

Application of SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC  
COMPANY (U 902 E) For Authority To  
Implement Optional Pilot Program To Increase  
Customer Access To Solar Generated Electricity

Application 12-01-008

Exhibit No.: \_\_\_\_\_

**UPDATED PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF  
CHRIS YUNKER  
CHAPTER 3  
ON BEHALF OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**MAY 10, 2013**



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1

2

3 I. OVERVIEW AND PURPOSE ..... 1

4 II. *SUNRATE* PRICING ..... 2

5 A. Basis for *SunRate* pricing..... 3

6 B. *SunRate* Billing Calculations ..... 4

7 1. Line Item for *SunRate* ..... 4

8 2. Line Item for SDG&E’s Average Commodity Cost ..... 4

9 3. Line Item for Customer Service Adjustment ..... 5

10 III. *SHARE THE SUN* PRICING ..... 6

11 A. Basis for *Share the Sun* Pricing ..... 6

12 B. *Share the Sun* Billing Calculations ..... 8

13 1. Line Item for *Share the Sun* Rate ..... 8

14 2. Line Item for SDG&E’s Average Commodity Cost ..... 9

15 3. Line Item for Customer Service Adjustment ..... 9

16 4. Line Item for Re-MAT Credit..... 9

17 IV. BUNDLED CUSTOMER PROTECTIONS – MAINTAINING

18 INDIFFERENCE ..... 9

19 A. The PCIA as updated in D. 11-12-018is an appropriate proxy for

20 the indifference amount associated with the procurement of

21 program solar energy in lieu of energy from SDG&E’s remaining

22 balance of resources..... 10

23 B. The ratio of on and off peak energy to account for solar energy’s

24 value relative to SDG&E’s balance of resources is consistent with

25 the calculation of the bundled customers’ indifference amount. .... 12

26 C. The methodology to determine the RA capacity value in the PCIA

27 is the appropriate adjustment for the difference in RA value

28 between SDG&E’s balance of resources and the solar energy used

29 to serve *connected...to the sun*..... 12

30 D. Differences in Line Losses between SDG&E’s portfolio and

31 *connected.....to the sun* Resources ..... 12

1	E.	Vintaging resources underlying indifference amount calculations is	
2		consistent with the PCIA .....	13
3	F.	Administrative Costs of Pricing Options .....	13
4	G.	Renewable Integration Costs .....	14
5	H.	Service at end of contract duration .....	14
6	I.	The Calculation of Early Termination Fees.....	14
7	V.	STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS .....	16
8			
9			



1 **II. SUNRATE PRICING**

2 As further described in the updated prepared direct testimony of Dawn Osborne, the  
3 *SunRate* will allow any bundled customer an option to buy solar energy through a simple, tariff-  
4 based rate.<sup>3</sup> SDG&E will allocate up to a maximum of 10MW of solar energy for the initial  
5 *SunRate* pilot program from local solar facilities.<sup>4</sup> Customers will have the option to buy solar  
6 energy in volumes equal to all or just a portion of their total energy usage, which will be priced  
7 based on the price SDG&E pays for solar energy with the necessary adjustments to keep non-  
8 participating customers indifferent.

9 SDG&E proposes that the *SunRate* pricing includes (1) the associated cost of solar  
10 energy and (2) adjustments to offset the utility’s avoided costs in order to maintain non-  
11 participant ratepayer indifference. For the *SunRate* program, avoided costs include SDG&E’s  
12 average commodity cost, the value of solar energy and capacity produced through the program,  
13 and the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (“PCIA”), which avoids creating a cross subsidy  
14 by covering the participant’s share of the above market costs of resources that have already been  
15 procured by SDG&E on behalf of all customers. Also included in *SunRate* avoided costs are  
16 CAISO Grid Management Charges (“GMCs”) embedded in the average commodity costs, again,  
17 to avoid shifting these costs between customers. This pilot service will offer customers access to  
18 pricing advantages over rooftop solar provided by economies of scale and locations with  
19 maximum sun exposure, while protecting bundled ratepayers, participants and non-participants  
20 alike, from exposure to cross-subsidies.

---

<sup>3</sup> See Updated Prepared Direct Testimony of Dawn Osborne, Ch.2, p.8.

<sup>4</sup> The direct testimony of Hillary Hebert describes the process and selection of solar facilities that will support *SunRate* customer subscriptions.

1           **A.     Basis for *SunRate* pricing**

2           The pricing for *SunRate* includes five components: (1) the cost of local solar, (2) the  
3 value of solar energy and capacity, (3) SDG&E’s average commodity cost, (4) the PCIA, and (5)  
4 CAISO GMCs. The cost of local solar and the value of solar are fixed at the time of customer’s  
5 application for the customer’s selected contract term. The illustrative table below shows the  
6 calculation that would underlie a *SunRate* customer’s bill.

Pricing Methodology (Illustrative Values)		
Cost of Local Solar (\$/kWh) (Based on existing SunRate Pool)		\$0.085/kWh
Value of Solar Energy and Capacity	-	\$0.0056/kWh
<b><i>SunRate</i></b>	=	<b>\$0.079/kWh</b>
<b>SDG&amp;E’s Average Commodity Cost</b>	-	<b>\$0.072/kWh</b>
PCIA	+	\$0.006/kWh
CAISO GMC	+	\$0.0007/kWh
<b>Customer Service Adjustment</b>	=	<b>\$0.0067/kWh</b>
<b>Total</b>	=	<b>\$0.0141/kWh</b>

7  
8  
9           Although a *SunRate* customer’s pricing consists of five components, a *SunRate*  
10 customer’s bill would include only three line item adjustments: (1) the *SunRate*, which is the cost  
11 of local solar less the solar value adjustment, (2) SDG&E’s average commodity cost, and (3) the  
12 Customer Service Adjustment (“CSA”) which is the PCIA plus CAISO GMCs. Depending upon  
13 how total electric commodity costs and CSA compare to the cost of local solar secured through

1 the *SunRate* program, there can be either a premium or discount to the participant's otherwise  
2 applicable bill.

### 3 **B. *SunRate* Billing Calculations**

4 The *SunRate* billing calculations are designed to maintain bundled customer indifference.  
5 The line item adjustments on a *SunRate* customer's bill reflect the cost to be served by local solar  
6 relative to SDG&E's average bundled portfolio commodity cost.

#### 7 **1. Line Item for *SunRate***

8 A participating customer's bill will show a line item multiplying the incremental cost for  
9 the *SunRate* by the participant's monthly energy consumption and participation level (current  
10 RPS percentage to 100 percent of energy usage). The line item is made up of two components.  
11 The first is the cost of local solar secured for the *SunRate* program, as outlined in the testimony  
12 of Hillary Hebert in Chapter 4, pp. 6 - 8. The second is the solar value adjustment which  
13 calculates the relative value of energy and capacity for the solar resources supporting the  
14 *SunRate* program compared to SDG&E's current portfolio of resources serving all bundled load.  
15 The solar value is netted against the cost of local solar. The calculation of the solar value  
16 adjustment is described in Section IV below.

#### 17 **2. Line Item for SDG&E's Average Commodity Cost**

18 SDG&E's average commodity cost is credited to the *SunRate* customer. This cost is used  
19 as a proxy to reflect SDG&E's avoided commodity costs, which ideally would be reflected in the  
20 average commodity rate. However, because of the reasons described below, SDG&E finds that  
21 it is necessary to make the following adjustments to the average commodity rate in order to  
22 better reflect the avoided cost. Currently there is a disconnect between the timing when ERRA  
23 related costs are incurred and the rate implementation timing of SDG&E's ERRA Forecast.  
24 Further, balances related to ERRA trigger proceedings can impact commodity rates. SDG&E

1 has noted the impact of this timing disconnect in ERRA trigger proceedings. Since this can  
2 cause the ERRA portion of the commodity rate to differ notably from the costs, SDG&E is  
3 substituting the ERRA component of the average commodity rate with an ERRA forecast value  
4 net of ERRA Trigger Balances to better approximate avoided costs.

### 5 **3. Line Item for Customer Service Adjustment**

6 The Customer Service Adjustment consists of the PCIA and GMCs. The PCIA  
7 comprises the above market cost of SDG&E's existing procurement portfolio and is calculated  
8 annually. This is a cost that is ultimately born by all customers for resources that were procured  
9 on their behalf. For Direct Access ("DA") or Community Choice Aggregation ("CCA")  
10 customers the PCIA is included in the cost responsibility surcharge line item on their bill. For  
11 SDG&E's retail customers the above market costs are blended into SDG&E's average  
12 commodity cost. Because *SunRate* customers are now electing to participate in the *SunRate* and  
13 are credited the average commodity cost on their bill, the PCIA must be applied to ensure these  
14 customers continue to share in the above market costs for resources that were already procured  
15 on their behalf. Otherwise, non-participant ratepayers would shoulder those shares of the above  
16 market cost of the existing procurement portfolio avoided by customers who have elected to  
17 'green' their resource mix using *SunRate*.

18 GMCs are also included in the Customer Service Adjustment. GMCs include energy  
19 usage charges, energy transmission service charges, and reliability services costs, all of which  
20 are allocated to load and resources by the CAISO. These are service costs incurred on behalf of  
21 all bundled customers and embedded in the average commodity cost that is credited to  
22 participating customers. Since these are costs for services provided to all bundled customers  
23 including program participants they are added back so as not to shift costs from participants to  
24 non-participants.



1 Ancillary services are also incurred on behalf of all bundled customers. These costs are  
2 included in Load ISO Charges along with all energy costs and are not broken out separately.  
3 Given that this is a pilot program SDG&E is not incorporating ancillary service costs at this time.  
4 However, SDG&E will review these costs and along with all costs of commodity service once  
5 the program participation is established and/or with any expansion of the program. SDG&E  
6 intends to incorporate ancillary service costs and any other appropriate cost in the future.

### 7 **III. SHARE THE SUN PRICING**

8 As further described in the updated prepared direct testimony of Dawn Osborne, the  
9 *Share the Sun* pilot is a community solar program that will allow customers to purchase solar  
10 services (such as the rights to the capacity) produced by a specific solar facility directly from  
11 participating solar providers.<sup>5</sup> *Share the Sun* participating solar providers will sell the  
12 participating facility's energy to SDG&E and will contract with SDG&E customers to sell the  
13 rights to the capacity produced by such projects. SDG&E will credit the customer's monthly bill  
14 for the contracted value of the energy produced by the customer's subscribed portion of the solar  
15 facility's capacity. SDG&E proposes to contract for a limited quantity of local solar resources to  
16 support *Share the Sun* as described in the testimony of Hillary Hebert in Chapter 4, p. 8. This  
17 program is intended to provide an additional solar alternative for customers and to expand the  
18 potential customer base for solar developers.

#### 19 **A. Basis for *Share the Sun* Pricing**

20 *Share the Sun* uses the same fundamental pricing structure as *SunRate*, including a solar  
21 value adjustment, a credit for SDG&E's average commodity cost, the PCIA, and GMCs . One  
22 main difference between the two programs is that the solar energy allocated to *Share the Sun*

---

<sup>5</sup> See Updated Prepared Direct Testimony of Dawn Osborne, Ch.2, p.16.

1 customers can vary independent of a customer’s actual annual energy use, much like the net  
 2 energy metering arrangement used for traditional rooftop solar. While the subscription levels are  
 3 based upon a customer’s annual usage as described in the testimony of Dawn Osborne in Chapter  
 4 2, p.19, the amount of energy customers will receive will depend on each customer’s agreement  
 5 with their respective *Share the Sun* developer/providers.

6 In terms of the underlying pricing calculations, there are additional differences between  
 7 *SunRate* and *Share the Sun*. The main difference is that the cost of local solar is replaced by the  
 8 cost of the subscriptions rights in the developer’s facility, which is primarily negotiated between  
 9 the customer and the developer, but includes an underlying SDG&E bill charge and credit for the  
 10 facility rate established by the solar facility’s power purchase agreement between SDG&E and  
 11 the developer. As described in the testimony of Hillary Hebert in Chapter 4, p. 13, the Re-MAT  
 12 price is paid by SDG&E for subscribed and unsubscribed portions of a *Share the Sun* facility.  
 13 Therefore, a *Share the Sun* customer is charged for that price, noted on the bill as the *Share the*  
 14 *Sun Rate*. Moreover, because the *Share the Sun* customer has purchased the subscription rights  
 15 from the developer, that customer is assigned a credit equal to the Facility Rate, or Re-MAT, on  
 16 their bill. This ultimately results in a lower overall net bill to SDG&E.

Pricing Methodology		
Illustrative Values		
Re-MAT Fit Starting Price (TOD Adjusted passed on typical solar profile)		\$0.104/kWh
Value of Solar Energy and Capacity	-	\$0.0056/kWh
<b><i>Share the Sun Rate</i></b>	=	\$0.0984/kWh
<b>SDG&amp;E’s Average Commodity Cost</b>	-	<b>\$0.072/kWh</b>

PCIA	+	\$0.006/kWh
CAISO GMC	+	\$0.0007/kWh
<b>Customer Service Adjustment</b>	=	<b>\$0.0067/kWh</b>
<b>Total</b>	=	<b>\$0.0331/kWh</b>
<b>Re-MAT FiT Credit</b> <b>(Rights to this Capacity are Assigned to the Customer from the from Developer)</b>	-	<b>\$0.104/kWh</b>
<b>Net Adjustment To Customer</b>		<b>(\$0.0709/kWh)</b>

1

2 **B. *Share the Sun* Billing Calculations**

3 The billing calculations for the *Share the Sun* program are designed to create bundled  
4 customer indifference and mitigate cost shifts from the *Share the Sun* program. The bill for  
5 *Share the Sun* would show one more line item than the *SunRate*, for a total of four additional line  
6 items.

7 **1. Line Item for *Share the Sun* Rate**

8 A participating customer’s bill will show a line item multiplying the incremental cost for  
9 the *Share the Sun* Rate by the participant’s subscription level in the solar facility. The line item  
10 is made up of two components. The first is the Re-MAT price as outlined in the testimony of  
11 Hillary Hebert in Chapter 4, p. 12. The second is the solar value adjustment which calculates the  
12 relative value of energy and capacity of the *Share the Sun* solar resource to SDG&E’s portfolio  
13 of resources serving SDG&E’s bundled load. The calculation of the solar value adjustment is  
14 described in Section IV below.

1                   **2.       Line Item for SDG&E’s Average Commodity Cost**

2                   As described in Section II.B.2. above, SDG&E’s average commodity cost is used as a  
3 proxy for SDG&E’s avoided commodity cost. In order to better reflect the average commodity  
4 cost, SDG&E adjusts the average commodity rate as discussed in Section II.B.2 above.

5                   **3.       Line Item for Customer Service Adjustment**

6                   As noted in Section II.B.3. above, since *Share the Sun* customers are credited the average  
7 commodity cost on their bill, the Cost of Service Adjustment, which includes the PCIA and  
8 GMCs must be applied to ensure these customers continue to share in the above market costs for  
9 resources that were already procured on their behalf and the services that they receive as a  
10 bundled customer.

11                   **4.       Line Item for Re-MAT Credit**

12                   The *Share the Sun* customer has acquired subscription rights to a facility’s output and is  
13 provided with the SDG&E bill credits equal to the solar facility’s kWh output assigned to the  
14 customer and multiplied by the Re-MAT price of the facility.

15 **IV.     BUNDLED CUSTOMER PROTECTIONS – MAINTAINING INDIFFERENCE**

16                   The appropriate determination of avoided cost is crucial to maintaining bundled customer  
17 indifference to the allocation of solar generation under these programs. This avoided cost is the  
18 marginal unit of output avoided by the last increment of generation. This assures that costs of  
19 the proposed programs are not shifted to non-participants. Holding non-participants indifferent  
20 is critical to creating a structure that will allow solar adoption to grow in a sustainable manner,  
21 that is, without market-distorting and unfair cross-subsidies.

22                   In order to build such a sustainable platform, the programs must provide participants the  
23 benefits that solar energy provides. Correspondingly, participant costs must not be shifted to  
24 bundled customers that do not participate in the program. The *connected.....to the sun* programs

1 avoid cost shifts through two adjustments. First, there is an adjustment to recover the  
2 participant's share of any above-market costs associated with the balance of SDG&E's resources  
3 that have already been procured to serve them. That is accomplished by applying the PCIA as  
4 set forth in the Commission's decision adopting DA reforms (D.11-12-018).

5 Second, there is an adjustment to account for any differences in the value of the solar  
6 energy allocated to the programs and the value of the energy from the balance of SDG&E  
7 resources including, for example, any Resource Adequacy ("RA") value that the project  
8 provides. This is done to ensure that any incremental value associated with the solar energy  
9 from *connected.....to the sun* projects goes to the program participants. The appropriate  
10 calculation of the bundled customer indifference adjustments will avoid cross-subsidies among  
11 customers and permit long-term, sustainable growth of these programs.

12 The following sections detail how the indifference amount is calculated for the proposed  
13 pilot programs.

14 **A. The PCIA as updated in D. 11-12-018 is an appropriate proxy for the**  
15 **indifference amount associated with the procurement of program solar**  
16 **energy in lieu of energy from SDG&E's remaining balance of resources**

17 While neither *connected.....to the sun* program is a DA function, the PCIA derived in the  
18 Direct Access context provides the appropriate proxy to ensure that non-participating customers  
19 are held indifferent to the commodity costs related to the programs. D. 11-12-018 states (pp. 7-8)  
20 that:

21 The indifference amount is designed to ensure that DA customers that have  
22 departed from bundled IOU procurement service remain responsible for paying  
23 any IOU costs incurred on their behalf. In other words, remaining bundled  
24 customers must be protected from any cost shifting and left economically  
25 indifferent as the result of DA customers leaving the system.

26 \*\*\*\*\*  
27

1 ...[i]n D.02-11-022, the Commission established a cost responsibility surcharge  
2 (CRS) methodology which incorporated an indifference amount. ... The  
3 indifference principle involves the interaction of three elements;  
4

- 5 a) A non-bypassable surcharge which DA customers pay to offset any cost  
6 impacts on bundled customers associated with their departure from or  
7 return to bundled service;
- 8 b) Switching rules which govern the movement of customers between DA and  
9 bundled service; and
- 10 c) Transition Bundled Service (TBS) rates which accommodate customer  
11 movement while allowing the utility to adjust its generation portfolio  
12 without cost impacts on bundled customers.  
13

14 As noted in item “a” above, the CRS establishes the indifference amount to recover costs  
15 associated with a utility’s bundled service when a customer elects to procure commodity from a  
16 source other than the utility’s balance of resources (*i.e.*, other than the blend of all resources used  
17 in SDG&E’s portfolio). Therefore, this established methodology is a reasonable method to  
18 protect bundled customers from the cost impacts of participant customers choosing to procure  
19 solar energy through the proposed pilots, rather than from the utility’s existing balance of  
20 bundled resources that was procured, in part, on the participant customer’s behalf.

21 Given that SDG&E is proposing a minimum one-year participation and fixed terms along  
22 with an early termination fee for the *connected.....to the sun* programs (*see* Section IV.H, *infra*),  
23 the items b. and c. in the above quote do not apply to the proposals in this Application. These  
24 items were designed to avoid gaming opportunities where a customer might choose to start DA  
25 service to take advantage of spot prices when they are below the utility portfolio price and then  
26 return to utility service when spot prices increase above the utility portfolio price, concerns not  
27 applicable given the terms of the proposed programs.

1           **B.     The ratio of on and off peak energy to account for solar energy’s value**  
2           **relative to SDG&E’s balance of resources is consistent with the calculation of**  
3           **the bundled customers’ indifference amount.**

4           The PCIA, as updated in D. 11-12-018, includes a ratio of on-peak and off-peak energy  
5 based on SP15 prices, which is used to establish the energy value in SDG&E’s balance of  
6 resources for the Market Price Benchmark (“MPB”) used in the PCIA calculation. It is therefore  
7 consistent with the indifference amount calculation to make an adjustment to account for the  
8 energy value of solar for *Share the Sun* and *SunRate* relative to the energy in the bundled  
9 portfolio. The \$/kWh adjustment is determined by calculating the difference of the energy value  
10 in SDG&E’s portfolio and energy value from the solar projects used to serve either *SunRate* or  
11 *Share the Sun* participants using the same SP15 prices and on-peak and off-peak energy ratios.

12           **C.     The methodology to determine the RA capacity value in the PCIA is the**  
13           **appropriate adjustment for the difference in RA value between SDG&E’s**  
14           **balance of resources and the solar energy used to serve *connected...to the***  
15           ***sun*.**

16           The PCIA, as updated in D. 11-12-018, also includes a methodology for establishing a  
17 \$/MWh value of RA capacity included within SDG&E’s balance of resources. The same  
18 calculation will be used to establish any incremental difference in RA value associated with the  
19 solar energy used to serve *connected.....to the sun* programs and SDG&E’s balance of resources.  
20 This is done to pass the incremental value that *connected.....to the sun* solar energy provides on  
21 to program participants.

22           **D.     Differences in Line Losses between SDG&E’s portfolio and *connected.....to***  
23           ***the sun* Resources**

24           For the pilot program no line loss adjustment is made to account for any difference there  
25 may, or may not be, in line losses from program projects and line losses from SDG&E’s balance  
26 of resources. Additional analysis is needed to determine what, if any, material difference there is  
27 in any potential reduction in transmission line losses relative to any potential increase in

1 distribution line losses those same projects may incur. The issue of line losses will be reviewed  
2 based on experience under the pilot if and when the *connected.....to the sun* program is expanded  
3 in order to determine if a calculable difference in line losses can be identified.

4 **E. Vintaging resources underlying indifference amount calculations is**  
5 **consistent with the PCIA**

6 SDG&E proposes to “vintage” PCIA charges consistent with D.11-12-018. This ensures  
7 that participants are responsible for the cost of those resources, and only those resources, that  
8 were procured prior to the customer’s participation in *connected.....to the sun*. This includes  
9 renewable contracts that have been contracted for but will begin deliveries at a future date.

10 **F. Administrative Costs of Pricing Options**

11 Currently SDG&E does not include an incremental administrative charge for participants  
12 associated with the pricing options to be made available under *connected.....to the sun*. SDG&E  
13 anticipates that there will be incremental administrative costs incurred for this program and will  
14 address these costs at a later date once program participation has been established under the pilot  
15 and approval for any increase in program size is sought. At that point SDG&E proposes that  
16 any incremental administrative costs would be paid for by participating customers. SDG&E  
17 proposes the following mechanism in the event it seeks recovery of such incremental  
18 administrative costs:

- 19 • Monthly charge (\$/month) for recovery of administrative costs
- 20 • Establishment of a balancing account to track both administrative costs and revenues  
21 associated with the monthly fee. The monthly charge would then be adjusted for  
22 under/over-collections resulting from differences between administrative costs and  
23 collected revenues to ensure that the costs are recovered through participating  
24 customers only



1 In the event there is no participation, consistent with the treatment of the *connected.....to*  
2 *the sun* resources in the event of no enrollment (that is, inclusion into the portfolio as RPS  
3 compliance resources), SDG&E proposes that any incremental administrative costs would be  
4 paid for by ratepayers in a manner consistent with existing cost treatment.

#### 5 **G. Renewable Integration Costs**

6 At this time, SDG&E does not incorporate any renewable integration costs into the prices  
7 for the *connected.....to the sun* pilots. However, SDG&E remains open to reviewing what  
8 renewable integration costs would be appropriate to incorporate into the programs in the  
9 appropriate Commission proceeding. Moreover, SDG&E will consider the application of such  
10 costs with any future expansion of the pilot programs. SDG&E would seek approval to amend  
11 this or any other aspect of the program's pricing structure through the advice letter process.

#### 12 **H. Service at end of contract duration**

13 Because the *connected.....to the sun* participant is an SDG&E customer, there are  
14 negligible planning cost impacts in the return of that customer to service by the balance of  
15 SDG&E's portfolio at the pilot program level of 20 MW (10 MW for *SunRate* and 10 MW for  
16 *Share the Sun*). SDG&E remains the customer's Load Serving Entity and plans to meet it  
17 requirements utilizing its total portfolio which includes resources procured under *connected.....to*  
18 *the sun*.

#### 19 **I. The Calculation of Early Termination Fees**

20 The early termination fee for *connected.....to the sun* is comprised of the above-market  
21 costs associated with the participant's subscription of solar energy plus any administrative costs  
22 associated with the participant's subscription. For the pilot program, the above market costs are  
23 calculated as the present value of the forecasted difference between the cost of local solar and the

1 sum of the MPB in the PCIA calculation, the solar value adjustment, and green attributes. The  
2 forecast to cover any costs uses the following assumptions:

- 3 1) The MPB is escalated with Henry Hub natural gas prices;
- 4 2) The solar adjustments are set at the value established at the time of the customer's  
5 commitment;
- 6 3) The renewable premium is set at that year's DOE Adder in the PCIA and fixed over  
7 the remaining subscription term; and
- 8 4) The discount rate used to calculate the present value is the then applicable SDG&E  
9 rate of return.

10 A table of fixed termination costs by year and contract duration will be provided with  
11 each year's vintage. This is done to ease the participation decision by creating greater cost  
12 certainty for customers.

13 This concludes my prepared direct testimony.  
14

1 **V. STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS**

2 My name is Christopher F. Yunker. My business address is 8330 Century Park Court,  
3 San Diego, California, 92123.

4 I have been employed as the Rates & Analysis Manager in the Strategic Pricing &  
5 Analysis group of San Diego Gas & Electric Company since 2010. Prior to that I was employed  
6 as Strategic Planning Manager from 2009 to 2010 in the same department. Before that I was  
7 employed in various positions at SDG&E as a Principal Financial Analyst, Technology  
8 Development Advisor, Resource Planner and Sr. Business Analyst. I began work with Sempra  
9 Energy in 2002, working as a Financial Analyst with Sempra Connections. Prior to my work  
10 with Sempra Energy, I worked for GEA Power Cooling Systems, Inc., as an Application  
11 Engineer and Project Development Engineer.

12 I received a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from the University of California, San Diego  
13 and a Masters in Business Administration from the University of Southern California. I am a  
14 Professional Engineer in Mechanical Engineering in the State of California.

15 I have previously testified before the Commission.