was approved by the CAISO, the CPUC licensing status and what benefit this project provides to customers.
Q. Are all projects included in the Forecast Period approved by the CAISO?
A. No. Many, but not all projects included in the Forecast Period are approved by the CAISO. Some projects are required either to meet the North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") reliability criteria or for other operational reasons. Some examples of non-CAISO approved projects include transmission compliance work performed under a blanket project, transmission line work to replace aging infrastructure, substation enhancements, and wood-tosteel pole replacements in high fire risk areas.
Q. How does SDG\&E develop cost estimates for the projects included in the Forecast Period?
A. The general process involves gathering project costs to-date, plus estimated future monthly cash flows from the project manager. SDG\&E then calculates an Allowance for Funds Used during Construction ("AFUDC") on the monthly cash flows up and until the project is placed in service.

## IV. TYPES OF TRANSMISSION PROJECTS

Q. You previously mentioned that projects fall into various categories. Please describe Blanket Projects. Please explain each of these categories.
> A. Blanket Projects are created to cover capital projects that do not fall within a specific category. Typically, the projects covered by blanket budgets are small in nature and fall within a specific type of work, or category. Blanket budgets differ from project-specific budgets in that there is a general scope of work that covers the types of work captured under the Blanket Projects. In some cases, Blanket Projects are established to cover a multi-year program. The significant or higher cost Blanket Projects in TO5 Cycle 1 include:
> - Electric Transmission Line Reliability
> o Work includes restoration of degraded transmission facilities, a wood pole restoration program, repairs of the system in the event of a disaster, and installation of aerial markers on transmission lines in accordance with Federal Aviation Administration requirements.
> - Transmission Infrastructure Improvements
> o Work includes proactive reliability improvements and replacement of aging and obsolete substation equipment such as transformers, transformer bushings, oil circuit breakers, disconnects, capacitors, transmission line relaying, and seismic hardening as identified by SDG\&E internal review teams.

- Substation Security
o Involves installation or replacement of substation security systems to comply with NERC guidelines to protect critical infrastructure facilities and to reduce or deter vandalism that could result in system outages or personal injury.
Q. Please describe Transmission Line Projects.
A. Transmission Line Projects include a wide range of projects needed for improved system reliability consistent with NERC reliability and operating criteria, including, but not limited to, those approved and required by the CAISO. The scope of work can range from a new transmission line to reconductored transmission circuits to replacement of aging underground cable. Included in the Transmission Line Projects category within the Forecast are also Wood-to-Steel Pole Replacement Projects. Wood-to-Steel Pole Replacement Projects involve replacing wood poles with steel poles and are needed to improve the reliability of transmission lines in high fire risk and wind prone areas. These projects may also include replacing the existing conductor where necessary.
Q. Please describe Substation Projects.
A. In addition to projects identified via transmission planning and approved by the CAISO that are required for load growth and compliance with planning and operating criteria (e.g., addition of a transformer bank), substation projects may include substation rebuilds to address reliability concerns, installation of additional reactive capacity, purchase of emergency equipment to reduce the duration of outages and facilitate repairs and replacement of overstressed circuit breakers.
Q. Please describe Network Upgrades to Accommodate Generator Interconnections \& Energy Storage Projects.
A. These projects involve network upgrades needed to ensure the transmission system will perform in accordance with NERC, Western Electricity Coordinating

Council ("WECC"), and CAISO reliability criteria once generators interconnect to the transmission system. The majority of recent activity in this category involves network upgrades required to accommodate renewable generation as entities strive to meet California Renewables Portfolio Standard ("RPS") goals.

## V. CAISO APPROVAL PROCESS

Q. Which projects included in the TO5 Cycle 1 Forecast are approved by the CAISO?
A. I identify the CAISO-approved projects in Exhibit No. SD-0010, column 4. Column 5 of the exhibit references the CAISO Board-approved transmission expansion plan and the page number where approval is listed.
Q. Please describe the CAISO's Transmission Planning Process as it relates to SDG\&E projects, excluding Network Upgrades to Accommodate Generator Interconnections.
Q. SDG\&E is a Participating Transmission Owner ("PTO") of the CAISO and is governed by the CAISO's FERC tariff and Business Practice Manuals ("BPM"). The BPMs describe the CAISO's annual Transmission Planning Process ("TPP"), which is how projects necessary to meet NERC, WECC, and CAISO transmission reliability criteria are identified, proposed, and approved. This process runs for approximately 15 months (from January to March of the following year) and is an open stakeholder process involving all CAISO PTOs and other entities such as the CPUC, California Energy Commission and independent generation and transmission developers.

Projects that are not required to meet reliability criteria but have economic or public policy benefits may also be identified by the CAISO or PTOs, with the difference being that these projects, if approved by the CAISO, may be subject to a competitive bidding process among independent transmission developers.
Q. Please briefly describe the CAISO's TPP as it relates to SDG\&E to Network Upgrades to Accommodate Generator Interconnections \& Energy Storage Projects.
A. Generator interconnections to SDG\&E-owned transmission facilities are governed by the CAISO's FERC approved tariff and guided by the CAISO's Generation Interconnection BPM. The BPM aids in understanding and applying the tariff. Please refer to the applicable tariff provisions governing generator interconnections that are contained in Appendix Y (the Generation Interconnection Process) and Appendix DD (the Generation Interconnection and Deliverability Transmission Allocation Procedures).

## VI. HIGH VOLTAGE/LOW VOLTAGE PERCENTAGES

Q. How does SDG\&E determine the percentage of a project to be classified as either High Voltage ("HV") or Low Voltage ("LV")?
A. The distinction between High and Low Voltage occurs at 200kV. Voltages in excess of 200 kV are considered High Voltage, while voltages at 200 kV or below are considered Low Voltage. The transmission voltage levels in the SDG\&E system are generally $69 \mathrm{kV}, 138 \mathrm{kV}, 230 \mathrm{kV}$ and 500 kV . Therefore, any 69 kV and 138 kV work is considered Low Voltage work and any 230 kV and 500 kV work is
considered High Voltage. Some projects include both voltages, in which case an analysis is performed to determine the appropriate percentage breakdown.

## VII. SYCAMORE TO PENASQUITOS 230 kV LINE

Q. Please describe the Sycamore to Penasquitos Project.
A. This project (commonly referred to as SX-PQ) includes installing a new 230 kV transmission line between the Sycamore Canyon and Penasquitos substations. The CPUC-approved route consists of 11.5 miles of underground and 3.1 miles of overhead alignment. The project was approved as part of CAISO's 2012-2013 Transmission Plan and was placed in-service on August 29, 2018. CPUC approval was received in October 2016. The project will allow more efficient delivery of imported energy to the San Diego coastal area and reliably and economically meet forecasted increases in demand in the San Diego region.

## VIII. CLEVELAND NATIONAL FOREST POWERLINE REPLACEMENT PROJECT

Q. Please describe the Cleveland National Forest Powerline Replacement Project
A. This project establishes a Master Special Use Permit for operations and maintenance of SDG\&E assets within the Cleveland National Forest and includes fire and weather hardening five existing 69 kV transmission lines and seven existing distribution lines via steel pole replacement or undergrounding. The project also includes removing from service 19 miles of 69 kV transmission line and restoring 16 miles of access roads. The CPUC and Forest Service approvals were received on June 2016 and September 2016, respectively. The project will increase the reliability of the transmission grid located within the High Fire Threat

District ("HFTD") of the Cleveland National Forest with an expected in-service date of the last line in December 2020.

## IX. SONGS SYNCHRONOUS CONDENSER PROJECT

Q. Please describe the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station ("SONGS") Synchronous Condenser Project
A. The SONGS Synchronous Condenser Project includes installation of one 225 MVAr synchronous condenser in the existing SONGS switchyard with a layout and enclosure size enabling installation of a second unit in the future if necessary. The project also includes relocation of one 230 kV bus deadend structure, relocation of existing underground utilities, grading and installation of multiple retaining walls. The project was approved as part of CAISO's 2013-14 Transmission Plan and was placed in-service on October 16, 2018. CPUC approval was not required. The project will mitigate voltage stability concerns in response to the loss of generation at SONGS and South Bay Power Plants; along with the planned closure of Encina Power Plant and an increase in renewable generation.
Q. Does this complete your testimony?
A. Yes.
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ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY

OCTOBER 30, 2018
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FORECAST OF TRANSMISSION CAPITAL ADDITIONS－TO5 CYCLE 1

SAN DIEGO GAS \＆ELECTRIC COMPANY
FORECAST OF TRANSMISSION CAPITAL ADDITIONS－TO5 CYCLE 1

| ¢ | － |  |  |  |  | \％） $0=$ | $=\sim 0$ | －\％¢ |  | ㄷ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |  |  | \％ | $\%$ in | mom | \％ 0 |  |  | \％${ }^{\text {\％}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}}{\stackrel{y}{3}}$ |  |  | $\chi^{\circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{1 \\ \text { in }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 象 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}^{20}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{7}}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 包 | $\sim_{\sim}^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{b}} \\ \stackrel{i}{⿺ 辶} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 免 | 家 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{9}{1} \\ \stackrel{i}{5} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \stackrel{\infty}{\dot{\Delta}} \\ \stackrel{\Delta}{\circ} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  | oin |  |  |  |  |  | 㐫 | － |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 汹 | ${ }_{\text {en }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\sim_{0}^{\circ}$ |  | $0$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}}{-2}$ | 웃융 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{3} \\ \stackrel{y}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $)^{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  | （ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \frac{\infty}{1} \\ \stackrel{1}{ } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\mid$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bo |  |  | 육 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  | MiN Mo | NoN Now |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | cose |  | －1 | Or | Ster | O | Frr |  |  | 200 | － |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{\frac{\circ}{3}} \frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  | の | のㅇ | ～$\simeq$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |  | $\because$ | \％） | ¢\％ | 戸す |  | \％ |

SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY
FORECAST OF TRANSMISSION CAPITAL ADDITIONS- TO5 CYCLE 1

SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY
FORECAST OF TRANSMISSION CAPITAL ADDITIONS- TO5 CYCLE 1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 Plant | A | Additions |  |  |  | Lne No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Project Name | Voltage | Budget Code | In-Service Dates | Jan-18 | Feb-18 | Mar-18 |  | Apr-18 | May-18 |  | Jun-18 |  |
| 46 | Unility | Warners Substation 69kV Control \& Protection Replacement | 69kV | 16130 | Sep-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 |
| 47 | CAISOICPUC | SONGS Synchronous Condensers | 230 kV | 13132 | Oct-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| 48 | Utility | Overstressed Breaker Replacements | 138/69kV | 9170 | Dec-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |
| 49 | Uvility | Keamy Substation Rebuild | 69 kV | 13242 | Dec-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49 |
| 50 | Utility | Rancho Santa Fe Substation Fire Hardening | 69kV | 15246 | Dec-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |
| 51 | Utility | Descanso Substation 69kV Control \& Protection Replacement | 69kV | 16131 | Dec-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |
| 52 | Utility | Cameron Substation - Add 69kV Bus Tie | 69 kV | 18125 | Mar-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| 53 | Utility | Santa Y sabel Substation 69kV Rebuild | 69kV | 18129 | Jun-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |
| 54 | CAISOICPUC | Ocean Ranch 69/12 KV Substation | 69kV | 5253 | Sep-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 |
| 55 | CAISOICPUC | Suncrest 230kV 300MVAr Dynamic Reactive Power Support | 230kV | 14126 | Oct-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |
| 56 | Utilly | Poway Substation 69kV Rebuild | 69kV | 14143 | Dec-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |
| 57 | Unility | Substation Auxiliary Power System (GenCell) | Auxiliary | 16133 | Dec-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |
| 58 | Unility | Substation DC Reliability Upgrade | Auxiliary | 16138 | Dec-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |
| 59 | Utilily | Avocado Substation Rebuild | 69 kV | 17153 | Dec-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |
| 60 | Unility | Mission Substation 139kV \& 69kV Rebuild | 138/69kV | 18128 | Dec-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61 |
| 62 |  | NETWORK UPGRADES TO ACCOMMODATE GENERATOR | NERGY STO | GE PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62 |
| 63 | CAISOICPUC | Encina Carlsbad Energy Center Project | 230/138kV | 16134 | Mar-18 |  |  | 2,073 |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| 64 | CAISOICPUC | Q124 Silver Ridge Mount Signal | 230 kV | 17154 | Apr-18 |  |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  | 64 |
| 65 | CAlsoicpuc | Q1061 Vista Energy Storage | 69 kV | 16137 | Aug-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |
| 67 |  |  |  |  | Grand Total: | \$ 4,691 | \$ 3,029 | \$ 16,910 | \$ | 6,017 | \$ 38,552 | \$ | 5,652 | 67 |
| 68 |  |  |  |  | High Voltage: | 4,074 | 316 | 5,426 |  | 2,567 | 4,748 |  | 2,382 | 68 |
| 69 |  |  |  |  | Low Voltage: | 617 | 2,713 | 11,484 |  | 3,450 | 33,804 |  | 3,270 | 69 |
| 70 |  |  |  |  | Total: | \$ 4,691 | \$ 3,029 | \$ 16,910 | \$ | 6,017 | \$ 38,552 |  | 5,652 | 70 |
| 71 |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 |
| 72 |  |  |  |  | High voltage | \$ 4,074 | \$ 316 | \$ 5,426 |  | 2,567 | \$ 4,748 |  | 2,382 |  |
| 73 |  |  |  |  | Low Votage | \$ 617 | \$ 2,713 | \$ 11,484 | \$ | 3,450 | \$ 33,804 |  | 3,270 | 73 |
| 74 <br> 75 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 4,691 | \$ 3,029 | \$ 16,910 |  | 6,017 | \$ 38,552 |  | 5,652 |  |
| 75 <br> 76 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 4,691 | \$ 3,029 | \$ 16,910 | \$ | 6,017 | \$ 38,552 | \$ | 5,652 | $\frac{75}{76}$ |
| 77 |  |  |  |  | Weighting Factors | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 100.000\% |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% |  | 100.000\% | 77 |
| 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| 79 |  |  |  |  | Grand Total: | \$ 3,622 | \$ 2,282 | \$ 6,677 | \$ | 39 | \$ 27,324 | \$ | 2,804 | 79 |
| 80 |  | HVILV calculation to determine the allocation to be used for blanket budget projects: |  |  |  | 3,622 | - | 1,099 |  | 39 | - |  | 1,178 | 80 |
| 81 |  |  |  |  | Low Voltage | - | 2,282 | 5,578 |  |  | 27,324 |  | 1,626 | 81 |
| 82 |  |  |  |  | Total: | \$ 3,622 | \$ 2,282 | \$ 6,677 | \$ | 39 | \$ 27,324 | \$ | 2,804 | 82 |

SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY
FORECAST OF TRANSMISSION CAPITAL ADDITIONS- TO5 CYCLE 1

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 Plant | Additions |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2019 Plant | Ad | dititions |  |  |  |  | Lin No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Project Name | Budget Code | Jul-18 | Aug-18 | Sep-18 | Oct-18 | Nov-18 |  | Dec-18 |  | Jan-19 | Feb-19 | Mar-19 |  | Apr-19 |  | May-19 |  | Jun-19 |  |
| 46 | Utility | Warners Substation 69 kV Control \& Protection Replacement | 16130 |  |  | 3,412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{46}$ |
| 47 | CAISO/CPUC | SoNGS Synchronous Condensers | 13132 |  |  |  | 114,003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| ${ }^{48}$ | Utilily | Overstressed Breaker Replacements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,942 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |
| 49 | Utilily | Kearny Substation Rebuild | 13242 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | Utility | Rancho Santa Fe Substation Fire Hardening | 15246 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |
| 51 | Utility | Descanso Substation 69 kV Control \& Protection Replacement | 16131 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,529 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |
| 52 | Uutily | Cameron Substation - Add 69 kV Bus Tie | 18125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,614 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| 53 | Utility | Santa Ysabel Substation 69kV Rebuild | 18129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,310 | 53 |
| 54 | CAISOICPUC | Ocean Ranch 69/12kV Substation | 5253 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 |
| 55 | CAISO/CPUC | Suncrest 230kV 300MVAr Dynamic Reactive Power Support | 14126 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |
| 56 | Utilily | Poway Substation 69kV Rebuild | 14143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |
| 57 | Utility | Substation Auxiliary Power System (GenCell) | 16133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |
| 58 | Utilily | Substation DC Reliability Upgrade | 16138 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |
| 59 | Utility | Avocado Substation Rebuild | 17153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | Utilily | Mission Substation 139kV \& 69kV Rebuild | 18128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61 |
| 62 |  | NETWORK UPGRADES TO ACCOMMODATE GENERATOR | \& ENERGY STO | ORAGE PRO | OJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62 |
| 63 | CAISO/CPUC | Encina Carlsbad Energy Center Project | 16134 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{63}$ |
| 64 | CAISOICPUC | Q124 Silver Ridge Mount Signal | 17154 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{64}$ |
| 65 | CAISO/CPUC | Q1061 Vista Energy Storage | 16137 |  | 1,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{66}$ |
| 67 |  |  |  | \$ 38,043 | \$ 225,990 | 43,126 | \$114,003 | \$ 23,993 | \$ | 132,640 | \$ | 79 | \$ 118,993 | \$ 22,361 | \$ | 531 |  | 8,433 | \$ | 47,543 |  |
| ${ }^{68}$ |  |  |  | 5,119 | 224,857 | 4,759 | 114,003 | - |  | 16,179 |  | 33 | 33 | 4,880 |  | 225 |  | 8,387 |  | 4,591 | 68 |
| 69 |  |  |  | 32,924 | 1,133 | 38,367 | - | 23,993 |  | 116,461 |  | 46 | 118,960 | 17,681 |  | 306 |  | 46 |  | 42,952 | 69 |
| 70 |  |  |  | \$ 38,043 | \$ 225,990 | \$ 43,126 | \$ 114,003 | \$ 23,993 | \$ | 132,640 | \$ | 79 | \$ 118,993 | \$ 22,361 | \$ | 531 |  | 8,433 | \$ | 47,543 |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 |
| 72 <br> 73 |  |  |  | \$ 5,119 |  | \$ 4,759 |  | \$ - | \$ | 16.179 | \$ | 33 | \$ 30 | \$ 3,900 | \$ | 169 |  | 5,591 |  | 2,678 | 72 |
| 73 <br> 74 |  |  |  | \$ 32,924 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,133 \\ & \hline \$ 225,990 \end{aligned}$ | \$ 38,367 $\$ 43,126$ | \$ ${ }_{\text {\$ 14,003 }}$ | \$ 23,993 | \$ | 116,461 <br> 132,640 | \$ | 46 79 | \$ 109,047 | \$ 14,734 <br> \$ 18,634 | \$ | 230 399 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| 76 |  |  |  | \$ 38,043 | 225,990 | \$ 43,126 | \$ 114,003 | \$ 23,993 | \$ | 132,640 | \$ | 79 | \$ 109,077 | \$ 18,634 | \$ | 398 | \$ | 5,622 | \$ | 27,733 | 76 |
| 77 |  |  |  | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 100.000\% | 100.000\% |  | 100.000\% |  | 100.000\% | 91.667\% | 83.333\% |  | 75.000\% |  | 66.667\% |  | 58.333\% | 77 |
| 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| 79 |  | HVILV calculation to determine the allocation to be used for blanket budget projects: |  | \$ 37,985 | \$ 225,932 | \$ 31,872 | \$ 14,003 | \$ 23,993 | \$ | 94,383 | \$ | . | \$ 118,914 | \$ 11,295 | \$ | . |  | 8,353 |  | 36,688 | 79 |
| 80 |  |  |  | 5,095 | 224,832 |  | 114,003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,353 |  |  | 80 |
| 81 |  |  |  | 32,890 | 1,100 | 31,872 | - | 23,993 |  | 94,383 |  | . | 118,914 | 11,295 |  | . |  | - |  | 36,688 | 81 |
| 82 |  |  |  | \$ 37,985 | \$ 225,932 | \$ 31,872 | \$ 114,003 | \$ 23,993 | \$ | 94,383 | \$ |  | \$ 118,914 | \$ 11,295 | \$ |  |  | 8,353 |  | 36,688 | 82 |

SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY
FORECAST OF TRANSMISSION CAPITAL ADDITIONS- TO5 CYCLE 1

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |  | Project Name | Budget Code | 2019 Plant Additions |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Plant Additions |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High Voltage } \\ & 200 \mathrm{kV}+ \end{aligned}$ | Low Votage | Line No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Jul-19 | Aug-19 | Sep-19 | Oct-19 | Nov-19 |  | Dec-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | Utility | Warners Substation 69kV Control \& Protection Replacement | 16130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,412 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 46 |
| 47 | CAISO/CPUC | SONGS Synchronous Condensers | 13132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 114,003 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 47 |
| 48 | Utility | Overstressed Breaker Replacements | 9170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,942 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 48 |
| 49 | Utility | Kearny Substation Rebuild | 13242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 23,339 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 49 |
| 50 | Utility | Rancho Santa Fe Substation Fire Hardening | 15246 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,888 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 50 |
| 51 | Utility | Descanso Substation 69kV Control \& Protection Replacement | 16131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 3,529 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 51 |
| 52 | Utility | Cameron Substation - Add 69kV Bus Tie | 18125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,614 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 52 |
| 53 | Utility | Santa Ysabel Substation 69kV Rebuild | 18129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 8,310 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 53 |
| 54 | CAISO/CPUC | Ocean Ranch 69/12kV Substation | 5253 |  |  | 17,743 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 17,743 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 54 |
| 55 | CAISO/CPUC | Suncrest 230kV 300MVAr Dynamic Reactive Power Support | 14126 |  |  |  | 2,527 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,527 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 55 |
| 56 | Utility | Poway Substation 69kV Rebuild | 14143 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18,277 | \$ | 18,277 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 56 |
| 57 | Utility | Substation Auxiliary Power System (GenCell) | 16133 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,268 | \$ | 2,268 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 57 |
| 58 | Utility | Substation DC Reliability Upgrade | 16138 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,370 | \$ | 9,370 | 20.00\% | 80.00\% | 58 |
| 59 | Utility | Avocado Substation Rebuild | 17153 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,244 | \$ | 4,244 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 59 |
| 60 | Utility | Mission Substation 139kV \& 69kV Rebuild | 18128 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 825 | \$ | 825 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 60 |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 280,632 | SUBtotal |  | 61 |
| 62 |  | NETWORK UPGRADES TO ACCOMMODATE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTIONS \& ENERGY STORAGE PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62 |
| 63 | CAISO/CPUC | Encina Carlsbad Energy Center Project | 16134 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,073 | 53.00\% | 47.00\% | 63 |
| 64 | CAISO/CPUC | Q124 Silver Ridge Mount Signal | 17154 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 39 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 64 |
| 65 | CAISO/CPUC | Q1061 Vista Energy Storage | 16137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,100 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 65 |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,212 | SUBTOTAL |  | 66 |
| 67 |  |  |  | \$ 6,240 | \$ 37,310 | \$ 28,628 | 2,606 | \$ 16,319 | \$ | 105,633 | \$ | 1,047,322 | Gross |  | 67 |
| 68 |  |  |  | 33 | 33 | 4,603 | 2,560 | 33 |  | 33,271 | \$ | 442,912 | High votage | 42.29\% | 68 |
| 69 |  |  |  | 6,207 | 37,277 | 24,025 | 46 | 16,286 |  | 72,362 | \$ | 604,410 | Low Votage | $57.71 \%$ | 69 |
| 70 |  |  |  | \$ 6,240 | \$ 37,310 | \$ 28,628 | \$ 2,606 | \$ 16,319 | \$ | 105,633 | \$ | 1,047,322 |  | 100.00\% | 70 |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  | 71 |
| 72 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 1,534 |  |  |  | 2,772 | \$ | 401,814 | High voltage | 47.02\% | 72 |
| 73 |  |  |  | \$ 3,104 | \$ 15,532 | \$ 8,008 | 12 | \$ 2,714 | \$ | 6,030 | S | 452,759 | Low Votage | 52.98\% | 73 |
| 74 |  |  |  | \$ 3,121 | \$ 15,546 | \$ 9,542 | 652 | \$ 2,720 | \$ | 8,802 | S | 854,573 |  | 100.00\% | 74 |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| 76 |  |  |  | \$ 3,120 | \$ 15,546 | \$ 9,543 | 652 | \$ 2,720 | \$ | 8,802 | \$ | 854,572 |  |  | 76 |
| 77 |  |  |  | 50.000\% | 41.667\% | 33.333\% | 25.000\% | 16.667\% |  | 8.333\% |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| 79 |  | HVILV calculation to determine the allocation to be used for blanket budget projects: |  | \$ 6,161 | \$ 37,231 | \$ 17,743 | 2,527 | \$ 16,240 | \$ | 59,412 | \$ | 885,480 |  |  | 79 |
| 80 |  |  |  | - | - | - | 2,527 | - |  | 13,724 | \$ | 374,472 | High Votage | 42.29\% | 80 |
| 81 |  |  |  | 6,161 | 37,231 | 17,743 | - | 16,240 |  | 45,688 | \$ | 511,008 | Low Votago | 57.71\% | 81 |
| 82 |  |  |  | \$ 6,161 | \$ 37,231 | \$ 17,743 | 2,527 | \$ 16,240 | \$ | 59,412 | \$ | 885,480 |  | 100.00\% | 82 |
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|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Project Name | Voltage | Budget Code | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CPUC Authorization } \\ \text { CPCN, PTC, Exempt } \\ \text { or N/A } \\ \text { See Note (1) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Filing Status See Note (2) | Comments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | BLANKET BUDGET PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2 | Electric Transmission Line Reliability Projects |  | 100 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 2 |
| 3 | Transmission Substation Reliability Projects |  | 103 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 3 |
| 4 | Renewal of Electric Transmission Line Easements |  | 104 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 4 |
| 5 | Electric Transmission Infrastructure Improvements |  | 1145 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 5 |
| 6 | Electric Transmission System Automation |  | 3171 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 6 |
| 7 | Emergency \& Spare Equipment |  | 6254 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 7 |
| 8 | Fiber Optic for Relay Protection \& Telecommunication |  | 7144 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 8 |
| 9 | Synchronized Phasor Measurement (Synchrophasors) |  | 10138 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 9 |
| 10 | Automated Fault Location |  | 12129 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 10 |
| 11 | Aerial Marking for Safety |  | 12159 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 11 |
| 12 | Various Substations Security Upgrades (CAST) |  | 15125 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 12 |
| 13 | Mid-Coast Trolley Extension Project |  | 15258 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 13 |
| 14 | Substation Security Installations |  | 16126 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 14 |
| 15 | Condition-Based Maintenance |  | 9144/13139 | See Note (3) | See Note (3) | Assessed on specific project basis | 15 |
| 16,17 | TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  | 16,17 |
| 18 | TL664 Wood to Steel | 69kV | 11133 | Exempt | Effective |  | 18 |
| 19 | TL697 Pendleton South Wood to Steel | 69 kV | 10147 | Exempt | Effective |  | 19 |
| 20 | TL690A Pendleton South Reconductor Wood to Steel | 69 kV | 16132 | Exempt | Effective |  | 20 |
| 21 | Cleveland National Forest (CNF) | 69 kV | 8165 | PTC | Effective | A.12-10-009 | 21 |
| 22 | SX-PQ 230kV Line | 230 kV | 13128 | CPCN | Effective |  | 22 |
| 23 | TL13835 Wood to Steel | 69 kV | 14138 | Exempt | Effective |  | 23 |
| 24 | TL676 Mission-Mesa Heights Reconductor | 69 kV | 9153 | N/A | Pending | Advice Letter | 24 |
| 25 | TL663 Mission-Kearny Reconductor | 69 kV | 11126 | N/A | Pending | Advice Letter | 25 |
| 26 | TL617 Rose Canyon Tap Removal | 69 kV | 17159 | Exempt | Effective |  | 26 |
| 27 | TL600 Reliability Pole Replacements | 69 kV | 12156 | N/A | N/A |  | 27 |
| 28 | TL6906 Mesa Rim Loop-in | 69 kV | 17130 | Exempt | Effective |  | 28 |
| 29 | Miguel to Bay Boulevard | 230 kV | 16157 | Exempt | Effective |  | 29 |
| 30 | TL633 Bernardo-Rancho Carmel 69kV Line Upgrade | 69 kV | 12139 | N/A | Effective | Advice Letter | 30 |
| 31 | TL6912 San Luis Rey to Camp Pendleton | 69 kV | 10149 | Exempt | Effective |  | 31 |
| 32 | TL649 Wood to Steel | 69 kV | 9137 | PTC | Pending | A.15-08-006 | 32 |
| 33 | TL674A Reconfiguration at Del Mar TL666D RFS | 69 kV | 13130 | PTC | Pending |  | 33 |
| 34 | TL23001_23004 Mission to San Luis Rey Wood to Steel | 230 kV | 16150 | Exempt | Effective |  | 34 |
| 35,36 | SUBSTATION PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  | 35,36 |
| 37 | Miguel Sub Hydro \& Water Quality Enhancement | 500/230kV | 15130 | Exempt | Effective |  | 37 |
| 38 | Salt Creek Substation | 69 kV | 2258 | PTC | Effective | A.13-09-014; Approved by D.16-05-005 | 38 |
| 39 | Vine 69/12kV Substation | 69 kV | 13243 | PTC | Effective | A.14-05-021; Approved by D.16-05-008 | 39 |
| 40 | SCADA Expansion Transmission | 138 kV | 12132 | Exempt | Effective |  | 40 |
| 41 | South Bay Substation Relocation | 230/138/69kV | 6132 | PTC | Effective | A.10-06-007; Approved by D.13-10-025 | 41 |
| 42 | Mission Bank 71 Addition | 230/69kV | 15132 | Exempt | Effective |  | 42 |
| 43 | Pt Loma Substation Rebuild | 69 kV | 1269 | Exempt | Effective |  | 43 |
| 44 | Los Coches Substation Rebuild | 138/69kV | 10135 | Exempt | Effective |  | 44 |
| 45 | Camp Pendleton Voltage Support | 69 kV | 16128 | Exempt | Effective |  | 45 |
| 46 | Warners Substation 69kV Control \& Protection Replacement | 69 kV | 16130 | Exempt | Effective |  | 46 |
| 47 | SONGS Synchronous Condensers | 230 kV | 13132 | Exempt | Effective |  | 47 |
| 48 | Overstressed Breaker Replacements | 138/69kV | 9170 | Exempt | Effective |  | 48 |
| 49 | Kearny Substation Rebuild | 69 kV | 13242 | Exempt | Effective |  | 49 |
| 50 | Rancho Santa Fe Substation Fire Hardening | 69 kV | 15246 | Exempt | Effective |  | 50 |
| 51 | Descanso Substation 69kV Control \& Protection Replacement | 69kV | 16131 | Exempt | Effective |  | 51 |
| 52 | Cameron Substation - Add 69kV Bus Tie | 69 kV | 18125 | Exempt | Effective |  | 52 |
| 53 | Santa Ysabel Substation 69kV Rebuild | 69 kV | 18129 | Exempt | Effective |  | 53 |
| 54 | Ocean Ranch 69/12kV Substation | 69 kV | 5253 | PTC | Effective |  | 54 |
| 55 | Suncrest 230kV 300MVAr Dynamic Reactive Power Support | 230 kV | 14126 | CPCN | Pending |  | 55 |
| 56 | Poway Substation 69kV Rebuild | 69kV | 14143 | Exempt | Effective |  | 56 |
| 57 | Substation Auxiliary Power System (GenCell) | Auxiliary | 16133 | Exempt | Effective |  | 57 |
| 58 | Substation DC Reliability Upgrade | Auxiliary | 16138 | Exempt | Effective |  | 58 |
| 59 | Avocado Substation Rebuild | 69 kV | 17153 | Exempt | Effective |  | 59 |
| 60 | Mission Substation 139kV \& 69kV Rebuild | 138/69kV | 18128 | Exempt | Effective |  | 60 |
| 61,62 | NETWORK UPGRADES TO ACCOMMODATE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTIONS \& ENERGY STORAGE PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  | 61,62 |
| 63 | Encina Carlsbad Energy Center Project | 230/138kV | 16134 | Exempt | Effective |  | 63 |
| 64 | Q124 Silver Ridge Mount Signal | 230 kV | 17154 | Exempt | Effective |  | 64 |
| 65 | Q1061 Vista Energy Storage | 69 kV | 16137 | Exempt | Effective |  | 65 |

Notes
(1)
The term "Exempt" means the project is exempt from a Permit to Construct (PTC) or CPCN
(2) CPUC Approval Status is categorized as Effective, Pending or Forecast. Each category is defined as follows: Approval Obtained
Pending - under CPUC Review
Forecast - subject to Internal Determination
(3) Due to the numerous small transmission projects and the varying CPUC licensing requirements included in each blanket budget, no single determination will apply Instead, each project within a blanket budget will be assessed individually and applicable licensing requirements will be followed
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## A. Summary

## Reflects Costs and Benefits Related with Large Transmission Plant Additions

## SDG\&E's TO5 Cycle 1 Transmission Plant Additions

 SDG\&E’s TO5 Cycle 1 Forecast Period (January 2018 through December 2019). Shown for each project are the in-service month, approval status, total cost, cost weighted for the number of months the project will be in service during the TO5 Cycle 1 rate-effective period (January 2019 through December 2019), and an explanation of the benefits of the project for SDG\&E's retail and ISO wholesale customers.
## Weighted Costs <br> \$694,923 <br> Cost Totals (\$000s): Project Costs

## Transmission Line Projects

1. Cleveland National Forest (CNF)

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8165 | $\$ 229,442$ | $\$ 197,977$ | Jul 2018 <br> Nov 2018 <br> Dec 2018 <br> Feb 2019 <br> Aug 2019 | N/A | PTC | This project will improve the reliability of all electric facilities in fire-prone <br> and/or wind-prone areas of Cleveland National Forest and adjacent lands by <br> replacing existing wood poles with equivalent steel poles. The fire-hardening <br> work will involve using stronger conductors, slightly longer insulators, and utilize <br> improved vertical and horizontal spacing. The new structures will reduce outage <br> potential, improve contamination resistance, reduce estimated facility <br> maintenance, maximize equipment life span potential, and provide for superior <br> avian protection. These project costs represent work scheduled for completion in <br> 2018 and 2019 TO5 Cycle 1 forecast scope period. However, the overall CNF <br> project is expected to proceed through the end of 2020. |

## B. Projects

(\$000s)

1. Cleveland National Forest (CNF)

| SDG\&E's TO5 Cycle 1 Transmission Plant Additions |
| ---: |
| For 24-Month Forecast Period: January 2018 through December 2019 |

Reflects Costs and Benefits Related with Large Transmission Plant Additions
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| 3. TL676 Mission-Mesa Heights Reconductor |
| :--- |
| Budget <br> Code Cost of <br> Project Weighted <br> Cost In Service <br> Date ISO <br> Approved CPUC <br> Approved How Project Benefits Customers |
| 9153 |\(\$ \$ 18,111 ~ \$ 18,111 ~\left[$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { Dec } 2018 & \text { Yes }\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}Advice <br>

Letter\end{array} $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { This project improves the existing } 69 \mathrm{kV} \text { system within the Mission/Kearny/Mesa } \\
\text { Heights load center, mitigates North American Reliability Corporation (NERC) } \\
\text { reliability criteria, and reduces overall outage potential. }\end{array}
$$\right.\)

| 4. TL663 Mission-Kearny Reconductor |
| :--- |
| Budget <br> Code Cost of <br> Project Weighted <br> Cost In Service <br> Date ISO <br> Approved CPUC <br> Approved How Project Benefits Customers <br> 11126 $\$ 18,143$ $\$ 18,143$ Dec 2018 Yes Advice <br> Letter This project, as initiated by Transmission Planning as a capacity-driven project, is <br> to improve the 69kV transmission local area system within the <br> Mission/Kearny/Mesa Heights load center and mitigate NERC Category B <br> reliability criteria. The scope of work involves overhead and underground work. <br> The overhead work entails re-conductoring the overhead line to provide a new <br> minimum continuous rating of 204MVA from existing 97MVA (with a 9-hour       <br> emergency rating of 129MVA). This requires a complete re-conductor of       <br> overhead line from 1-1033.5 ACSR/AW and 2-336.4.       |
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2. SX-PQ 230kV Line

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13128 | $\$ 224,832$ | $\$ 224,832$ | Aug 2018 | Yes | CPCN | This project improves reliability by allowing more efficient delivery of imported <br> energy to the San Diego coastal area and will reliably and economically meet <br> forecasted increases in demand in the San Diego region. |

3. TL676 Mission-Mesa Heights Reconductor
Exhibit No. SD-0012
Page 3 of 7 5. TL6906 Mesa Rim Loop-in

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Budget } \\ \text { Code }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Cost of } \\ \text { Project }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Weighted } \\ \text { Cost }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { In Service } \\ \text { Date }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { ISO } \\ \text { Approved }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { CPUC } \\ \text { Approved }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17130 | $\$ 8,681$ | $\$ 7,234$ | Mar 2019 | Yes | Exempt |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { This project will improve reliability by adding third and fourth power lines to the } \\ \text { Mesa Rim Substation. Currently there are only two 69kV power lines serving the } \\ \text { substation (TL677 Miramar - Mesa Rim and TL675 Mesa Rim- Peñasquitos). } \\ \text { The substation must be put out of service to perform repairs or maintenance on } \\ \text { the underground facilities. During maintenance of overhead facilities, Mesa Rim } \\ \text { Substation is radialized meaning that it is served by only one power line. This } \\ \text { project will create two new power lines TL6906 (Peñasquitos-Mesa Rim) and } \\ \text { TL6978 (Miramar - Mesa Rim) allowing more flexibility for maintenance } \\ \text { activities and improving the reliability of the substation. In addition, the existing } \\ \text { wood cable poles have been identified by SDG\&E's compliance program to be } \\ \text { replaced due to deterioration. They will be replaced with new steel cable poles. }\end{array}$ |  |

6. Miguel to Bay Boulevard

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16157 | $\$ 8,353$ | $\$ 5,569$ | May 2019 | Yes | Exempt |
|  |  |  |  | Mitigate NERC thermal violations, reinforce the southern 230kV loop and <br> increase operational flexibility of the system. The project will add a second <br> 230 kV line (TL23020) between Miguel and Bay Blvd Substations via building <br> out vacant side of existing double circuit structures that currently have TL23042 <br> on one side. This will include installing cross arms, insulators and associated <br> hardware on approximately 55 existing structures, and approximately 10 miles of <br> new bundled 900 ACSS/AW conductor overhead. |  |

7. TL633 Bernardo-Rancho Carmel 69kV Line Upgrade

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12139 | $\$ 28,378$ | $\$ 16,554$ | Jun 2019 | Yes | Advice <br> Letter | This CAISO-approved reliability project is necessary to meet the load growth of <br> the surrounding communities and accommodate additional load associated with <br> the expansion of Artesian 230kV substation. Additionally, SDG\&E is working <br> with the City of San Diego to convert the overhead line to underground. |

8. TL6912 San Luis Rey to Camp Pendleton

| 8. TL6912 San Luis Rey to Camp Pendleton |
| :--- |
| Budget <br> Code Cost of <br> Project Weighted <br> Cost In Service <br> Date ISO <br> Approved CPUC <br> Approved <br> 10149 $\$ 6,161$ $\$ 3,081$ Jul 2019 N/A ExemptThis project is a wood-to-steel pole replacement enhancing reliability by <br> removing existing wood poles and replacing them with new steel poles in fire- <br> prone/wind-prone areas. This project will entail replacing approximately 75 <br> wood/steel poles and approximately 6 miles of new conductor. |

9. TL649 Wood to Steel

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9137 | $\$ 16,240$ | $\$ 2,707$ | Nov 2019 | N/A | PTC | This project is a wood-to-steel pole replacement enhancing reliability by <br> removing existing wood poles and replacing them with new steel poles in fire- <br> prone/wind-prone areas. This project will entail removing approximately 132 <br> wood poles, installing approximately 117 new steel poles, and replacing <br> approximately 7 miles of new conductor. |

10. TL674A Reconfiguration at Del Mar TL666D RFS

| Budget Code | Cost of Project | Weighted Cost | In Service Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13130 | \$12,578 | \$1,048 | Dec 2019 | Yes | PTC | This project will mitigate NERC reliability violations and improve outage restoration and maintenance in environmentally sensitive areas. The project will remove from service TL666 after looping in TL674. |
| 11. TL23001 23004 Mission to San Luis Rey Wood to Steel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget Code | Cost of Project | Weighted Cost | In Service Date | ISO Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| 16150 | \$11,850 | \$988 | Dec 2019 | N/A | Exempt | This project is a wood-to-steel pole replacement enhancing reliability and reducing risk by removing existing wood poles and replacing them with new steel poles in fire and wind prone areas. This project will replace approximately twenty-three wood pole H -frame structures with steel H -frame structures. |
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9. TL649 Wood to Steel

## Substation Projects

12. Vine 69/12kV Substation

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13243 | $\$ 17,768$ | $\$ 17,768$ | Mar 2018 <br> May 2018 | Yes | PTC | This project will improve reliability and help accommodate future load growth in <br> the downtown San Diego and surrounding areas by adding system capacity to: (1) <br> allow congested downtown substation to be offloaded and (2) reduce outage <br> potential at the airport and downtown businesses and residents. |


| Budget Code | Cost of Project | Weighted Cost | In Service Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15132 | \$8,635 | \$8,635 | Jul 2018 | Yes | Exempt | This project will remove from service the existing Mission class 50 banks (Bank 51 and 52) and add a 2 nd class 70 bank (Bank 71). The project will mitigate the Cat C (T-1-1) thermal overload on the Mission $138 / 69 \mathrm{kV}$ yard as identified by the CAISO. In addition, it will remove from service aging infrastructure and add load-tap capability allowing Grid Operations to control voltage. |

14. Pt Loma Substation Rebuild

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1269 | $\$ 6,040$ | $\$ 6,040$ | Sep 2018 | N/A | Exempt | This project will improve the reliability of the San Diego transmission system by <br> replacing aging and obsolete equipment. The rebuild will involve replacing aging <br> breakers, building a new and larger control shelter (which will allow for <br> placement inside of new monitoring equipment and increase security of the <br> shelter), and rebuilding the 69kV bus and 69kV steel rack. It will also create the <br> ability to increase the capacity of the substation. |


| Budget <br> Code | Cost of Project | Weighted Cost | In Service Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10135 | \$15,828 | \$15,828 | Sep 2018 | Yes | Exempt | This project will provide increased reliability through seismic upgrades, operational flexibility, and future capacity and will mitigate reliability and loading issues. This project was reviewed and approved by the CAISO. |

16. SONGS Synchronous Condensers

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13132 | $\$ 114,003$ | $\$ 114,003$ | Oct 2018 | Yes | Exempt | This project will aid reliability and help mitigate voltage stability concerns <br> identified by the CAISO in response to the loss of generation at SONGS, South <br> Bay, and Encina power plants. The project involves installation of one + 225 <br> MVAr Synchronous Condensers connected to the existing 230kV switchyard at <br> the SONGS Substation. |

17. Kearny Substation Rebuild

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13242 | $\$ 23,339$ | $\$ 23,339$ | Dec 2018 | N/A | Exempt | This project will relocate the existing substation to a larger location to <br> accommodate expansion. This project will improve reliability and help <br> accommodate future load growth. The capacity of the substation will be <br> expanded to serve the new nearby Kaiser Hospital. The project will replace aging <br> infrastructure, including failing 69kV and 12kV insulator glass, aging 12kV <br> metalclad switchgear, non-standard bus tie arrangement, six transmission <br> breakers, eight distribution breakers, and four 12kV capacitors. |

18. Santa Ysabel Substation 69 kV Rebuild

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18129 | $\$ 8,310$ | $\$ 4,848$ | Jun 2019 | N/A | Exempt | | The project will improve reliability and help future capacity requirements by |
| :--- |
| adding a 69 kV bus tie and bank breaker. The project will also replace aging |
| infrastructure and rebuild structures to current seismic standards. |


| Budget Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted Cost | In Service Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ISO } \\ & \text { Approved } \end{aligned}$ | CPUC <br> Approved | How Project Benefits Customers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5253 | \$17,743 | \$5,914 | Sep 2019 | Yes | PTC | The project will support existing and future customer-driven load growth and improve reliability in the Oceanside and North Vista service territory. The project will install a new $69 / 12 \mathrm{kV}$ substation in the North Vista/Oceanside area. |

20. Poway Substation 69 kV Rebuild

| 20. Poway Substation 69kV Rebuild |
| :--- |
| Budget <br> Code Cost of <br> Project Weighted <br> Cost In Service <br> Date ISO <br> Approved CPUC <br> Approved <br> 14143 $\$ 18,277$ $\$ 1,523$ Dec 2019 N/A Exempt |

21. Substation DC Reliability Upgrade

| Budget <br> Code | Cost of <br> Project | Weighted <br> Cost | In Service <br> Date | ISO <br> Approved | CPUC <br> Approved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16138 | $\$ 9,370$ | $\$ 781$ | Dec 2019 | N/A | Exempt |
|  |  |  |  | This project installs new assets in existing substation control shelters to support <br> increased control power requirements. Driven by aging infrastructure <br> replacements of telecom hardware and relays. Scope includes installation of new <br> control panels, upgrades to 125V DC systems for control power, new air <br> conditioning in control rooms to support additional heat generated from this <br> equipment, and DC-DC power converters. |  |
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## PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

## CHRISTOPHER R. PENN

## ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY

## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. Please state your name, position and business address.
A. My name is Christopher R. Penn, and I am a Senior Accountant in Transmission Revenue for San Diego Gas \& Electric Company ("SDG\&E"). My business address is 8315 Century Park Court Bldg. 2, San Diego, CA 92123.
Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.
A. My responsibilities include assisting in developing and analyzing Transmission revenue requirements.
Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with an emphasis in Accounting from San Diego State University. I am a Certified Public Accountant in the state of California and I continue to maintain an active status license with practice rights by fulfilling the continuing professional education requirements.

I have been employed by SDG\&E since 2008, first as an intern in Electric \& Gas Procurement - Energy Risk. Since receiving my Bachelor's degree in May 2009, I have held positions in SDG\&E’s OpEx 20/20 Asset Management \& Smart Grid department; Electric \& Fuel Procurement - Settlements \& Systems department; Regulatory Reporting Department; and Accounting Operations Plant Accounting department. I joined the Transmission Revenue group in January 2015.
Q. Have you previously submitted testimony to this Commission?
A. No.

## II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony and how is it organized?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain how the Forecast Period Capital Addition Revenue Requirements ("FC") is derived in the context of SDG\&E's TO5 Formula. The FC is a component of the Base Transmission Revenue Requirements ("BTRR") within the TO5 Formula, and its purpose is to provide for recovery of the costs related to forecasted plant additions reflected in the Forecast Period. For Cycle 1 of the TO5 Formula, the Forecast Period is the 24month period from January 2018 through December 2019. The calculation of the FC is shown on page four of Statement BK-1 of the TO5 Formula Rate Spreadsheet. The FC equals the Annual Fixed Charge Rate ("AFCR") multiplied by the Net Weighted Forecast Plant Additions ("NWFPA"). The primary component of the NWFPA is the Forecast of Capital Additions ("Forecast") that I receive from SDG\&E witness William H. Speer, who describes the process of developing the Forecast. In my testimony, I discuss the calculations of the AFCR and NWFPA. ${ }^{1}$

I have organized my testimony as follows:
I. Introduction

[^9]II. Purpose of Testimony
III. Calculation of the AFCR
IV. Calculation of the NWFPA
V. Differences in the Development of the FC Between the TO5 Formula and TO4 Formula

## III. CALCULATION OF THE AFCR

Q. What does the AFCR represent and how is it calculated?
A. The AFCR represents the annual fixed charges that are expected to be incurred during the rate effective period associated with an incremental dollar of Net Transmission Plant. The AFCR is calculated by dividing the Prior Year Revenue Requirements ("PYRR"), excluding 50\% of Transmission O\&M and Transmission Related A\&G costs, CPUC Intervenor Funding Expense Transmission, Federal Income Tax Deductions Other than Interest, and Gains \& Losses from Sale of Plant Held for Future Use, by Net Transmission Plant.

## IV. CALCULATION OF THE NWFPA

Q. How is the NWFPA calculated and what information is it based upon?
A. The NWFPA is calculated as the Weighted Forecast Plant Additions ("WFPA") net of Weighted Forecast Plant Additions Depreciation Expense ("WFPA Depr"). The Composite Depreciation Rate ("CDR") for electric transmission, as presented in the Volume 2 workpapers of Statement AJ - Depreciation and Amortization Expense, is used to derive the WFPA Depr by taking the product of the WFPA and CDR.

As noted above, Mr. Speer provides the Forecast that I use in calculating the WFPA. Mr. Speer's testimony shows all individual transmission projects,
their costs, and in-service dates, for each month. Gross project costs are applied a retirement rate using information derived from the FERC Form 1 to reflect possible retirements to the Forecast. The forecast costs are then weighted in the same manner as they were under SDG\&E's TO4 Formula. That is, projects that are placed in-service within the 12 months following the Base Period are weighted $100 \%$, while projects that are placed in-service within the Rate Effective Period are prorated (e.g., January 2019 - 12/12, February 2019 - 11/12, etc.). The same general process applies to developing the WFPA associated with General, Common, and Electric Miscellaneous Intangible project plant additions and is consistent with the TO4 Formula.

## V. DIFFERENCES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FC BETWEEN THE TO5 FORMULA AND TO4 FORMULA

Q. Please explain the differences between the development of the FC between the TO5 Formula and the TO4 Formula.
A. The differences are as follows:

AFCR: Under the TO5 Formula, SDG\&E is proposing that the AFCR be calculated using Net Transmission Plant in the denominator, instead of Gross Transmission Plant. Historically, the True-Up Adjustment component of SDG\&E's BTRR has been an under-collection for each annual information filing. Under the TO4 Formula, where gross plant is utilized in the denominator to derive the AFCR, the denominator will increase as gross plant continues to grow each year, causing the AFCR to continue to diminish over time. As a result, the FC will continue to decrease and remain insufficient to cover the increased incremental costs during the rate effective period, further compounding future
under-collections. Switching from gross plant to net plant in the denominator to derive the AFCR will help minimize, but not eliminate, future True-Up Adjustments and allow the company to better match the timing of when revenues are collected in rates to cover the costs that are expected to be incurred during the rate effective period.

NWFPA: SDG\&E is proposing that the CDR be applied to the WFPA to carve out depreciation expense associated with total forecast period plant additions. Capital assets begin to depreciate upon being placed in-service, and the application of the electric transmission CDR to estimate the WFPA Depr to reduce the WFPA and derive the NWFPA is an appropriate methodology.
Q. Does this complete your testimony?
A. Yes.

## VERIFICATION

Christopher R. Penn hereby declares under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing document is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

Executed this $30^{\text {th }}$ day of October, 2018



[^0]:    1 The TO4 Formula was established through an "Offer of Settlement" among the settling parties that SDG\&E filed on February 9, 2014 in Docket No. ER13-941. The Commission approved the settlement on May 27, 2014. San Diego Gas \& Electric Co., 147 FERC $\mathbb{1}$ 61,150 (2014).

[^1]:    2 As discussed further by Ms. Hammer, SDG\&E's TO5 Formula, like its TO4 Formula, uses historic information in the development of the Base Period and True-Up Adjustment. For rates effective in TO5 Cycle 1 (January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019), the Base Period is the 12 months ended December 31, 2017, and the True-Up Adjustment is 2017 calendar year data, which is applicable to TO4 Cycle 4 (January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016).

[^2]:    3 San Diego Gas \& Electric Co., 143 FERC © 61,246 at P 23 (2013).
    147 FERC 『 61,150 (2014).
    See "Offer of Settlement," § 1.10.

[^3]:    $6 \quad$ Pacific Gas \& Electric Co., 164 FERC $\mathbb{6}$ 61,121 (2018).
    7 AEP Appalachian Transmission Co., et al., 162 FERC ๆ 61,225 (2018).

[^4]:    8 See Exhibit No. SD-0004, "ADIT Base Transmission Revenue Requirements Adjustment Summary (BK-1) for TO4 Cycles 2 to 5 ," which accompanies Ms. Hammer's testimony.

[^5]:    A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the structure and derivation of the total Retail and Wholesale Base Transmission Revenue Requirements ("BTRR") under SDG\&E's proposed Fifth Transmission Owner Formula ("TO5 Formula"), which is set forth in the proposed Formula Rate Spreadsheet and Protocols, accompanying SDG\&E's rate filing in this proceeding, as discussed in the testimony of SDG\&E witness Jeff Stein.
    Q. How is your testimony organized?
    A. I have organized my testimony as follows:
    I. Introduction
    II. Purpose of Testimony
    III. Overview of SDG\&E's Proposed TO5 Formula Rate
    IV. Cost Statement BK-1: Total Retail Base Transmission Revenue Requirement
    V. Prior Year Cost of Service
    VI. Cost Statements Used to Derive the Prior Year Cost of Service
    VII. True-Up and Interest True-Up
    VIII. Forecast Period Capital Additions Revenue Requirement
    IX. Forecast Period Incentive Capital Additions Revenue Requirement
    X. Incentive Transmission Forecast Construction Work in Process Projects Revenue Requirement
    XI. Franchise Fees and Uncollectibles
    XII. Other BTRR Adjustments

[^6]:    Citizens Sunrise Transmission, LLC ("Citizens"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Citizens Energy Corporation, has leased $50 \%$ of the transfer capability of a 30 -mile segment ("Border-East Line") of the Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Project ("Sunrise"). SDG\&E operates and maintains the Border-East Line and charges Citizens for its share pursuant to the Appendix X Formula rate mechanism of SDG\&E's Transmission Owner ("TO") Tariff. To prevent doublerecovery, SDG\&E excludes Citizens-related expenses for items including, but not limited to, property and payroll taxes in its TO filing.

[^7]:    The TO4 Rate Effective Period is from September 1, 2013 through December 31, 2018. The amounts reflected in this column trues up the 4-month period September - December 2013.
    Information and related workpapers are included within tab labeled 'ADIT Adj. - TO4 Cycle 2'.
    Information and related workpapers are included within tab labeled 'ADIT Adj. - TO4 Cycle 3'
    Information and related workpapers are included within tab labeled 'ADIT Adj. - TO4 Cycle 4
    5 Information and related workpapers are included within tab labeled 'ADIT Adj. - TO4 Cycle 5'.

[^8]:    A. The collective impact of the proposed changes I just described is an increase of approximately $\$ 414,000$ or $0.0005 \%$ when compared to the total TO4 Cycle 5 BTRR of $\$ 823.3$ million. Significantly, the overall simplification of the True-Up Adjustment is estimated to reduce the "Volume 3" book that was submitted in prior filings from over 200 pages to three pages. These proposed changes do not eliminate any data but rather use simplifying assumptions. By reducing complexity and a significant amount of pages of material, I believe these proposed changes make it easier for stakeholders to review and understand the True-Up Adjustments.

    ## D. One True-Up Adjustment to Derive the Retail and Wholesale BTRRs

    Q. Why is SDG\&E proposing to derive a single True-Up Adjustment amount to calculate both its Retail and Wholesale BTRRs under the proposed TO5 Formula?
    A. SDG\&E is always looking for ways to improve its Formula by making it more understandable and transparent in the way the Retail and Wholesale BTRRs are derived. Using one True-Up Adjustment accomplishes this objective. In technical conferences SDG\&E has convened with stakeholders, it became apparent that having two separate True-Up Adjustments caused unnecessary confusion and complexity.

    For purposes of the TO5 Formula, SDG\&E has simplified the True-Up Adjustment process by deriving one True-Up Adjustment to derive the Retail and Wholesale BTRRs. In addition, the proposed methodology will now conform more closely to the definition of the True-Up Adjustment, which is the difference between actual costs and recorded revenues for the true-up period.

[^9]:    1 SDG\&E does not have any Incentive projects currently. If Incentive projects did exist, the calculation methodology for deriving a Forecast Period Incentive Capital Addition Revenue Requirements component would be the same as for the FC using the FERC authorized Incentive Return on Equity. The calculation for Incentive projects is made on page five of Statement BK-1.

